

TABLE OF CONTENTS / Inhaltsverzeichnis

<u>Chapter I. GENERAL INTRODUCTION</u>	1
A. General Object and Approach of this Study	1
B. The Motivation(s) behind Project	5
(1) The three broad motivations: Principles, GPLs and Proportionality	5
(2) The nature of GPLs and some aspects of proportionality	12
(3) Further remarks on the third motivation	13
(4) The <i>generic</i> and <i>universal</i> aspects in more detail	14
(5) Conclusions on the third motivation	15
C. Research Approach and Emphasis	16
(1) First chore: Examining whether proportionality has already been confirmed as a GPL	16
(2) Second chore: Examining whether proportionality ought now be seen as a GPL	18
D. Conclusion of Introductory Chapter	20
<u>Chapter II. LEGAL 'PRINCIPLES' and LEGAL 'RULES'</u>	23
A. Introduction on Topic of 'Rules' and 'Principles'	23
B. Natural Law and Positive Law	25
C. Ronald Dworkin on Rules and Principles	31
(1) General overview of Dworkin's stance on rules and principles	31
(2) The <i>Riggs v. Palmer</i> Case	35
(3) Analysis of Dworkin	37
(a) General	37
(b) The 'all or nothing' character of rules	39
(c) Fit and justification	40
(d) Analysis of <i>Riggs</i> Case	41
(4) Conclusion on Dworkin	43
D. Robert Alexy's Version of The Principles Theory	44
(1) General	44
(2) The sub-theories (compartments) within the 'principles theory'	52
(3) Analysis of the greater principles theory as a concept of law generally	58
(a) General	58
(b) Analysis of the principles theory as a sub-theory on norms	58
(c) Analysis of the principles theory as a sub-theory on adjudication	61
(d) Analysis of the principles theory as a sub-theory on argumentation	64
(e) Alexy's 'balancing' operations and claim to rationality	65
(4) Other criticisms of Alexy's principles theory	66
(5) Brief discussion on the nature of law	69
(6) Summary of the analysis on Alexy	73
(7) A 'rules-oriented' theory	77
(8) Final deliberations on Alexy's ideas on principles and on proportionality	80
E. What are These Things called Legal 'Principles' Then?	82
(1) General	82
(2) When do we call something a 'principle'?	83
(3) The sources (or types) of legal principles	86
(4) The functions of principles	86
(5) Some principles function as balancing tests, serving as gap fillers or legal reasoning tools	87
(6) Forms and classifications of norms	90
<u>Chapter III. INTERNATIONAL LEGAL SYSTEM and SOVEREIGNTY</u>	93
A. Introduction	93
B. A Brief History of International Relations and International Law	93
(1) The early years	93
(2) The 19 th Century	97
(3) After the First World War (1919 – 1939)	99
(4) After the Second World War (1945 – 1990)	101
(5) Post Cold War	103

C. The Concept of Nation-State Sovereignty	104
(1) General	104
(2) Going back in history to Nicaea (325 A.D.) and to Westphalia (1648 A.D.)	106
(3) Sovereignty at the basis of an international system made up of independent States	110
(4) Sovereignty, the current inter-state system and PIL	111
(5) Issues concerning <i>internal</i> state sovereignty	116
(6) Criticisms of today's <i>external</i> concept on nation-state sovereignty	119
(7) Aspects of today's PIL system more generally	125
(8) Is it even possible to get rid of sovereignty, let alone desirable to do so?	128
<u>Chapter IV. THE SOURCES OF INTERNATIONAL LAW</u>	131
A. Introduction to the Sources of International Law	131
(1) General	131
(2) The basic nature of international law and the PIL system	132
(3) A brief review of the sources of international law and their validity criteria	134
(4) Summary of the introduction on sources	135
B. History of the Sources of International Law and Article 38 ICJ (and PCIJ) Statute	137
(1) General	137
(2) The League of Nations and the PCIJ	137
(3) The United Nations and ICJ Statute generally (post WWII)	141
(4) The International Court of Justice	143
C. Article 38 (1) ICJ Statute Generally	144
(1) General	144
(2) Article 38 (1) ICJ Statute, a complete and binding statement on the sources of law	147
(3) UN Charter and Article 38 (1) as GIL and generic (universal) international law	150
(4) The sources of international law today	153
(a) General	153
(b) Treaty law generally (and its validity criteria)	154
(c) Treaty 'principles'	156
(d) Customary international law generally (and its validity criteria)	158
(e) The ILC and codification	159
(f) The general principles of <i>international law</i> (GPILs) as a sub-category of CIL	161
(g) Jus cogens (as a sub-category of CIL)	165
<i>i.</i> general (including brief history)	165
<i>ii.</i> validity criteria for jus cogens rules	168
<i>iii.</i> nature and foundation of jus cogens on the whole as a body of rules	170
<i>iv.</i> jus cogens and hierarchy of the sources	172
<i>v.</i> examples of jus cogens (and erga omnes)	173
<i>vi.</i> some limits on jus cogens and international judicial practice	175
<i>vii.</i> conclusion on jus cogens	178
(h) Article 38 (1) (d) ICJ Statute: Judicial decisions as a subsidiary means (source)	179
<i>i.</i> general	179
<i>ii.</i> Article 59 ICJ Statute	180
<i>iii.</i> the second part of Article 38 (1) (d)	185
D. Article 38 (1) (c) ICJ Statute: GPLs as a Distinct Primary Source of International Law	193
(1) General	193
(2) History	194
(3) Legitimacy of the GPLs generally	197
(4) GPLs are consent based as a source of law and in the validity criteria for each GPL	200
(5) Municipal laws as the raw material for GPLs	202
(a) General	202
(b) The 'civilized nations'	202
(c) <i>Municipal</i> principles	203
(d) The comparative approach	204
(6) GPL validity criteria	206
(7) Keeping international law and municipal law separate	208
(a) General	208
(b) The relationship between international law and municipal law from the PIL perspective	209
(8) GPLs are indeed 'primary' legal rules, capable of being substantive	210
(9) Formation and confirmation of GPLs	213
(a) General	213
(b) Difficulty of the comparative approach (in validating GPLs)	216
(c) The involvement of the ICJ (and the international judiciary generally) in confirming GPLs	218
<i>i.</i> the ICJ	218

