

Contents

Acknowledgments xiii

Introduction xv

1. *The Formal Structure of Choice-of-Law Rules: Three Choice-of-Law Methodologies* 1
 - A. The Inherently Formal Structure of Classical and Interest Analysis
Choice-of-Law Methodologies 2
 - B. Challenging the Formal Structure of Choice-of-Law Rules: Better-Law
Methodology 6
 1. Better Law: Two Versions and Two Challenges 6
 2. Better Law as a Primary Rule: Robert Lefflar and Friedrich Juenger 8
 - (a) Robert Lefflar: Legal Realism, Rules' Manipulation, and the "Better Rule
of Law" 8
 - (b) Friedrich Juenger 11
 3. Targeting the Better-Law Approach as a Primary Rule 13
 - (a) Better Law vs. Individual Justice 13
 - (b) Better Law as a Primary Rule in Choice-of-Law Practice 16
 - (c) The Approximation Move 17
 4. Better Law as a Subsidiary Rule 20
 - (a) Better Law within Classical Choice-of-Law Methodology 22
 - (b) Interest Analysis Methodology 25
 - C. Situating CEF within the Formal Structure of Choice-of-Law Rules and the
Better-Law Story 27
2. *Choice Pillar I: Understanding Savigny's Theory of Choice-of-Law as Voluntary Submission* 31
 - A. Step 1: Three Basic Insights on the Nature of the Subject 32
 1. Basic Insight (1): The Basic Unit of Inquiry Has to Be Related to the
Concept of "Legal Relations" 32
 - (a) Legal Relations 32

(b)	The Rejection of the <i>Lex Fori</i> Solution	36
2.	Basic Insight (2): Choice-of-Law Rules Must Be Linked to States' Territories	37
3.	Basic Insight (3): The Choice-of-Law System Has to Be Universal	39
(a)	Two Justifications	40
(i)	The Principle of Legal Equality between Natives and Foreigners	40
(ii)	Objection to the Phenomenon of "Forum Shopping"	41
(b)	Rejection of the Common Understanding of the Terms "Comity" and "Reciprocity"	43
B.	Step 2: Theory Revealed—Organizing Principle of "Voluntary Submission"	45
1.	The Relation to Roman Law and the Scholarship of Organizing Principles	47
2.	The Operational Mechanism of the Principle	49
(a)	The Case of Explicit Choice	49
(b)	The Complementary Argument of "Constructive Inference"	50
(i)	Constructive Inference	50
(ii)	Domicile and Other Juridical Indicators	51
(iii)	Juridical Presumptions and the "Universal Seat" Formula	53
C.	Step 3: Two Deviations from the "Voluntary Submission" Principle	58
1.	Deviation 1: Savigny's Exceptional Category of "Anomalous Laws"	58
2.	Deviation 2: The Frequent Affixation on Juridical Presumptions	62
3.	<i>Choice Pillar II: Returning Savigny's Theory to Its Origins</i>	69
A.	The Kantian Origins of Savigny's Organizing Principle of "Voluntary Submission"	70
1.	Kantian System of Rights	71
(a)	Universal Principle of Right	71
(b)	Innate Right and Acquired Rights	73
(c)	Public Right	76
2.	Savigny's System of Rights	77
B.	CEF's Choice Pillar: The Principle of Juridical Relational Choice	79
1.	The Requirement of Juridical Relational Choice	80
2.	The Presence of a Foreign Element in the Factual Matrix of the Case	85
C.	The Operational Mechanics of the Choice Pillar: Party Autonomy and Constructive Inference	90
1.	Party Autonomy Principle	90
(a)	The Principle	90
(b)	Hague Principles on Choice of Law	94
(i)	The Object of Application: International Commercial Contracts and Presence of Foreign Element	94

- (ii) The Genuineness of the Parties' Choice I: Limiting the Scope to the Contract Law Category 97
- (iii) Genuineness of the Parties' Choice II: Limiting the Scope to Trade Relationships and Exclusion of Consumer Contracts 98
- (iv) Connection to the Chosen Law 100
- (v) Nonstate Law 101
- (vi) Rejection of *Renvoi* Doctrine 105
- 2. The Doctrine of Constructive Inference 106
 - (a) The Notions of Juridical Imputation and Internal Coherency 106
 - (b) Juridical Indicators 112
 - (c) Juridical Presumptions 120

4. *The Equality Pillar* 125

- A. The Link to Better Law as a Subsidiary Rule 125
- B. The Limits to Kantian (and CEF's) Positivism: Barbarism, Innate Equality, and State Equality 127
 - 1. The Barbarism Exception 128
 - (a) Is Every State Really a "State"? 128
 - (b) Two Epitomizations of the Barbarism Exception: R₂P and Universal Jurisdiction 129
 - (i) R₂P Doctrine 130
 - (ii) Universal Jurisdiction 132
 - 2. Innate Equality Exception 133
 - (a) The Undiminished Value of Innate Equality 133
 - (b) Savigny's Three References to the Value of Equality 136
 - (i) Equal Treatment of Locals and Foreigners 137
 - (ii) Disapproving Plaintiff Power to Set the Identity of the Applied Law 139
 - (iii) Discriminative Provisions Based on Ethnicity 142
 - 3. States' Equality Principle 144
 - (a) The Origins of the State Equality Principle 145
 - (b) Legitimacy Challenge 1: The Rejection of Better Law from the Standpoint of American Constitutional law 149
 - (c) Legitimacy Challenge 2: The Rejection of Better Law from the Standpoint of the "Comity" Concept 151
- C. The Two Challenges of Better Law and CEF's Positivism 155
 - 1. The Subjectivity and Legitimacy Challenges 155
 - 2. CEF's Positivism 159

