

RESISTANCE AND CHANGE  
IN THE INTERNATIONAL LAW  
ON FOREIGN INVESTMENT

M. SORNARAJAH

*CJ Koh Professor of Law, National University of Singapore*



## CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	page	xiii
<i>Table of cases</i>		xiv
1	Introduction	1
1.1	Neoliberalism as a driving factor	10
1.2	Explaining change	16
1.3	The phases of change	19
1.4	Earlier phases of the international law on foreign investment	31
1.4.1	The first and formative phase	32
1.4.2	The second phase of universalization of the conflicts	35
1.4.3	The third phase of neoliberal change	43
1.4.3.1	Regime formation and dissolution	44
1.4.3.2	Expansionist norms	45
1.4.4	The fourth and current phase	45
1.4.5	The competing forces in the fourth phase	47
1.4.6	Conserving the neoliberal regime	48
1.4.6.1	New theoretical rationalizations	49
1.4.6.2	Constancy in jurisprudence	50
1.4.6.3	Analogical reasoning	50
1.4.6.4	Deflecting push-backs by states	52
1.4.6.5	Arrogation of quasi-legislative powers	53
1.4.7	Resisting neoliberalism	54
1.4.7.1	Push-back by states	56
1.4.7.2	Narrowing jurisdiction	57
1.4.7.3	Ending fragmentation	58
1.4.7.4	Expansionism versus strict construction	61

	1.4.7.5 Rise of corporate responsibility	63
	1.4.7.6 Other factors	64
	1.4.8 The retreat of neoliberalism?	66
	1.5 The course of the fourth phase	68
	1.6 The outline of the book	71
	1.7 The arrangement of the book	72
2	The precursor of neoliberalism: internationalization of foreign investment contracts	78
	2.1 Future relevance	80
	2.2 A brief history	81
	2.3 The relationship with diplomatic protection and state responsibility	86
	2.3.1 Diplomatic protection and state responsibility	89
	2.4 The internationalization theory	94
	2.4.1 The six petroleum arbitrations	102
	2.4.2 The policy arguments for internationalization	107
	2.4.3 The Libyan arbitrations	111
	2.4.4 <i>Aminoil</i> signals change	116
	2.5 Contract disputes and ICSID tribunals	122
	2.6 Survival of internationalization in ICSID cases	128
	2.7 The interaction between contract- and treaty-based arbitration	130
	2.7.1 The umbrella clause	131
	2.7.2 Role of stabilization clauses under investment treaty arbitration	132
	2.7.3 Linking the law on legitimate expectations	133
	2.8 Conclusion	133
3	Creating jurisdiction beyond consent	136
	3.1 The original sin: 'arbitration without privity'	139
	3.1.1 The justification of treaty-based jurisdiction: was it faulty?	144
	3.1.2 Extreme adventurism	146

3.2	Restricting arbitral adventurism	147
3.2.1	The definition of investment and the criterion of economic development	151
3.2.2	The requirement of an investment made in accordance with the law of the host state	164
3.3	The acme of aberrations: <i>Abaclat</i> and financial instruments	168
3.4	Corporate nationality as a vehicle for expansion	173
3.4.1	'Round-tripping' of investments	177
3.4.2	Migration of companies	179
3.5	The MFN clause saga	183
3.5.1	Conclusion on expansive trends	185
3.5.2	Trends favouring the state	186
3.6	Conclusion	188
4	The emasculation of expropriation	191
4.1	The course of expropriation law	193
4.2	The basis of expansion of expropriation	207
4.3	The revival of regulatory expropriation	212
4.4	Stemming the regulatory takings tide	220
4.5	The changing concept of property in the international law of expropriation	223
4.6	Conserving regulatory expropriation and the distinguishing criteria	237
4.7	The bridge to fair and equitable treatment	242
4.8	Conclusion	244
5	Fair and equitable treatment: conserving relevance	246
5.1	Diversity in the standard	250
5.2	Giving content to the fair and equitable standard	252
5.3	The discovery of legitimate expectations	257
5.4	The turn to administrative law	268

5.5	The contraction of legitimate expectations	272
5.6	Restrictions on legitimate expectations	273
5.6.1	There is a duty on the foreign investor to make due diligence efforts to determine whether his expectations are legitimate, reasonable and well-founded	278
5.6.2	There is a duty to have regard to the circumstances of the host state	280
5.6.3	Contractual commitments are not protected	282
5.6.4	Expectations and the stabilization commitment	284
5.6.5	Balancing of the regulatory power of the state with the legitimate expectations of the foreign investor	288
5.7	Legitimate expectations and the legitimacy crisis	291
5.7.1	Theoretical justifications for recognizing legitimate expectations	293
5.7.2	The rule of law argument	295
5.7.3	The global administrative law argument	298
5.8	Conclusion	298
6	Backlash through defences	300
6.1	The defences in the treaties and customary law	307
6.1.1	National security	308
6.1.2	Necessity	308
6.2	The expansion of defences	314
6.2.1	Human rights obligations and investor rights	318
6.2.1.1	The doctrine of permanent sovereignty over natural resources	324
6.2.2	The right to development	327
6.3	International environmental law considerations	331
6.4	Other obligations in conflict with investment protection	339
6.4.1	Cultural rights	340
6.4.2	Indigenous rights	341
6.5	Defences: evolution or erosion of investment protection?	342
6.6	Conclusion	343

7	The search for balance	347
	7.1 Intermediate solutions	347
	7.2 Balanced treaties as the solution	348
	7.2.1 The ASEAN Investment Agreement	352
	7.2.2 The SADC Model Investment Treaty	356
	7.2.3 The Commonwealth Report and Model Treaty	362
	7.2.3.1 Change and balanced treaties	363
	7.3 The proportionality rule in investment arbitration	365
	7.3.1 The public law basis of investment arbitration	369
	7.3.2 The proportionality rule	371
	7.3.3 What is the proportionality test?	373
	7.3.4 References to the proportionality test in arbitral awards	376
	7.3.5 The future of proportionality	380
	7.4 Possible changes	382
8	Resistance and change in international investment law	389
	8.1 Interpreting change	393
	8.2 The three periods of change	394
	8.2.1 The period of explosion	395
	8.2.2 The period of conflict	399
	8.2.3 The period of uncertainties	404
	8.3 The possible reforms	407
	8.3.1 The recognition that the system of investment treaties is a failure so that it should be terminated	407
	8.3.2 Establishing a screening mechanism prior to arbitration	408
	8.3.3 The responsibility of arbitral institutions	408
	8.3.4 A return to contractual methods of protection	409
	8.3.5 Controlling legal representation in investment arbitration	409
	8.4 Theory of resistance and change	410
	8.4.1 There is relative certainty in the law when it is driven by an uncontested hegemonic power on the basis of an unchallenged ideology it seeks to promote	410

8.4.2	In the economic sphere, private power acts in tandem with public to maintain international norms favourable to it	414
8.4.3	Resistance to the hegemonic order sets in when its inadequacies and injustices become apparent	415
8.4.4	The role of justice in resisting power ensures change	417
8.4.5	When resistance mounts, accommodation will be sought that may or may not ease the pressure for change. But the search for accommodation itself is change	418
8.5	Does the notion of resistance and change apply to other areas of international law?	420
	<i>Bibliography</i>	421
	<i>Index</i>	438