

# Contents

<b>Chapter One Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
The comparative method in the field of public law .....	4
<b>Chapter Two The development of judicial review of administrative action .</b>	<b>11</b>
I. Historical introduction .....	11
1. The common law courts.....	11
2. The tribunal system.....	18
3. The development of separate Administrative Courts in nineteenth century Germany .....	21
4. The administrative law tradition in Germany .....	22
II. The constitutional role of the courts .....	25
1. The constitutional basis for the role of the courts in judicial review in England .....	25
2. The Basic Law and the Administrative Courts .....	28
III. The grounds of review .....	31
1. The grounds of review for administrative action in England.....	31
2. The grounds of review for administrative action in Germany .....	34
IV. Administrative law remedies for unlawful government action .....	39
1. Remedies in English courts.....	39
2. Remedies in German Administrative Courts .....	42
V. Procedural aspects.....	44
1. The adversarial procedure.....	44
2. The inquisitorial procedure .....	46
VI. Constitutional adjudication - the institutional dimension .....	47
1. Introduction.....	47
2. The ancient office of Lord Chancellor .....	49
3. The new Supreme Court .....	51
4. The long path to Germany's Constitutional Court.....	55
5. The Supreme Court Appointments Commission .....	56
6. Diversity in the appointments process .....	58
7. Judicial independence under the Basic Law .....	60
8. Qualification for judicial office in Germany.....	62
a) The selection process - federal level .....	63
b) The selection process at the Federal Constitutional Court .....	66
c) The selection process at state level.....	67

VII. Conclusion .....	69
<b>Chapter Three Judicial review of discretionary powers.....</b>	<b>73</b>
I. Introduction .....	73
1. The concept of discretion and the constitutional basis for judicial review of discretionary powers in England .....	74
2. The concept of discretion and the constitutional basis for judicial review of discretionary powers in Germany .....	77
3. Evaluation .....	83
II. Comparative cases .....	83
1. Failure to exercise discretion under English law .....	83
a) Review of self-created rules .....	84
b) Unauthorised delegation of power .....	86
c) Acting under dictation .....	86
d) Fettering discretion by contractual undertaking .....	87
2. Failure to exercise discretion in German administrative law .....	89
a) Review of self-created rules .....	89
b) Unauthorised delegation of power .....	89
c) Fettering discretion by contractual undertaking .....	90
3. Evaluation .....	91
4. Abuse of discretion in English law .....	92
a) Use of power for an improper purpose .....	92
b) Unreasonableness .....	93
c) The principle of proportionality in English administrative law .....	95
d) The principle of legitimate expectation in English administrative law .....	105
5. Abuse of discretion in German law .....	110
a) The principle of proportionality in German administrative law .....	110
b) Human rights protection and discretion in Germany .....	114
c) Undefined legal concepts .....	119
d) European standards for the intensity of review .....	122
e) The principle of legitimate expectations (Vertrauensschutz) in German law .....	124
f) The Europeanisation of the principle of legitimate expectations in German law .....	127
g) The principle of equality .....	128
III. Conclusion .....	131
<b>Chapter Four Procedural errors in the administrative procedure.....</b>	<b>137</b>
I. Introduction .....	137
1. The rules of natural justice in English law .....	138
2. The duty to act fairly .....	143
3. The duty to give reasons .....	144
4. Legal consequences of procedural errors .....	147

5. Germany's Law on Administrative Procedure (Verwaltungsverfahrensgesetz) 1976 .....	147
6. The right to a hearing .....	149
7. The duty to give reasons .....	150
8. Legal consequences of procedural errors .....	151
9. Evaluation .....	155
II. Comparative cases .....	157
1. The right to a hearing .....	157
a) Legal effects of denial of a hearing in English courts .....	157
b) Legal effects of denial of a hearing - Germany .....	159
c) Evaluation .....	160
2. The duty to give reasons .....	160
a) Deficient reasons made good in course of proceedings - England ..	160
b) Deficient reasons made good in course of proceedings - Germany	161
c) Evaluation .....	162
III. European influences .....	163
1. English administrative law and Art. 6(1) of the European Convention on Human Rights .....	163
2. German administrative law and Art. 6(1) of the European Convention on Human Rights .....	166
3. The European Court of Justice and German administrative procedure law .....	167
IV. Conclusion .....	169
<b>Chapter Five Governmental liability .....</b>	<b>173</b>
I. Introduction .....	173
1. Governmental liability in English courts .....	173
a) Negligence .....	174
b) Breach of statutory duty .....	182
c) Vicarious liability .....	183
d) Misfeasance in public office .....	183
e) Crown immunity .....	184
2. Governmental liability in Germany .....	185
a) Tortious liability according to section 839 of the Civil Code (BGB) in connection with Art. 34 of the Basic Law .....	186
aa) Persons exercising public office .....	188
bb) Breach of duty .....	188
cc) Duty towards a third party .....	189
3. Evaluation .....	190
II. Comparative cases - governmental liability and Human Rights .....	191
1. Governmental liability for breaches of Human rights in the UK .....	191
a) The English law of negligence under European influence .....	191
aa) The ruling of the European Court of Human Rights in Osman v UK .....	192
bb) Impact of the ruling in Osman on subsequent decisions .....	194

cc) The ruling of the European Court of Human Rights in Z v UK .....	196
dd) Impact of the decision in Z v UK on the law of negligence .....	198
b) Damages for breaches of the Human Rights Act 1998 .....	201
2. Child abuse claims in Germany .....	203
a) Section 839 BGB in connection with Art. 34 Basic Law .....	205
aa) Duty of care owed to a third party .....	205
bb) Fault.....	206
cc) Causation .....	206
b) The constitutional framework for the law governing childcare .....	206
c) Judicial review of administrative decisions in childcare cases.....	207
d) The violation of basic rights and compensation.....	210
3. Evaluation.....	211
III. Comparative cases - member state liability in German and English courts .....	215
1. The nature of the remedy in domestic law – Germany .....	217
a) Rights under Community law and duty towards a third party in German law .....	218
b) The fault requirement in German law .....	219
c) Europeanisation of the German law of governmental liability.....	220
2. The nature of the remedy in domestic law – United Kingdom .....	222
3. The condition of “sufficiently serious breach” in German and English decisions .....	223
4. Causation in member state liability.....	231
a) R v Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex p Gallagher...	234
b) Germany – breach of procedural provisions and causation.....	235
IV. Conclusion .....	241
<b>Chapter Six Tradition and change .....</b>	<b>243</b>