

ACCOUNTABILITY FOR HUMAN  
RIGHTS VIOLATIONS BY  
INTERNATIONAL  
ORGANISATIONS

Jan WOUTERS  
Eva BREMS  
Stefaan SMIS  
Pierre SCHMITT  
(eds.)



intersentia

Antwerp – Oxford – Portland

# CONTENTS

<i>Summary of Contents</i> .....	v
<i>Abbreviations</i> .....	xxi

## Accountability for Human Rights Violations by International Organisations: Introductory Remarks

Jan WOUTERS, Eva BREMS, Stefaan SMIS and Pierre SCHMITT .....	1
I. Background .....	1
II. Aim of the Book .....	1
III. Contentious Legal Issues .....	5
A. Are International Organisations Bound by International Human Rights Norms? .....	5
B. Accountability of Member States of International Organisations .....	10
C. Obstacles to Accountability of International Organisations .....	11
D. The Need to Create Mechanisms To Ensure Accountability .....	13
IV. Structure of the Book .....	15
A. General Concepts .....	15
B. Peace and Humanitarian Operations .....	16
C. International Civil Administration .....	16
D. Economic Governance .....	17
E. Staff of International Organisations .....	18

## PART I. GENERAL CONCEPTS

### Accountability of International Organisations: An Evolving Legal Concept?

Ige F. DEKKER .....	21
I. Introduction .....	21
II. Concepts of Accountability .....	23
A. Approaches to Accountability .....	23
B. The ILA Concept of Accountability .....	25
C. Critical Assessment .....	28
III. An institutional Concept of Accountability .....	31
A. An Institutional Approach .....	31

B.	Accountability as a Legal Institution . . . . .	32
C.	Accountability and the Institutional Character of International Organisations. . . . .	34
IV.	Concluding Observation. . . . .	36
	International Organisations as Independent Actors: Sweet Memory or Functionally Necessary? Niels M. BLOKKER . . . . .	37
I.	Introduction . . . . .	37
II.	Attribution of Powers . . . . .	39
III.	International Legal Personality . . . . .	43
IV.	The Theory and Practice of the Independence of International Secretariats . . . . .	46
V.	Concluding Remarks. . . . .	49
	Human Rights and the Rise of International Organisations: The Logic of Sliding Scales in the Law of International Responsibility Olivier DE SCHUTTER. . . . .	51
I.	The Human Rights Obligations of International Organisations . . . . .	55
A.	The international Organisation ‘Succeeding’ to the Human Rights Obligations of Its Member States . . . . .	57
B.	Human Rights as Part of General Public International Law . . . . .	68
II.	The Problem of Accountability – One: State Responsibility. . . . .	73
A.	The Establishment of the International Organisation and the Initial Transfer of Powers. . . . .	77
B.	The Decision-Making Process Within the Organisation . . . . .	86
C.	The Implementation of Decisions Adopted by International Organisations. . . . .	94
D.	The Logic of Sliding Scales in Examining Questions of State Responsibility. . . . .	102
III.	The Problem of Accountability – Two: The Responsibility of International Organisations. . . . .	104
A.	Self-Regulation. . . . .	104
B.	Accession to International Human Rights Treaties. . . . .	110
C.	The Role of National Courts . . . . .	119
D.	The Logic of Sliding Scales Expanded . . . . .	123
IV.	Conclusion . . . . .	125

