

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	1
	1.1 <i>Relativism, Scepticism, and Analytic Philosophy</i>	3
	1.2 <i>The Anti-sceptical Strategies</i>	7
	1.3 <i>The Dialectical Strategy</i>	11
	<i>References</i>	13
2	The Principal Argument for Epistemic Relativism	15
	2.1 <i>The Five Modes of Pyrrhonian Scepticism</i>	15
	2.1.1 <i>The Agrippan Trilemma</i>	16
	2.1.2 <i>The Modes from Relativity and Dispute</i>	19
	2.2 <i>The Relativist's Response</i>	20
	2.3 <i>Epistemic Systems, Pluralism, and Incommensurability</i>	21
	2.4 <i>The Narrow Agrippan Trilemma</i>	28
	2.5 <i>Conclusion: The Principal Argument for Epistemic Relativism</i>	30
	<i>References</i>	31
3	Epistemic Relativism in the Analytic Tradition	35
	3.1 <i>Kantian Absolutism</i>	37
	3.2 <i>Logical Positivism</i>	39
	3.3 <i>The Deflationary Reading of Tolerance</i>	42
	3.4 <i>Scientific Paradigms</i>	48
	3.4.1 <i>Incommensurability and Relativism</i>	50

3.4.2	<i>Moderate Relativism</i>	54
3.4.3	<i>Epistemic Relativism</i>	57
3.5	<i>Conclusion</i>	59
	<i>References</i>	60
4	Foundationalism and Coherentism	63
4.1	<i>Foundationalism</i>	64
4.2	<i>The Problem of the Criterion</i>	67
4.2.1	<i>Epistemic Principles</i>	68
4.2.2	<i>Cornerstone Principles</i>	71
4.2.3	<i>(KC) and the Problem of the Criterion</i>	73
4.2.4	<i>The Problem of Subjective Foundations</i>	74
4.3	<i>Coherentism</i>	76
4.3.1	<i>The Problem of the Criterion Revisited</i>	77
4.3.2	<i>The Relativity of Coherence</i>	81
4.4	<i>Conclusion</i>	83
	<i>References</i>	84
5	Externalism	87
5.1	<i>Reliabilism and the Rejection of (KC)</i>	89
5.2	<i>Proper Assurance Objections</i>	92
5.2.1	<i>The No Doubt Constraint</i>	93
5.2.2	<i>Dialectical Effectiveness</i>	96
5.2.3	<i>The Discrimination Problem</i>	98
5.3	<i>Epistemic Circularity, Begging the Question, and Epistemic Relativism</i>	102
5.4	<i>Conclusion</i>	103
	<i>References</i>	104
6	Particularism and Methodism	107
6.1	<i>Particularism, Methodism, and Reflective Equilibrium</i>	108
6.2	<i>Sankey's Naturalistic Particularism</i>	111
6.2.1	<i>Begging the Question: The First Charge</i>	113
6.2.2	<i>Begging the Question: The Second Charge</i>	114
6.3	<i>Luper's Neutral Position</i>	118
6.3.1	<i>A Pragmatic Vindication of the Ordinary Standard</i>	121
6.3.2	<i>Begging the Question</i>	123

6.4	<i>Conclusion</i>	126
	<i>References</i>	127
7	The Charge of Incoherence	129
7.1	<i>The Sceptic's Dilemma</i>	130
7.2	<i>The Relativist's Dilemmas</i>	131
7.3	<i>The Sceptic's Dilemma Resolved</i>	132
7.4	<i>The Relativist's Dilemmas Resolved</i>	133
7.5	<i>A New Relativist Trilemma</i>	136
7.6	<i>Sankey's Reply: Strong and Weak Justification</i>	139
7.7	<i>The Relativist's Way Out: Default Justification</i>	140
7.8	<i>Conclusion</i>	143
	<i>References</i>	143
8	The Wittgensteinian Position	145
8.1	<i>Moore's Proof of an External World</i>	146
8.2	<i>Hinge Propositions</i>	147
8.3	<i>Against Moore</i>	149
8.4	<i>Against Scepticism</i>	151
8.5	<i>Epistemic Relativism</i>	152
8.6	<i>Wittgenstein as a Contextualist</i>	156
	8.6.1 <i>The Contextualist Response to Relativism</i>	159
	8.6.2 <i>Drawbacks of the Contextualist Response</i>	161
8.7	<i>Wittgenstein as a Davidsonian (or Davidson as a Wittgensteinian)</i>	163
	8.7.1 <i>The Davidsonian Response to Relativism</i>	166
	8.7.2 <i>Drawbacks of the Davidsonian Response</i>	168
8.8	<i>Conclusion</i>	172
	<i>References</i>	173
9	A Dialectical Strategy	175
9.1	<i>Boghossian's Insight</i>	176
9.2	<i>Seidel's Argument</i>	177
9.3	<i>Strong and Weak Dependence</i>	180
9.4	<i>Constitutive Dependence and Kantian Absolutism</i>	181
9.5	<i>Resisting Epistemic Relativism</i>	187
9.6	<i>The Dialectical Argument for the Naturalist Presumption</i>	194

9.7	<i>Religious Experience</i>	196
9.8	<i>Rationalism and Empiricism</i>	201
9.8.1	<i>Against Radical Empiricism</i>	203
9.8.2	<i>Against Radical Rationalism</i>	209
9.9	<i>Conclusion</i>	212
	<i>References</i>	213
10	Conclusions	215
	<i>References</i>	218
	Bibliography	219
	Index	229