DATA PROTECTION IN EU AND US CRIMINAL COOPERATION

A Substantive Law Approach to the EU Internal and Transatlantic Cooperation in Criminal Matters between Judicial and Law Enforcement Authorities

Els De Busser



Contents

Preface	
Contents	
Alphabetical list of abbreviations and instruments indicated by abridged	d titles
	17
General introduction	21
A. Background and basic concepts	21
1. Privacy and data protection	21
2. In criminal matters	23
3. International exchange of personal data in criminal matters	24
4. Transatlantic relations	26
B. Research questions	28
C. Relevance	29
D. Objective and structure	31
1. Threefold structure	
a. First stage: the EU and its data protection standards	
b. Second stage: the EU and its compliance with its data prot	
standards in the relations between member states and with third state	
c. Third stage: the EU and its compliance with its data prot	
standards in its relations with the US	
2. Limitations	34
E. Methodology and sources	
1. Substantive scope	
2. Geographical scope	
3. Historical scope	
Chapter I. EU data protection standards	39
	40
A. Personal data in the EU	
1. European definition of personal data	
a. Sources of the definition	
b. EU post factum concept of personal data	
1. Any information	
2. Relating to	
3. An identified or identifiable natural person	43
2. Right to a private life in the EU	47
a. Merger of the privacy-aspect and the gathering of information	47
b. Development of the right to a private life	48
1. The right to a private life and the right to data protection	48
2. Defining both rights	50
c. Lawful derogations to the right to a private life	32
1. Legality	33
2. Legitimate aim	33
3. Necessity and balance of interests	36
a. The protection of national security	
b. The protection of health and morals	60

4. Sufficiently independent supervision	. 61
3. Personal data protection	
a. Council of Europe data quality standards	
1. Quality standards for personal data	. 64
a. Accurate personal data	. 64
b. Adequate, relevant and proportionate personal data	. 65
2. Quality standards for the processing of personal data	
a. Fair and lawful obtaining and processing of personal data	
b. Purpose limitation and compatibility	
c. Retention of personal data	. 69
3. Lawful derogations from data quality standards	. 70
a. Legality	
b. Necessity	
c. Precise and foreseeable	
d. Proportionate	
b. First pillar data protection by the EC Directive	
1. Background	
2. In the course of an activity	
3. Final purpose	. 76
4. Public security, defence, State security and activities of the State	
areas of criminal law	
c. First pillar data protection by subsequent directives	
1. Personal data and electronic communications	
2. Processing and transferring of personal data by the Commun	
institutions and bodies	
d. Data retention directive: first or third pillar?	. 79
4. Conclusion	
B. Personal data in criminal matters in the EU	
1. Council of Europe data protection in criminal proceedings	
a. Scope: defining criminal proceedings	
b. Data quality standards in criminal proceedings	. 63 96
 Protection offered by article 6 ECHRa. Adversarial element in article 6 	
b. Article 6 and the gathering of personal data	
 c. Article 6 and the gathering of personal data proactively 2. Protection offered by article 8 ECHR 	
3. Relation between article 6 and article 8 ECHR	
a. Decisive influence of the evidence	
b. Nature of the violation of article 8	
c. Right to challenge the evidence	
c. Fair trial regardless of privacy violation	
2. National implementation of the EC Directive in criminal proceedings.	
3. Framework Decision on Data Protection in Criminal Matters	
a. In line with the Data Protection Convention	
b. Effect on other data protection provisions	
c. Scope	
1. General	
2. Prevention, detection, investigation, prosecution and execution	
3. Transmitted or made available	

