

The Maglemose Culture

The reconstruction of the social organization
of a mesolithic culture in Northern Europe

Ole Grøn



TEMPVS REPARATVM

BAR International Series 616
1995

Contents

1. Introduction
- 1.1. The sites as dynamic units
- 1.2. Functional versus morphological types
- 1.3. The use of statistical models
- 1.4.1. Multivariate analysis
- 1.4.2. Visual inspection
- 1.4.3. Analytical strategies based on behavioural models observed ethnographically
- 1.4.4.a. The present method of analysis - the basic assumption
- 1.4.4.b. Choice of main object categories for analysis
- 1.4.4.c. Method of graphical presentation
- 1.5. The material
- 1.6. Strategy

2. The Ulkestrup Huts
- 2.1. Hut I
 - 2.1.1. The floor
 - 2.1.2. Hearths
 - 2.1.3. Superstructure
 - 2.1.4. The coast line
 - 2.1.5. Deposition of waste outside the floor
 - 2.1.5.a. The 'waste layer' - waste concentration A
 - 2.1.5.b. The northern waste concentration - B
 - 2.1.6. Deposition of waste on the floor - the concentrations C and D
 - 2.1.7. Distribution of microlithic waste
 - 2.1.8. The hut and its organization
 - 2.1.9. Chronological unity of the material
- 2.2. Hut II
 - 2.2.1. The floor
 - 2.2.2. Hearths
 - 2.2.3. Superstructure
 - 2.2.4. The coast line
 - 2.2.5. The distribution of waste
 - 2.2.6. Distribution of microlithic waste
 - 2.2.7. The hut, its organization and chronological factors
- 2.3. Conclusion and a postulate

3. Analysis of general distribution patterns
 - 3.1. Introduction
 - 3.1.1. References to the catalogue (column 1)
 - 3.1.2. Distances and directions to the prehistoric shore-line (columns 2 and 3)
 - 3.1.3. Structural remains of the dwellings (column 4)
 - 3.1.3.a. Bark floors
 - 3.1.3.b. Grey sand lenses
 - 3.1.3.c. Other structural features
 - 3.1.4. Area of the structures (column 5)
 - 3.1.5. Hearths (column 6)
 - 3.1.6. Microlith concentrations (column 7)
 - 3.1.7. Angle between the 'hearth-microlith axis' and the shore (column 8)
 - 3.1.8. Datings (column 9)
- 3.2. Analysis of the sites
 - 3.2.1. Area of the units (column 5)
 - 3.2.2. Distance to the water (column 2)
 - 3.2.3. Angle between the 'hearth-microlith axis' and the shore (column 8)
 - 3.2.4. Orientation of the pairs of hearths and the pairs of microlith concentrations relative to the shore-line, at the Ulkestrup I type sites (columns 6 and 7)
 - 3.2.5. Direction to the nearby water
 - 3.2.6. Conclusion

4. Interpretation of the units
 - 4.1. The double pattern as one contemporaneous unit or two overlapping units
 - 4.2. The physical structures of the units
 - 4.3. Seasonality
 - 4.4. The social implications of the spatial patterns
 - 4.5. The dwellings and settlements of the Maglemose Culture
 - 4.6. Cultural affinities of the two types of patterns - some further examples

5. Discussion and conclusion
 - 5.1. The physical frames
 - 5.2. Different types of units and relative artifact composition
 - 5.3. Social organization
 - 5.4. Strategy for further research

6. Catalogue
 - 1) *Ulkestrup II, upper floor*
 - 2) *Ulkestrup II, lower floor*
 - 3) *Duvensee W.8*
 - 4) *Duvensee W.13*
 - 5) *Klosterlund 1E*
 - 6) *Klosterlund 1W*
 - 7) *Magleby Nor A*

1. Introduction

- 8) *Bare Mosse II*
- 9) *Barmosen I*
- 10) *Hjemsted*
- 11) *Draved 35*
- 12) *Mullerup (Koch and Mathiassen's excavation)*
- 13) *Ulkestrup I*
- 14) *Sværdborg II*
- 15) *Duvensee W.6*
- 16) *Svanemosen 28*
- 17) *Mullerup (Sarauw's excavation)*
- 18) *Stallerupholm*
- 19) *Magleby Nor B*
- 20) *Flaadet*
- 21) *Bøllund*
- 22) *Rude Mark*
- 23) *Draved 31*
- 24) *Draved 32*
- 25, 26 and 27) *Three concentrations from Star Carr*
- 28) *Hasbjerg II*
- 29) *Tingby*
- 30) *Lavringe Mose*

7.

Notes

8.

References