Binding International Organisations to Member State Treaties or Responsibility of Member States for Their Own Actions in the Framework of International Organisations Frederik NAERT .....	129
Introduction .....	129
I. Are International Organisations Bound by Treaties Concluded by Their Member States? .....	130
A. General Considerations .....	130
B. The EU, GATT and Customs Agreements .....	136
C. The EU and the ECHR .....	138
D. The EU and Other Member State Treaties, Including the UN Charter .....	139
E. Other International Organisations .....	154
II. Some Reflections on Responsibility of Member States for Their Own Actions in the Framework of International Organisations .....	155
A. Responsibility for the Actions of an International Organisation Resulting from Its Establishment .....	156
B. Responsibility of a Member State for Its Own Subsequent Conduct in the Framework of an International Organisation .....	162
Conclusion .....	168
 The ‘Italian job’: How to Make International Organisations Compliant with Human Rights and Accountable for Their Violation by Targeting Member States Matteo TONDINI .....	 169
I. Introduction .....	169
II. A Few Remarks on Legal Personality and Accountability of International Organisations .....	172
A. The Attribution of Legal Personality to International Organisations and Their Accountability to Third Parties .....	172
B. The Establishment of Internal Claim Settlement Mechanisms .....	174
C. ‘Accountability’ and ‘Responsibility’ .....	176
D. The ILC Draft Articles .....	177
III. Possible Responsibility of UN Member States in Peace Operations .....	180
A. Possible Responsibility of Contributing States for Violations Committed by UN Military Forces .....	180
B. Possible Responsibility of Member States for Violations Committed by UN Police Forces and Civilian Officers .....	185
C. Possible Human Violations Committed by UN Territorial Administrations: The UNMIK Case .....	188

IV.	Some Brief Remarks on International Organisations as Subjects Bound to Human Rights Law and Extraterritorial Application of Human Rights Treaties.....	191
A.	The Mandatory Nature of Human Rights Law for International Organisations.....	191
B.	The Extraterritorial Application of Human Rights Conventions ..	193
V.	The “Job”: Bringing States to Courts.....	195
A.	Finding the Right Forum.....	195
B.	International Courts.....	198
VI.	The “Italian Job” in the Most Recent Case Law (Be Assured – Your Sins Will Find You Out!). .....	201
A.	The <i>Behrami &amp; Saramati</i> Cases.....	202
B.	The <i>Al-Jedda</i> Case .....	206
C.	Other Relevant Cases .....	208
VII.	Concluding Remarks.....	211

## PART II. PEACE AND HUMANITARIAN OPERATIONS

### Human Rights Accountability of International Organisations in the Lead of International Peace Missions

	Ulf HÄUßLER .....	215
I.	Preliminary Remarks and Introduction .....	215
II.	The Institutionalisation of Transitional Authority – A Brief Typology of Peace Missions .....	218
III.	The Effects of Actions of Peacekeeping Operations on Human Rights .....	222
A.	Exercise of Transitional Authority <i>vis-à-vis</i> Ex- and Would-Be-Belligerents or Governance Institutions in Receiving States.....	223
B.	Exercise of Transitional Authority Directly Affecting the General Public.....	226
C.	Conclusion .....	229
IV.	Attribution of Conduct of Peacekeeping Operations to International Organisations.....	229
A.	To Whom to Attribute I: Peace Missions or Lead Organisations? ..	230
B.	To Whom to Attribute II: Lead Organisations or Contributing States? .....	232
C.	Further Attribution Criteria .....	251
D.	Conclusion .....	253

V.	Defining the Limits of Transitional Authority of Peacekeeping Operations.....	253
A.	The Emerging Customary International Law of Peace Missions.....	255
B.	General Principles of International Humanitarian and Human Rights Law.....	257
C.	Conclusion.....	259
VI.	Balancing Public and Private Interests in the Framework of International Peacekeeping.....	259
A.	Self-Defence.....	259
B.	Military Necessity and Operational Necessity.....	261
C.	Claims.....	264
D.	Judicial Review of Operational Detention?.....	266
VII.	Conclusion.....	268
	<b>Accountability of the United Nations: The Case of Srebrenica</b>	
	Peter R. BAEHR.....	269
I.	Introduction.....	269
II.	What Happened at Srebrenica.....	270
III.	The Role of Dutchbat.....	274
IV.	The Role of the 'International Community'.....	278
V.	Accountability.....	280
VI.	Conclusion.....	285
	<b>On the Social Life of International Organisations: Framing Accountability in Refugee Resettlement</b>	
	Kristin Bergtora SANDVIK.....	287
I.	Introduction.....	287
II.	The Problem.....	288
III.	Framing Legal Humanitarianism.....	290
IV.	Contextualising Accountability.....	292
V.	Resettlement as Humanitarian Practice.....	296
VI.	Surviving Torture Credibly.....	298
VII.	Doing and Living Accountability Standards.....	302
VIII.	Concluding Observations.....	306