d. Quality standards for personal data	102
e. Quality standards for the processing of personal data	103
1. Purpose limitation	103
2. Data retention	105
f. Supervisory authority	106
4. Conclusion	107
C. Trans-border flows of personal data from the EU	110
1. Data protection in trans-border flows from the EU: adequacy require	ment
	110
a. The Data Protection Convention and its Additional Protocol	
b. EC first pillar instruments	
1. Regulating the trans-border flow of data	
2. Safe Harbour compromise	
3. Adequacy requirement	
2. Data protection in trans-border flows from the EU in criminal matter	
a. Functional criterion	
b. Consent	
c. Adequacy requirement	
d. Effects of the Framework Decision on Data Protection in Crit	
Matters	
3. Conclusion	
	. 123
D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU	. 127
D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rulesChapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EUA. Applicable instruments between the EU member states	. 128
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states 1. Quality control in line with the Council of Europe principles 	. 128 . 128
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states 1. Quality control in line with the Council of Europe principles	. 128 . 128 . 128
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states 1. Quality control in line with the Council of Europe principles	. 128 . 128 . 128 . 128 . 129
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states 1. Quality control in line with the Council of Europe principles a. Data Protection Convention b. Recommendation R(87)15 c. Quality standards of personal data and of the processing of personal data and state and st	. 128 . 128 . 128 . 129 sonal
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states 1. Quality control in line with the Council of Europe principles a. Data Protection Convention	. 128 . 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states 1. Quality control in line with the Council of Europe principles a. Data Protection Convention	. 128 . 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states 1. Quality control in line with the Council of Europe principles a. Data Protection Convention	. 128 . 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131 . 131
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states	. 128 . 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131 . 131
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states 1. Quality control in line with the Council of Europe principles a. Data Protection Convention	. 128 . 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 134
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states	. 128 . 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 134 . 136
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states	. 128 . 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 134 . 136 . 136
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states	. 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 134 . 136 . 136 . 137
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states	. 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 134 . 136 . 136 . 137 . 137
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states	. 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 134 . 136 . 137 . 137 . 138
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states	. 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 134 . 136 . 137 . 137 . 138 . 139
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states	. 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 134 . 136 . 137 . 137 . 138 . 139 . 141
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states	. 128 . 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 134 . 136 . 136 . 137 . 137 . 138 . 139 . 141
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules	. 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 134 . 136 . 136 . 137 . 138 . 139 . 141 . 141
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules	. 128 . 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 134 . 136 . 136 . 137 . 138 . 139 . 141 . 141 . 141 . 142
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules Chapter II. Instruments in cooperation in criminal matters in the EU A. Applicable instruments between the EU member states	. 128 . 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 134 . 136 . 137 . 137 . 137 . 137 . 138 . 139 . 141 . 141 . 141 . 142 . 143
 D. Conclusion: and the rules are there are different rules	. 128 . 128 . 128 . 129 sonal . 130 . 131 . 131 . 131 . 134 . 136 . 137 . 137 . 137 . 137 . 137 . 137 . 137 . 137 . 137 . 141 . 141 . 141 . 142 . 143 . opol

3. Schengen law enforcement cooperation	
3. Quality of the processing of personal data	
a. Judicial cooperation	
1. Purpose limitation	
a. Strict purpose limitation	
i. Eurojust's own data protection rules	
ii. Criminal records instruments	
b. Widening purposes towards purpose deviation	
i. EU mutual assistance in criminal matters	
ii. Framework Decision on Data Protection in Criminal Mat	
iii Tennefor to privato portion	
iii. Transfer to private parties iv. The Schengen Information System and its inconsistencies.	
v. Judicial authorities' cooperation with OLAF	
vi. Eurojust's cooperation with OLAF	
2. Data retention	
a. Data retention directive	
i. Legal bases	
ii. The essential objective of the data retention directive	
iii. Data retention for as long as necessary	
b. Framework Decision on Data Protection in Criminal Matters.	
c. Prüm Convention	
d. Data storage by Eurojust	
b. Law enforcement cooperation	
1. Purpose limitation	
a. For police use only unless consent	
b. For other purposes with consent	
i. Prüm Convention and its integration in the EU	
ii. Framework decision on simplifying the exchange	
information and intelligence	173
iii. Europol Information System	173
iv. Customs Information System (CIS)	174
v. Other law enforcement cooperation initiatives	174
c. SIS and law enforcement authorities	
d. Interoperability and availability	
e. Second generation SIS (SIS II)	
f. Visa Information System (VIS)	
g. Eurodac	
2. Data retention	
4. Supervision	
5. Effects of the Framework Decision on Data Protection in Crim	
Matters	
a. First pillar instruments	
1. Unaffected first pillar instruments	
2. Unlisted first pillar instruments a. The data retention directive	
b. First pillar instruments governing SIS II	
b. Title VI instruments	
1. Unaffected third pillar instruments	
r. Charlocou unic pinar moudificito	100

