

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	<b>1</b>
1.1	A General Evaluation of Shareholder Litigation: Pros and Cons . . . . .	1
1.1.1	Positive Externalities Brought by Shareholder Litigation. . . . .	1
1.1.2	Potential Drawbacks of Shareholder Litigation . . . . .	3
1.2	The Proposal of ‘Funding Shareholder Litigation’ . . . . .	4
1.2.1	What Is the Funding Problem with Shareholder Litigation?. . . . .	4
1.2.2	Why Funding Issue Is Crucial for Shareholder Litigation?. . . . .	5
1.2.3	How Will This Book Investigate Funding Issue of Shareholder Litigation?. . . . .	5
1.3	The Structure of the Comparative Study . . . . .	6
1.3.1	The Structure of the Book. . . . .	6
1.3.2	The Countries Chosen for the Comparative Study . . . . .	9
1.4	The Scope of the Comparative Study . . . . .	11
1.4.1	Public Funding . . . . .	11
1.4.2	Third Party Litigation Funding (TPLF) . . . . .	11
1.4.3	Lawyer’s Funding . . . . .	12
1.4.4	Company’s Funding (Indemnity Cost Orders, ICO) . . . . .	13
<b>2</b>	<b>An Overview of Shareholder Litigation</b> . . . . .	<b>15</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	15
2.2	Shareholder Direct Actions . . . . .	16
2.3	Shareholder Derivative Actions . . . . .	17
2.3.1	Shareholder Derivative Actions in China . . . . .	18
2.3.2	Shareholder Derivative Actions in the United Kingdom . . . . .	32
2.3.3	Shareholder Derivative Actions in the United States . . . . .	41

2.4	Shareholder Class Actions . . . . .	52
2.4.1	Class Actions in China . . . . .	53
2.4.2	Class Actions in the United Kingdom . . . . .	57
2.4.3	Class Actions in the United States . . . . .	58
<b>3</b>	<b>Rules on the Costs and Funding of Shareholder Litigation . . . . .</b>	<b>67</b>
3.1	General Rules on the Costs of Shareholder Litigation . . . . .	67
3.1.1	Costs Arising . . . . .	67
3.1.2	The Cost-Shifting Rule . . . . .	75
3.1.3	Shareholder Litigation Is Expensive and It Lacks Incentives for Litigants . . . . .	78
3.2	How to Fund Shareholder Litigation: General Funding Techniques . . . . .	79
3.2.1	Funding Regimes in General . . . . .	79
3.2.2	Self-funding . . . . .	80
3.2.3	Legal Aid . . . . .	80
3.2.4	Funding by Lawyers . . . . .	83
3.2.5	Public Funding . . . . .	85
3.2.6	Third Party Litigation Funding (TPLF) . . . . .	85
3.2.7	Legal Expenses Insurance . . . . .	89
<b>4</b>	<b>Funding Shareholder Litigation: Public Funding . . . . .</b>	<b>91</b>
4.1	An Overview of Public Funding . . . . .	91
4.1.1	The Analysis of ‘Public Interest’ Element . . . . .	91
4.2	Public Funding of Derivative Actions: The Israeli Case . . . . .	97
4.2.1	Statutory Provisions Under the Israeli Law . . . . .	97
4.2.2	Rethinking Section 205A . . . . .	98
4.2.3	Dilemmas in Public Funding of Derivative Actions . . . . .	101
4.3	Public Funding of Class Actions: The Canadian Case . . . . .	107
4.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	107
4.3.2	Ontario Class Proceeding Fund . . . . .	108
4.3.3	The Quebec Fonds D’aide Aux Recours Collectifs (the Quebec <i>Fonds</i> ) and Its Comparison with the Ontario Class Proceedings Fund . . . . .	114
4.4	The Potential for Public Funding in China . . . . .	116
4.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	116
4.4.2	Shareholder Litigation in China: How Does It Function . . . . .	116
4.4.3	Typical Characteristics of Chinese Judicial System . . . . .	125
4.4.4	The Selection of an Authority Taking Charge of Public Funding . . . . .	128
4.4.5	Conclusion . . . . .	134
4.5	Summary . . . . .	135

