

Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Introduction..... | 7 |
| Part I: <i>TROILUS AND CRESSIDA</i> : Inscribing Time | 19 |
| I.1. Troilus and Cressida as a medieval in(ter)vention..... | 20 |
| I.2. Polychronic text – the palimpsest | 24 |
| I.3. The medieval/early modern dichotomy | 42 |
| I.4. Troilus true and Cressida false? The performative power of discourse | 54 |
| Part II: <i>THE TWO NOBLE KINSMEN</i> : The Stage as Palimpsest | 69 |
| II.1. The Prologue: “Constant to eternity” | 70 |
| II.2. “I carry winged time”: The Gower figure in <i>Pericles</i> | 72 |
| II.3. The funeral-marriage..... | 79 |
| II.4. “I am your heir and you are mine”: Figurations of Palamon and Arcite..... | 83 |
| II.4.1. Eteocles and Polyntices in Statius’ <i>Thebaid</i> | 86 |
| II.4.2. in Sophocles’ <i>Antigone</i> | 88 |
| II.5. ‘Thebanness’ and ‘Athenness’ | 93 |
| II.6. Polychronicity and Multitemporality..... | 94 |
| II.7. Theseus, Creon and the gods | 98 |
| II.8. “Nat fully quyke, ne fully dede they were” | 100 |
| II.9. Compulsory heterosexual marriage and linear time | 103 |
| II.9.1. The kinsmen in <i>The Knight’s Tale</i> : “Two yonge knyghtes liggynge by and by” | 103 |
| II.9.2. The two noble kinsmen: “Ever begetting / New births of love” | 105 |
| II.9.3. Emilia..... | 110 |
| II.9.4. Emilia’s silencing..... | 120 |
| II.10. <i>Pericles</i> : Authorship, patriarchy, the “dynastic agenda” and linear time | 125 |
| II.11. <i>The Great Mover’s Speech</i> – Palamon and Arcite’s function for Theseus | 131 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| II.12. Structural repetition..... | 144 |
| Conclusion | 147 |
| Bibliography..... | 151 |