## Contents

Pre	face			V
1.	Introduction: The Kiel research project			1
	1.1	_ <del></del>		
	1.2	Workin	ng in the project	1 1
		The sta		1 3 5
	1.4	Publica	ations	5
2.	Aspe	ects of a	address theory	7
4	2.0	Basic c	oncepts and terminology	7
. 3	2.1	Some 1	basic publications on the theory of address	14
		2.1.1	Gilman/Brown (1958), Brown/Gilman	
			(1960) and Brown/Ford (1961)	14
	4	2.1.2	Susan Ervin-Tripp (1972)	17
	2.2	Criticis	sm and modifications of the theory of address	18
		2.2.1.	Theory of address – a case of	
			"Systemlinguistik"?	18
	ರ ರಾಜಕ	2.2.2	Forms of address characterizing the speaker	24
	٧.	2.2.3	Reconsidering the concepts of address	
	,		competence, address system, and address	
			variety	29
	À	2.2.4	Address systems with a tendency towards	
			assimilation (echoing)	32
	*	2.2.5	A reformulation of "rules"	35
	-111	2.2.6	Constellations with multiple options of	
	•		address	37
	1	2.2.7	Do variants of address constitute a single	
	124		hierarchy (scale)?	38
	, b	2.2.8	Special functions of "V pronouns"	42
,)	2.3	When 1	polite forms are impolite, or what politeness	
*		actu	ally is (F. Braun/K. Schubert)	45
	ش	2.3.1	The ambiguity of politeness	46

## x Contents

أبغون	2.3.1.1	imponte use of politeness forms	46
7 est	2.3.1.2	Polite use of "non-polite" forms	48
	2.3.1.3	The meanings of politeness	49
	2.3.2	What makes a linguistic expression polite?	53
1	2.3.2.1	A classification of linguistic markers of	
V		politeness	53
4	2.3.2.2	Why do people use linguistic expressions of politeness?	54
L	2.3.2.3	Means of avoidance	55
	2.3.2.4		56
		The meaning of expressions of avoidance	57
		What is new is polite	57
		Polite forms wearing out	59
		Politeness in contrast	61
√2.4°		e address system affect a speaker's perception	
O#		cial relationships?	64
¥2.5	Conclus		66
.↓Metl	nodologic	cal considerations	69
		wing informants on the basis of a	
•	quest	ionnaire	69
¥	3.1.1	Advantages	69
4.	3.1.2	Shortcomings	71
3.2	Applyin	ng the questionnaire in investigating systems of	
	addre	ess: two examples	76
	3.2.1	Forms of address in Portuguese	77
	3.2.1.0	Object and procedure of the investigation	77
	3.2.1.1	The evolution of the Portuguese system of	
		bound forms	78
	3.2.1.2	The repertory of free forms	83
	3.2.1.3	Addressing members of the family	84
	3.2.1.4		88
	3.2.1.5	Forms of address at the university	91
	3.2.1.6	Addressing strangers	92
		Addressing God	96
	3.2.1.8	Addressing animals	96
<i>s</i> -	,3.2.1.9	Changes in the Portuguese system of address	96
	3.2.1.10	Final remarks	97

	3.2.2	Georgian address behavior around the year	
		1940 (Klaus Schubert)	99
	3.2.2.1	The informant	99
	3.2.2.2	The Georgian forms of address	100
	3.2.2.2.1	Bound forms: verbs and pronouns of	
		address	100
	3.2.2.2.2	Free forms: the nominal repertory of	
		address	100
	3.2.2.3	Members of the family	103
	3.2.2.4	Neighbors	105
	3.2.2.5	College	106
	3.2.2.6	School	107
	3.2.2.7	Strangers	107
	3.2.2.8	Greetings	108
	3.2.2.9	Interpretation of data	109
	3.2.2.9.1	Inside the family	109
	3.2.2.9.2	Outside the family	111
4	3.2.2.10	Summary	114
3.3	The valid	ity of data obtained from individual	
	inform	ants	115
	3.3.1	The validity of individual data in	
		Norwegian	117
	3.3.1.1	Procedure	117
	3.3.1.2	A brief survey of Norwegian forms of	
		address	119
	3.3.1.3	Constellations with a norm of address:	
		group data and test informant's data	121
	3.3.2	The validity of individual data in Jordanian	1
		Arabic	173
	3.3.2.1	Procedure	173
	3.3.2.2	Survey of Jordanian Arabic forms of address	175
	2222		1/3
	3.3.2.3	Constellations with a norm in free forms of address	182
	222		192
2.4	3.3.3	Conclusions	
3.4	•		195
	3.4.1	Reprint of the questionnaire	195
	3.4.1.0	Questions concerning the informant's	100
		background	195

## xii Contents

	3.4.1.1	Addressing family members	199
	3.4.1.2	Neighbors	204
	3.4.1.3	University	211
		Place of work	227
	3,4.1.5	Unknown addressees	235
		Miscellaneous	245
	3,4.2	Explanations and comments	248
	3,4,2,1	Family	248
	3,4,2,2	Neighbors	249
	3.4.2.3	University	250
	3.4.2.4	Place of work	250
		Unknown addressees	251
	3.4.2.6	Miscellaneous	251
4/	Two topics of	of address in interlingual perspective	253
4		the meaning of a form of address?	253
	<b> ⅓</b> 4.1.1	Forms of address and their literal meaning	253
	4.1.2	Forms of address and their referential	
		meaning	257
	4.1.3	Forms of address and their social meaning	258
	4.1.4	The relationship of literal meaning and	
	•	social meaning	259
	4.1.5	Literal meaning as an indicator of social	
		meaning	264
	4.2 Addres	s inversion	265
	4.2.1	What is address inversion?	265
	4.2.2	Occurrences of address inversion	266
	4.2.3	Hypotheses about the origin and evolution	
	<del></del>	of address inversion	278
	<b>4.2.3.1</b>	Approaches to explaining address inversion	278
	4.2.3.2		283
	4.2.4	The social meaning of address inversion	292
	4.2.5	Address inversion – implications of address	
		theory	293
	4.2.5.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	293
	4.2.5.2	1	294
	4.2.5.3		296
		and and and an analysis about	

	Contents	XIII
5. Publications on forms of address		297
6. Conclusions		303
List of References		313
Supplement to Braun/Kohz/Schubert (1986): Bibliography, Part II		333
Index		367