<b>5</b>	<b>Third Party Litigation Funding (TPLF) of Shareholder Litigation</b>	<b>137</b>
5.1	An Overview of TPLF	137
5.1.1	The Traditional Avoidance of Champerty and Maintenance	138
5.1.2	The Economic Analysis of TPLF	141
5.1.3	Ethical Issues in TPLF	144
5.1.4	Externalities Brought by the Use of TPLF	147
5.2	Third Party Litigation Funding: The Australian Case	150
5.2.1	Statutory Exceptions and Access to Justice	150
5.2.2	Case Studies	151
5.2.3	Regulations on TPLF in Australia	154
5.2.4	Current Practice and the Way Forward	155
5.3	Third Party Litigation Funding: The English Case	157
5.3.1	The Origins of TPLF in England	157
5.3.2	Rules Regarding TPLF	161
5.4	Third Party Litigation Funding: The American Case	164
5.4.1	The Traditional Prohibition of Champerty and Maintenance	165
5.4.2	Ethical Concerns	171
5.5	Concerns and Policy Arguments Brought by the Use of TPLF for Shareholder Litigation	173
5.5.1	The Third Party Funder's Financial Adequacy	173
5.5.2	The Opt-in and Opt-out Dilemma in Funded Class Actions	176
5.5.3	The Termination of Third Party Litigation Funding	178
5.5.4	The Risk of Refusal to Share	179
5.6	The Potential for TPLF in China	180
5.6.1	The Current Approach to Fund Shareholder Litigation in China	180
5.6.2	Why Does China Need TPLF?	181
5.6.3	The Legal Basis for TPLF Under the Current Legal System	183
5.6.4	Potential Problems Brought by the Introduction of TPLF in China: From the Perspective of Current Legal System	184
5.7	Summary	187
<b>6</b>	<b>Funding Alternatives Through Fee or Cost Arrangements</b>	<b>189</b>
6.1	Funding by the Company (Indemnity Cost Orders 'ICO' in Shareholder Derivative Actions)	189
6.1.1	The Origin and Working Mechanism of ICO	190
6.1.2	Problems with ICO	192
6.1.3	Summary	195

6.2	Funding by Lawyers: The First Option (Conditional Fee Agreements, CFAs) . . . . .	195
6.2.1	The Nature of CFA . . . . .	195
6.2.2	Funding Derivative Actions with a CFA . . . . .	196
6.3	Funding by Lawyers: The Second Option (Contingency Fee Arrangements, CGFAs) . . . . .	199
6.3.1	The Conflict of Interests and the Agency Problem Under a CGFA . . . . .	199
6.3.2	CGFAs and Shareholder Derivative Actions in the US: A Potential Rise in Case Volume . . . . .	201
6.3.3	Information Asymmetry in CGFAs . . . . .	203
6.3.4	Lawyers' Ethical Issues in CGFAs . . . . .	204
6.3.5	The Potential for CGFAs: The English Experience . . . . .	208
6.4	Legal Practice of Funding Alternatives (ICOs, CFAs and CGFAs) in China . . . . .	210
6.4.1	Legal Practice of CGFAs in China and Its Potential to Fund Shareholder Litigation . . . . .	210
6.4.2	Potential for CFAs to Fund Shareholder Litigation in China . . . . .	213
6.4.3	The Potential for ICOs to Fund Shareholder Derivative Actions in China . . . . .	214
6.5	Summary . . . . .	215
<b>7</b>	<b>Policy Proposals for Solving Funding Problems with Shareholder Litigation in China . . . . .</b>	<b>217</b>
7.1	Overview . . . . .	217
7.2	Option 1—Public Funding . . . . .	217
7.3	Option 2—Third Party Litigation Funding (TPLF) . . . . .	222
7.4	Option 3—Reformed Indemnity Cost Orders . . . . .	224
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusion . . . . .</b>	<b>227</b>
8.1	The Problem of Funding Shareholder Litigation . . . . .	227
8.2	Public Funding . . . . .	229
8.2.1	From the Social and Political Perspectives . . . . .	231
8.2.2	From the Perspective of Chinese Judicial System . . . . .	232
8.2.3	The Selection of Regulatory Authority of Public Funding . . . . .	233
8.3	Third Party Litigation Funding . . . . .	234
8.3.1	Positive Externalities Brought by the Use of TPLF . . . . .	234
8.3.2	Concerns Brought by the Use of TPLF . . . . .	235
8.3.3	Concerns and Policy Arguments in the Special Context of Shareholder Litigation . . . . .	236
8.3.4	Proposals for China Regarding the Use of TPLF . . . . .	238

8.4 Funding Alternatives . . . . .	238
8.4.1 Indemnity Cost Orders (ICOs) . . . . .	238
8.4.2 Lawyers' Funding—Contingency Fee Arrangements. . . . .	239
8.4.3 Lawyers' Funding—Conditional Fee Agreements . . . . .	240
<b>Bibliography . . . . .</b>	<b>241</b>
<b>List of Selected Cases . . . . .</b>	<b>257</b>
<b>List of Selected Legislation . . . . .</b>	<b>261</b>