a. Complete and coherent set of rules more detailed tha	n the
framework decision	. 188
i. Should not be affected	189
ii. Europol	. 191
iii. Eurojust	192
iv. SIS	
v. CIS	
vi. Direct access	
vii. Decision integrating the Prüm Convention	194
b. Provisions on use of personal data	
i. Should remain unaffected	194
ii. MLA Convention	
iii. European Evidence Warrant	
iv. SIC and the framework decision on simplifying the excl	
of information and intelligence between law enforce	
authorities	
v. CIS	
vi. Criminal records	
vii. Financial Intelligence Units	
viii. Passenger Name Records data for law enforcement pur	
 Unline of third will be instance and a 	
2. Unlisted third pillar instruments	
c. Council of Europe instruments	
B. Applicable instruments in cooperation with third states	
1. Judicial cooperation	
2. Law enforcement cooperation	
a. Europol	. 204
b. Transfer of passenger name records to law enforcement authoriti	
third states	
3. Effects of the Framework Decision on Data Protection in Crir	
Matters	
a. Significance of article 26 on relationships with third states	. 208
b. Customs Information System (CIS)	. 209
c. Europol and Eurojust's agreements with third states	.210
d. Member states' agreements with third states	. 211
e. Agreements between the Union and third states	. 213
C. Conclusion	. 213
Chapter III. Cooperation EU – US	. 219
A. US data protection standards	. 220
1. Personal data in the US	. 220
a. American definition of personal data	. 220
b. Right to a private life in the US: reasonable expectation of privacy.	. 222
c. Personal data protection	. 225
1. Fourth Amendment protection in non-criminal cases	. 227
2. Fair Information Practice Principles	. 228
a. Background	.228

b. Principles	229
i. General requirements	229
ii. Public notice requirement	231
iii. Rights of individual data subjects	232
c. Supervision	234
3. Data protection provisions in US Acts	235
a. Sectoral legislation on personal data processed by governm	
agencies	
i. Privacy Act	236
ii. Federal Records Act	240
iii. E-Government Act	241
iv. Specific sectoral acts	243
b. Sectoral legislation on personal data processed by priv	vate
companies	
c. Self-regulation	
d. Technologies of privacy	
4. Supervision	
2. Personal data in the US in criminal matters	
a. Constitutional protection of personal data in criminal matters	
1. Fourth Amendment	
a. Personal information	
b. People, not places	
c. Persons protected by the Fourth Amendment	
d. Search	
i. Warrant	
ii. Probable cause	
iii. Supported by Oath or affirmation	
e. Admitting evidence in criminal proceedings	
f. Violating the Fourth Amendment	
i. Suppressing evidence: the exclusionary rule	
ii. Exceptions to the warrant requirement	
iii. Evidence brought forward by private parties	
2. Fifth and Sixth Amendment.	
b. Data protection in legal instruments within the US	
1. Records held by the government	
a. Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act	
i. Accuracy standard and exemptions	
ii. Government use for compatible purposes	
iii. Disclosure of data compiled for law enforcement purposes	
b. E-Government Act	
i. Privacy policies	
ii. Privacy Impact Assessments (PIAs)	
a. PIA of a transfer to law enforcement authorities	
b. PIA of a transfer from law enforcement authorities	
2. Records and objects held by third parties	
a. No reasonable expectation of privacy	
b. Subpoenas	
c. National Security Letters	
3. Interception of communications	

a. Interception of oral communications	277
b. Electronic surveillance	278
i. Phone numbers and addressees	278
ii. Communications in transmission	279
iii. Stored wire and electronic communications	. 280
iv. Assistance from telecommunication carriers	. 281
v. The Foreign Intelligence and Surveillance Act (FISA) an	d the
Patriot Act	. 281
a. The wall and the windows built into it	. 282
b. A FISA warrant	. 285
c. Minimization procedures	. 288
d. Amendments to FISA by the Patriot Act	
e. Amendments to FISA by the Protect America Act	. 290
4. Data retention in the US legal instruments	
5. Supervision	. 292
3. Compatibility between the EU and the US in criminal matters?	
a. Similar definitions	. 294
b. A common source	. 295
c. Quality standards	. 296
1. Quality standards for personal data	. 296
2. Quality standards for processing of personal data	
a. Purpose limitation and compatibility of the use of personal	data
i. Different reasoning	
ii. Compatibility	
iii. Purpose deviation	
b. Retention of personal data	
3. Supervision	
d. Conclusion: adequacy of the US data protection standards	
B. Cooperation between the EU member states and the US	
1. Exchange of data in the absence of a treaty	
a. Letters rogatory	305
b. Subpoenas	
c. 'Cop to cop' exchange	308
2. Treaty-based exchange of data	309
a. Multilateral treaties	309
1. Data protection provisions in multilateral treaties	310
2. Complemented by bilateral treaties	312
b. Bilateral treaties	313
3. Adequacy requirement	314
C. Law enforcement cooperation: the Europol - US Agreements	316
1. Relations with third states: adequacy requirement	316
2. Safeguarding data protection standards before negotiations start	318
3. The 2001 Europol – US Agreement on the exchange of non personal	data
	319
4. The 2002 Supplemental Europol - US Agreement on the exchang	e of
personal data and related information	320
a. Undermining the traditional speciality rule	321