ii. the connections among the ICJ, the GPLs and judicial determinations	221
iii. the 'other' international courts	225
(10) GPLs as 'principles'	225
(a) The types of principles that can be GPLs	225
(b) The role and function of GPLs (as 'principles')	226
(c) The normative consequences of being a GPL	228
Chapter V. THE PROPORTIONALITY PRINCIPLE(S)	231
A. General Introduction to 'Proportionality'	231
(1) The Origins of modern proportionality	231
(2) The development of modern German proportionality in the first half of the 20 th Century	233
(3) Proportionality's development in Germany in the second half of the 20 th Century	234
(4) The current contours of proportionality in Germany	238
(a) Some potential exceptions and deviations in Germany	238
(b) The German version is not necessarily the measuring stick and focal point	244
(c) The elements of the classic/usual, tiered German proportionality test	245
(5) Proportionality principles more generally today	248
(a) Proportionality in the constitutional and constitutional-like context and beyond	248
(b) The idea behind (and potential for) a basic common thread among all balancing tests	251
(6) What scholarship has said on proportionality as a generic and universal legal rule	252
B. What the ICJ has said (and <i>not</i> said) on Proportionality as a GPL	231
C. Comparative Analysis of Proportionality and Balancing in Municipal Legal Systems	264
(1) General comments on the comparative analysis	264
(2) A sampling of various municipal systems	265
(a) United Kingdom	265
(b) Canada	271
(c) New Zealand	276
(d) Australia	277
(e) Ireland	282
(f) South Africa	285
(g) Israel	287
(h) Italy	290
(i) Hungary	294
(j) France	296
(k) United States	297
(l) Russia	300
(m) China	302
(3) Reviewing some other recent ideas and comments on proportionality	303
(a) Aharon Barak	303
(b) Bernhard Schlink	305
(c) Juan Cianciardo	308
(4) Concluding remarks on proportionality as a GPL	310
D. Comparative Analysis of Proportionality in Legal Areas/Regimes of the PIL System	316
(1) General	316
(2) Proportionality in the EU and ECHR	318
(a) General	318
(b) Proportionality in the EU, as applied by the ECJ	319
(c) Proportionality under the ECHR, as applied by the ECtHR	324
(3) Another example of proportionality in the human-rights context, the ACHR	329
(4) Proportionality as a restraint on forceful actions by States (<i>jus ad bellum</i> and <i>jus in bello</i>)	332
(a) General	332
(b) Proportionality in the <i>jus ad bellum</i> context	335
i. use of force in self-defense against armed attack	335
ii. use of force for preventative (anticipatory) self-defense	340
iii. use of force as humanitarian intervention, without UN mandate under Ch VII	341
iv. UN Security Council and use of force	343
(c) Proportionality in the <i>jus in bello</i> (IHL) context	344
(d) The <i>Israeli Wall</i> Advisory Opinion	347
(5) The law on maritime boundary delimitation	348
(6) The World Trade Organization	349
Chapter VI. CONCLUSION	359