

Contents

List of Figures	XIII
List of Tables	XXI
Acknowledgements	XXV
1 Introduction	1
2 Variation, transfer and ultimate attainment in second language acquisition	7
2.1 Variation.....	8
2.1.1 Internal and external factors.....	9
2.1.2 Systematic vs. non-systematic variation	19
2.2 Transfer in second language (phonological) acquisition	21
2.3 Ultimate attainment in second language acquisition	30
2.4 Implications for the present study	36
3 British English, American English and German: Cross-linguistic comparison and transfer opportunities for German learners.....	39
3.1 Rhoticity and linking <r>.....	40
3.1.1 Cross-linguistic comparison.....	40
3.1.2 Transfer opportunities	46
3.2 T-voicing.....	47
3.2.1 Cross-linguistics comparison	47
3.2.2 Transfer opportunities	50
3.3 The BATH vowel and the LOT / THOUGHT distinction	51
3.3.1 The vowel systems of BrE, AmE and Standard German	52
3.3.2 The BATH vowel	56

3.3.2.1	Cross-linguistics comparison	56
3.3.2.2	Transfer opportunities.....	59
3.3.3	The LOT / THOUGHT distinction	60
3.3.3.1	Cross-linguistics comparison	60
3.3.3.2	Transfer opportunities.....	63
3.4	Summary	64
4	The attainment of an English accent by advanced German learners: Research questions.....	67
5	Methodology.....	69
5.1	Speakers	69
5.2	Diagnostic texts: “Comma gets a cure” and “München”	72
5.2.1	Comma gets a cure.....	73
5.2.2	München.....	74
5.3	Rhoticity and linking <r>.....	74
5.4	T-voicing.....	79
5.5	The BATH vowel and the LOT / THOUGHT distinction	81
5.5.1	A brief note on lexical sets for German	82
5.5.2	BATH and LOT / THOUGHT.....	83
5.5.3	Vowel measurement in PRAAT.....	87
5.5.4	Plotting vowels: The package “Vowels” for R (Kendall & Thomas 2012)	92
5.6	Statistical analyses	101
5.6.1	Consonants: Rbrul (Johnson 2009)	102
5.6.2	Vowels: Boxplots and pairwise t-tests in R	104
6	Results: The realizations of salient differences between BrE and AmE by advanced German learners	109
6.1	Rhoticity and linking <r>.....	109
6.1.1	Rhoticity	110

6.1.1.1 Quantitative distribution of rhoticity by target variety, region, proficiency and time spent abroad.....	110
6.1.1.2 Summary and Rbrul analysis.....	124
6.1.2 Linking <r>.....	129
6.1.2.1 Quantitative distribution of linking <r> by target variety, region, proficiency and time spent abroad	129
6.1.2.2 Summary and Rbrul analysis.....	139
6.2 T-voicing.....	143
6.2.1 Quantitative distribution of t-voicing by target variety, region, proficiency and time spent abroad.....	143
6.2.1.1 Extra-linguistic independent variables L1 accent region, proficiency and time spent abroad	145
6.2.1.2 Intra-linguistic independent variables position and word class.....	148
6.2.2 Summary and Rbrul analysis.....	158
6.3 The BATH vowel and the LOT / THOUGHT distinction	162
6.3.1 The BATH vowel	164
6.3.1.1 Native BATH in comparison to German vowel space	164
6.3.1.2 BATH by region, proficiency and time spent abroad.....	171
6.3.1.3 BATH in comparison to TRAP and PALM, native BATH, and German BÄTEN, KÄTZCHEN, BETTEN, BATEN and HATTEN	174
6.3.2 The LOT / THOUGHT distinction	198
6.3.2.1 Native LOT and THOUGHT in comparison to German vowel space	198
6.3.2.2 LOT and THOUGHT by region, proficiency and time spent abroad.....	206
6.3.2.3 LOT and THOUGHT in comparison to native LOT and THOUGHT and German BOTEN, SOCKEN, BATEN and HATTEN.....	211

7 Summary and discussion.....	241
7.1 Linguistic features.....	241
7.1.1 Rhoticity	241
7.1.2 Linking <r>.....	244
7.1.3 T-voicing	245
7.1.4 BATH	247
7.1.5 LOT / THOUGHT.....	250
7.2 The influence of extra-linguistic variables on the degree of approximation to the self-chosen target accent	255
7.2.1 The self-chosen target accent	255
7.2.2 L1 accent region	257
7.2.3 Proficiency.....	258
7.2.4 Time spent abroad.....	258
7.3 Transfer and ultimate attainment.....	261
8 Conclusion and outlook.....	265
9 References.....	271