b. Adequate level of data protection	322
1. Quality standards for personal data	
2. Quality standards for processing of personal data	324
a. Purpose limitation	
i. Widened purpose limitation	
ii. Other purposes	328
iii. Competent authorities	
iv. No generic restrictions	
v. Lawful derogations from the purpose limitation principle?	
a. Legality	
b. Necessity	
vi. Data retention	
c. Interests of member states providing personal data	
5. Conclusion	
D. Eurojust - US Agreement	
1. No speciality rule	336
2. Adequate level of data protection	
a. Quality standards for personal data	338
b. Quality standards for processing of personal data	339
1. Purpose limitation	340
a. Widened purpose limitation	340
b. No generic restrictions	343
2. Lawful derogations from the purpose limitation principle?	
a. Legality	
b. Necessity	
3. Data retention	
c. Interests of member states providing personal data	
3. Conclusion	
E. Relations EU – US in criminal matters	346
1. The 24-38 agreements: a different type of cooperation	
2. EU - US Agreement on mutual legal assistance in criminal matters	
a. The EU-US MLA Agreement and the bilateral written instruments.	
b. Adequate level of data protection	
c. No speciality rule	
1. MLA Agreement	
2. Bilateral written instruments	
d. Data protection provisions	356
1. Quality of personal data	
2. Quality of processing of personal data	
a. Purpose limitation	
b. Data retention	
3. A special case: the PNR Agreements	
a. Passenger Name Record	
b. Background to the PNR Agreements	
1. US legislation on aviation security	
2. Data needed from EU air carriers	
c. PNR Agreements	
1. Preparations	
2. First pillar legal basis of the 2004 PNR Agreement	

.

3. Annulment by the ECJ	367
4. 2006 Interim PNR Agreement and 2007 PNR Agreement	369
d. Data protection issues	
1. Quality of personal data	370
a. Push and pull	371
b. List of data	373
c. Accuracy and relevance of data	375
2. Quality of processing of personal data	376
a. Purpose limitation	376
i. Use of PNR data	376
ii. Data sharing	
b. Data retention	
i. Time limits	
ii. Applicable Undertakings	
3. Transfer of PNR data to third states	
e. Compliance with EU data protection standards	
4. SWIFT case	
a. Administrative subpoenas	
b. Data needed from SWIFT	
c. Applicable rules on data protection	
1. Belgian Data Protection Law	
2. Safe Harbour Principles	
d. US Representations	
e. Is SWIFT a data controller or processor?	
f. Data Protection issues	
1. Quality of personal data	
2. Quality of processing of personal data	
a. Purpose limitation	394
b. Data retention	
c. Data sharing	
3. Independent oversight	397
4. Transfer of data to third states and Safe Harbour	398
g. Compliance with EU data protection standards	399
5. High Level Contact Group	399
a. Common principles	400
b. Binding or non binding instrument	403
6. The Future Group reports	404
7. The Lisbon Treaty and data protection	407
8. Conclusions	409
8. Conclusions	102
Chapter IV. Conclusions	413
A. Framed inconsistencies within the EU data protection legislation	413
1. Widening of existing data protection principles	413
2. In a framework of formalized non-compliance with the data pro	tection
principles	414
Double standards in law enforcement and judicial data exchange	415
B. An adequate transatlantic bridge?	416

1. A consistent widening of existing data protection principles	
2. Structural differences	
3. Can we build a bridge on adequacy?	
C. Future transatlantic relations in criminal matters: building o	r burning
bridges?	
Chapter V. Bibliography	423
A. Legal and policy instruments	
B. Jurisprudence	
C. Literature	
D. Other sources	473