CONTENTS

FOREWORD

1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Comparing cultures1.2. Research writing in a foreign language1.3. Culture shapes writing1.4. Contrastive text research	2 3 4 5
1.5. The present study: contrasting textual rhetoric	7
2. GENRE AND RHETORIC	ç
2.1. Genre	9
2.1.1. Genre as social activity2.1.2. Genre as structure2.1.3. The academic research article as genre	1 1: 1:
2.2. Rhetoric	19
 2.2.1. Non-linguistic approaches to rhetoric Sociology of science Philosophy of science Rhetoric and thinking Motivation of rhetoric in academic discourse Rhetoric and relativism Relation of non-linguistic and linguistic study of rhetoric 2.2.2. Normative linguistic approaches 2.2.3. Descriptive linguistic approaches 2.2.4. Rhetoric as persuasive discourse Intention and effect Rhetoric and audience Rhetorical features in academic texts 	20 20 22 22 22 25 25 25 33 33 33 33
2.4. Genre, rhetoric and culture	37
2.5. How can Finnish and English Academic Rhetoric be expected to differ?	40
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	42
3.1. Materials	42
3.1.1. Kinds of texts used 3.1.2. Amount of data 3.1.3. How data were obtained	42 44 44
3.2. Methods	46
3.2.1. General 3.2.2. Overview of specific methods Reference Theme Text reflexivity Text structures 3.3. Hypotheses	46 53 53 54 54 55 56
2.2. Hypomeses	20

4. REFERENCE	59
4.1. Approaches to reference	61
4.1.1. Reference items4.1.2. Text reference4.1.3. Reference chains4.1.4. Development of referents in text	61 63 67 71
4.2. Hypotheses about Reference Use	74
4.3. Findings on Reference Use	76
 4.3.1. Text references Type A1: Not adding information Type A 2: Adding information Type B: Text function Differences between Finnish and Anglo-American writers 4.3.2. Reference in text structuring Local referent development 1: introducing referents to text Local referent development 2: developing central referents 4.3.3. Other observations 	76 76 77 79 81 82 82 87 94
4.4. Summary and conclusions	95
4.5.Tables	98
5. THEME	104
5.1. A basic model of theme: Halliday	105
5.2. Problems of L2 usage and its description	107
5.2.1. Theme in a sentence5.2.2. Theme in a passage5.2.3. Theme and rheme in a passage5.2.4. Different kinds of themes	107 109 111 113
5.3. Theme in Finnish and English	116
5.4. Thematic progression in English and Finnish texts	124
5.4.1. Some assumptions about thematic progression5.4.2. Hypotheses about thematic progression	125 128
5.5. Results	133
5.5.1. Deviations from expected patterns5.5.2. Theme and prediction5.5.3. Span of influence of a thematic choice	134 136 139
5.6. Summary and conclusions	141
5.7. Tables	143

VII

6. TEXT REFLEXIVITY

6.1. Functions of reflexivity	146
Content and reflexivity Organising and attitudinal functions Internal and external relations Autonomous and interactive planes Authorial presence Reflexivity as self-awareness The current text and other texts	146 148 149 151 152 152
6.2. Classifications of text reflexivity	155
Types of text reflexivity Reflexivity on the interactive plane Contextual metadiscourse Levels of explicitness	155 157 158 158
6.3. The rhetorical role of connectors	159
6.3.1. Internal and external connectors6.3.2. Do connectors add anything to text?6.3.3. Using connectors as rhetorical devices	159 161 163
6.4. Reflexivity in Finnish and English texts	168
6.4.1. Connector use by Finnish and Anglo-American writers in English	169
6.5. Explicit reflexivity	171
A. Highly explicit reflexivity A. I. References to the text A. 2. Discourse labels A. 3. Addressing the reader B. Reflexivity of low explicitness B. 1. Internal connectors B. 2. Discourse labels B. 3. References to the text	173 173 176 178 180 180 184 185
B.4. Addressing the reader	186
6.6. Comparison of texts	186
6.7. Summary and discussion	188
6.8. Tables	190
7. TEXT STRUCTURES	192
7.1. Approaches to text structures	193
7.1.1. Rhetorical Structure Theory 7.1.2. Clause relational analysis 7.1.3. Genre analysis 7.1.4. Argumentation theory 7.1.5. The approach of this study	194 195 197 198 200

VIII

7.2. A global view of text: the main point of a text	202
7.2.1. Main point in a text	202
7.2.2. Identification of the main point	208
7.2.3. Materials	212
7.2.4. Results	213
Presence of a main point	213
Formulation of the main point	215
Placement of the main point	219
7.2.5. Discussion	220
7.3. Rhetorical moves	222
7.3.1. The notion of move	224
7.3.2. The identification of moves	225
Reference	225
Theme	227
Text reflexivity	229
Other indicators	230
7.3.3. Common functional units found in the texts	234
7.3.4. Typical strategies found in the texts	235
Claim as point of departure	236
Claim as conclusion	239
7.3.5. Differences between English and Finnish writers	241
7.3.6. Discussion	246
7.4. Tables	250
8. CONCLUSION	252
8.1. Culture effect	252
8.2. Foreign language effect	254
8.3. Different contextualisation strategies	255
8.4. Expectations concerning reader	256
8.5. Reader image and politeness	257
8.6. Cultural settings	258
8.7. Methodological comments	259
8.8. Further research	261
8.9. Practical implications	262

Appendix: Materials used

List of abbreviations

Bibliography