Contents

Acknowledgements x

Abbreviations, symbols, and other conventions xi

- 1 Introduction 1
- 2 Morphomic structures in synchrony and diachrony 9
 - 2.1 On the nature of morphomes and morphomic structures 9
 - 2.2 The value of diachrony and methods of diachronic diagnosis of 'morphology by itself' 10
 - 2.3 The problem of the morphome and the diagnosis of morphomic patterning 17
 - 2.4 The object of enquiry 19
 - 2.5 Is autonomy isolation? 22
 - 2.6 A perspective on morphomic structures 24
- 3 The Romance languages and the Romance verb 25
 - 3.1 The Romance languages: a brief overview 25
 - 3.2 Sources of data and methods of analysis 28
 - 3.3 The structure of the Romance verb system and its Latin antecedents 29
- 4 PYTA and the remnants of the Latin perfective: Emergence of a morphomic pattern through loss of shared function 44
 - 4.1 Forms and functions 44
 - 4.2 Replications of PYTA 48
 - 4.2.1 Coherent change in the PYTA cells 50
 - 4.2.2 Avoidance of allomorphy in the PYTA cells 53
 - 4.2.3 Defectiveness and suppletion in PYTA 53
 - 4.2.4 Heteroclisis and PYTA in Romanian 55
 - 4.2.5 PYTA and syncretism 58
 - 4.2.6 Person and number endings and the PYTA domain 60
 - 4.2.7 Convergence and PYTA roots 62
 - 4.2.8 Reactions to lack of convergence 67
 - 4.3 Sporadic counterexamples to coherence in PYTA 71
 - 4.4 Systematic apparent counterexamples to coherence in Italo-Romance, Aromanian, and Aragonese 73
 - 4.5 Extramorphological motivation of the PYTA morphomic pattern? 80
 - 4.6 Conclusion 83

viii Contents

- 5 The L-pattern and the U-pattern: A phonologically created morphomic pattern 84
 - 5.1 Origins and nature of the L-pattern and U-pattern 84
 - 5.2 Independence from phonological or functional conditioning 91
 - 5.3 Replications of the L/U-pattern 91
 - 5.4 Coherence and convergence in the history of the L/U-pattern 93
 - 5.5 Occasional counterexamples to coherence in the history of the L/U-pattern, and their significance 122
 - 5.6 Systematic loss of coherence in Gallo-Romance: alignment with present subjunctive 141
 - 5.7 On the role of phonological conditioning in the L/U-pattern: the evidence from incoherence in Daco-Romance and elsewhere 148
 - 5.8 Conclusion 165
- 6 The N-pattern: Another phonologically created morphomic pattern 167
 - 6.1 Phonological causes of the N-pattern 167
 - 6.2 Replications of the N-pattern 174
 - 6.2.1 The augment 175
 - 6.2.2 The verb 'go' and other cases of N-pattern suppletion 192
 - 6.2.3 N-pattern blending 209
 - 6.2.4 N-pattern suppletion and the reflexes of DEBERE 212
 - 6.2.5 N-pattern defectiveness 215
 - 6.2.6 N-pattern distribution of periphrastic structures 219
 - 6.2.7 N-pattern heteroclisis 220
 - 6.2.8 Other manifestations of the N-pattern 225
 - 6.2.9 Negative evidence from Sardinian? 227
 - 6.3 What motivates the replication of the N-pattern? 229
 - 6.3.1 Markedness 229
 - 6.3.2 Differentiation of the first- and second-person plural 230
 - 6.3.3 The special status of the verb 'go' 231
 - 6.3.4 Phonological causation of the N-pattern? The role of stress 233
 - 6.3.5 The problem of N-pattern alternants outside the N-pattern cells 241
 - 6.4 Conclusion 245
- 7 The Latin third stem and its survival in Romance 247
 - 7.1 Approaches to the Latin third stem 247
 - 7.2 The Romance past participle 252
 - 7.3 The Romanian supine and past participle 256
 - 7.4 The continuants of the third stem and derivational morphology 260
 - 7.5 Conclusion 262

- 8 The western Romance future and conditional 263
 - 8.1 The Romance synthetic future and conditional 263
 - 8.2 Is there a future/conditional morphomic pattern? 266
 - 8.3 Conclusion 272
- 9 Root allomorphy and conjugation class 273
 - 9.1 Conjugation-class membership and the L-pattern 273
 - 9.2 Excursus: the Romance first conjugation and the absence of root allomorphy 277
 - 9.3 Conclusion 283
- 10 New morphomic patterns from old 284
 - 10.1 Typology: phonological deformation, clash, contraction, and coalescence 284
 - 10.2 Phonological deformation 284
 - 10.3 Clash 288
 - 10.4 Contraction (and expansion) 289
 - 10.5 Coalescence 292
 - 10.6 Conclusion 295
- 11 Morphomic patterns, suppletion, and the Romance morphological landscape 296
 - 11.1 Lexical suppletion in the Romance verb 296
 - 11.2 Lexical suppletion in the noun and adjective 297
 - 11.3 The conditions for lexical suppletion 300
- 12 Origins, substance, and persistence of Romance morphomic patterns 301
 - 12.1 The origins of morphomic structures 301
 - 12.2 The substance of morphomic structures 302
 - 12.3 Morphological autonomy and extramorphological conditioning 306
 - 12.4 The diachronic persistence of morphomic structures 310
 - 12.4.1 Unity of meaning 310
 - 12.4.2 The relation between the persistence of morphomic patterns and predictability 314
 - 12.5 Conclusion 317

Glosses of Latin verbs and verb prefixes cited in the text 319 References and bibliographical abbreviations 323 Index 350