

Table of contents

Conventions and symbols

1. Abbreviations	IX
2. System of phonetic transcription	X
3. Notational conventions	XI
4. Symbols used in tables	XI
5. General principles of naming the linguistic variables	XII
6. List of variables investigated in this study	XII
7. Acknowledgements	XIV

Introduction

1. The topic and its relevance	1
2. The aim and scope of the present investigation	4
3. The methodological and theoretical framework	4
4. The notion of “variety”, “continuum”, “pool of features” and “accent” in this study	5

I. Estuary English: Early attempts at describing and explaining EE

1. Early works of reference	9
2. Linguistic characteristics of EE	10
3. Regional extension of EE	16
4. Social extension of EE	18
5. Social and linguistic evaluation of EE	22
6. The origins of EE	24

II. The reference accents

1. RP	27
2. Advanced RP	31
3. South-eastern Near RP/Modified RP	32
4. The changing image of RP and Modified RP	34

5. Cockney/Popular London	36
6. Social evaluation of Cockney/Popular London	37
7. London Suburban and South-Eastern Regional Standard	39

III. Methodology I: Extra-linguistic variables

1. The methodological framework	41
2. The general methodological procedure	41
3. Data collection: synchronic perspective	42
3.1. The London-Colchester-Canterbury investigation (Lo-Co-Ca project)	43
3.1.1. Regional variation	43
3.1.2. Social Variation	44
3.1.3. Stylistic variation	46
3.2. The London investigation by Tollfree (1999)	50
3.2.1. Regional variation	50
3.2.2. Social variation	50
3.2.3. Stylistic variation	51
3.3. The Milton Keynes-Reading-Hull investigation by Williams and Kerswill (Kerswill 1996, Williams/Kerswill 1999, Cheshire et al. 1999, Kerswill/Williams 2000)	51
3.3.1. Regional variation	52
3.3.2. Social variation	52
3.3.3. Stylistic variation	53
3.4. Descriptions of RP	53
3.5. Summary: terms of comparison	53
4. Data collection: diachronic perspective	54
4.1. The Hudson & Holloway study of London English (1977)	54
4.1.1. Regional variation	55
4.1.2. Social variation	55
4.1.3. Stylistic variation	56
4.2. The <i>Survey of English Dialects</i> (1950–1961)	56
4.2.1. Regional variation	56
4.2.2. Social variation	56
4.2.3. Stylistic variation	57
4.3. Descriptions of RP in the 1970s and 1980s	57
4.4. Summary: terms of comparison	57

IV. Methodology II: Linguistic Variables

1. The concept of the linguistic variable	59
2. Selection of linguistic variables	60

3. Consonants	62
3.1. H Dropping	62
3.2. TH Fronting	63
3.3. T Glottalling	63
3.4. L Vocalization	65
3.5. Yod Dropping and Yod Coalescence	67
3.6. ST Palatalization	69
4. Vowels and diphthongs	70
4.1. MOUTH Vowel	70
4.2. GOAT Vowel	71
4.3. GOOSE Vowel	73
4.4. SCHOOL Vowel	74
4.5. FOOT Vowel	75

V. Describing results

1. Identifying and quantifying the linguistic variable	77
2. Additional information on speakers and their social background	78
3. Consonants	79
3.1. H Dropping	79
3.2. TH Fronting	81
3.3. T Glottalling	83
3.4. L Vocalization	91
3.4.1. Vocalization of dark [ɪ]	91
3.4.2. Vocalization of clear [i]	96
3.5. Yod Dropping and Yod Coalescence	98
3.6. ST Palatalization	100
4. Vowels and diphthongs	102
4.1. MOUTH Vowel	102
4.2. GOAT Vowel	105
4.3. GOOSE Vowel	109
4.4. SCHOOL Vowel	112
4.5. FOOT Vowel	115

VI. Interpreting results with regard to the notion of EE

1. How to read tables 1a to 2b	119
2. Patterns of variation: synchronic perspective	120
3. Patterns of variation: diachronic perspective	124
4. Patterns of variation: hypotheses and findings	128
5. What is EE? Option I: EE as group of variants and a pool of features.	130

6. What is EE? Option II: EE as an accent continuum (?)	133
7. What is EE? Option III: EE as a south-eastern middle-class variety (??)	138

VII. Explaining results

1. Convergence and increase in uniformity	140
1.1. External factor: mobility and migration	140
1.2. Linguistic consequence: accent convergence	142
1.3. Internal cause: structural and articulatory preference	143
1.4. Linguistic consequence: a move in the direction of preferred linguistic structures	145
1.5. "Youth norms" revisited	148
2. Acts of identity and preservation of diversity	149
2.1. External cause: "decline of deference"	149
2.2. Linguistic consequence: relaxation of normative pressure	150
2.3. Acts of identity	150
2.4. Linguistic consequence: complex (and "irregular") patterns of variation	151
2.4.1. Principles of the politics of affiliation and distancing in the case of EE	151
2.4.2. H Dropping, the MOUTH monophthong ... and the "politics of not sounding common"	152
2.4.3. TH Fronting and the "politics of keeping up inverted snobbery"	152
2.4.4. T Glottalling and the "politics of preserving social distinction"	153
2.4.5. T Glottalling in pre-lateral position and the "politics of selected naughtiness"	153
2.4.6. Yod Coalescence and ST Palatalization and the "politics of a relaxed style"	154
2.4.7. MOUTH Raising and the "politics of the middle way"	154
2.4.8. GOAT Advancement and GOOSE Fronting or the "politics of the modern middle way"	155
2.4.9. GOOSE Fronting and FOOT Fronting and the "politics of being hyper-modern"	156
2.4.10. The pattern of stylistic variation and the "politics of focussing"	156

Conclusion

1. What is EE? Synchronic perspective	159
2. What is EE? Diachronic perspective	162

3. What has given rise to EE? 163
4. What is different about EE? 164
5. What is new about EE? 166

Bibliography 169

Appendices

Appendix 1: Phonetic and phonological characteristics of EE
as described by Rosewarne and Coggle 177
Appendix 2: Questionnaire 181
Appendix 3: Stylistic variation – Summary 187