D. Evil Laws as a Reflection of the Equality Pillar	161
1. The Notion of Evil Laws in Legal Theory	161
(a) Natural Law and Evil Laws	162
(b) Legal Positivism and Evil Laws	165
2. Mutual Benefits: What Can Evil Laws and the Equality Pillar Teach Each Other?	168
E. Public Policy as Equality Pillar in Courts	170
1. Terminology	170
2. <i>Loucks v. Standard Oil</i>	171
3. <i>Oppenheimer v. Cattermole</i>	172
4. <i>Kuwait Airways v. Iraqi Airways</i>	173
5. Further Development and Implications	179
A. Tort Law	179
1. American <i>Babcock v. Jackson</i> and English <i>Boys v. Chaplin</i>	181
2. “Conduct-Regulating” vs. “Loss-Distribution” Distinction	184
(a) The Distinction	184
(b) Challenging the Distinction	186
3. The Distinction in the New York Court of Appeal Experience	189
B. <i>Lex Fori</i> as a “Very Dangerous” Solution?	192
1. Conceptually Different Questions	194
2. Savigny’s Objection to “Choice-of-Law Shopping”	195
3. When CEF Cannot Escape <i>Lex Fori</i> ’s Application: The Classification Question and the Lacuna Left by the Application of the Equality Pillar	200
(a) The Classification Question	201
(b) The Lacuna Left by the Equality Pillar’s Application	204
C. Mandatory Rules	205
1. Mandatory Rules, Their Presence in Contemporary Choice-of-Law Practice, and Scope of CEF’s Argument	205
2. The Genesis of Mandatory Rules within Savigny’s Category of “Anomalous” Laws and Its Incompatibility with CEF	209
3. States’ Interests, <i>Lex Fori</i> , and the Reasons for Mandatory Rules	212
4. Mandatory Rules and the Puzzles of Party Autonomy	215
(a) The Question of Mandatory Rules of a Forum	217
(b) The Question of <i>Lex Causae</i> ’s Mandatory Rules	218
(c) The Question of the Designated Forum’s Mandatory Rules	218
D. Substance-Procedure Distinction	219
1. Three Matters	220
2. Some Thoughts on the Substance-Procedure Distinction	221
3. Matter 1: Challenging the Traditional Right-Remedy Distinction	226

4. Matter 2: Challenging the <i>Lex Fori Regit Processum</i> Doctrine—The State-Based Foundation of Procedural Rules	227
5. Matter 3: Widening the Scope of Public Policy Doctrine	230
6. <i>The Relation to Theory and Practice</i>	235
A. The Relation to Other Choice-of-Law Accounts	235
1. Interest Analysis and Better Law	235
2. Classical Choice-of-Law Methodology and Its Vested Rights Version	237
3. Legal Realism Criticism and Rules' Manipulation	239
B. The Relation to Neo-Kantian Theory of Private Law—Corrective Justice	244
1. Choice and Equality within the Structures of Corrective Justice and CEF	247
2. CEF's Vision of Choice and Equality	249
3. The Role of Corrective Justice within the Operational Mechanism of CEF	250
C. The Relation to the Notion of Human Rights	253
D. American <i>Second Restatement</i>	257
1. General Overview	258
2. Some Tentative Thoughts on Reciprocal Contribution	260
(a) What Can the <i>Second Restatement</i> Learn from CEF?	260
(i) Lesson 1: The Substantial Elimination of Section 6	260
(ii) Lesson 2: The Timing Issue	262
(iii) Lesson 3: Immovable/Movable Property Distinction	263
(iv) Lesson 4: The Normative Structure of Juridical Presuppositions	265
(b) What Can CEF and the <i>Second Restatement</i> Teach Savigny's Theory?	266
(i) Lesson 1: The Redemption of Tort Law as a Private Law Category	266
(ii) Lesson 2: Reconceptualization of the Family Law Category	268
(iii) Lesson 3: The Incorporation of the Juridical Indicator of Nationality	272
E. The Challenge of the Digital Age	273
1. The Challenge of the Internet	273
2. Accommodation of Traditional Doctrines	281
3. CEF's Analysis of Internet Choice-of-Law Cases	284
(a) Online Contracts	287
(b) Online Defamation	289
Conclusion	295
Appendix A: Savigny's Model of Choice-of-Law Rules	299
Appendix B: CEF's Model of Choice-of-Law Rules	301
Appendix C: CEF vs. Savigny	303
Appendix D: CEF vs. Classical, Interest Analysis, and Better-Law Choice-of-Law Methodologies	307
Index	311