

# *Contents*

<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>Introduction: Tackling Uncertainty in the Biobased Economy Through Science</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>P. Morone and F. Govoni</i>		
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Proposed Framework of Analysis: Science–Policy and Science–Market Bridges for Reducing Uncertainty	3
1.2.1	Techno-economic Uncertainty	4
1.2.2	Environmental and Social Uncertainty	5
1.2.3	Mapping and Bridging Uncertainty in the Biobased Economy	6
1.3	Uncertainty Map and Book Structure	7
1.4	Conclusions	9
	Acknowledgements	10
	References	10
<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>Upstream Environmental Assessment</b>	<b>12</b>
<i>I. Camara-Salim, G. Feijoo and M. T. Moreira</i>		
2.1	Introduction	12
2.1.1	Sugar Sources – Sugar Beet	16
2.1.2	Sugar Sources – Maize	22
2.2	Life Cycle Assessment of Fermentable Sugars	28
2.2.1	Maize and Stover Processing	29
2.2.2	Sugar Beet Processing	30
2.2.3	Selected Environmental Impact Categories	32
2.2.4	Allocation	32

---

Green Chemistry Series No. 64  
Transition Towards a Sustainable Biobased Economy  
Edited by Piergiuseppe Morone and James H. Clark  
© The Royal Society of Chemistry 2020  
Published by the Royal Society of Chemistry, [www.rsc.org](http://www.rsc.org)

2.3	Results and Discussion	33
2.4	Conclusions	38
	Acknowledgements	39
	References	39
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>Downstream Environmental Assessment</b>	<b>44</b>
	<i>K. Lokesh, J. Clark and A. Mathuru</i>	
3.1	Introduction	44
3.2	Literature Review	45
	3.2.1 Industrial Best Practice Indicators	47
3.3	Methodology	50
	3.3.1 Selection Criteria for LCA Complementary Efficiency Indicators	50
	3.3.2 Conventional LCA Indicators	51
	3.3.3 Novel and Existing Efficiency and Circular Metrics	52
	3.3.4 LCA of STAR-ProBio Case Studies	58
	3.3.5 Goal, Scope and Functional Unit	60
	3.3.6 Process Description	61
	3.3.7 Scenario Description	61
	3.3.8 Packaging Films	61
3.4	Result Interpretations: Gate-to-gate Impact and Resource Efficiency	68
	3.4.1 Sensitivity Study: The Impact of Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation Strategies	73
3.5	Conclusion	75
	Acknowledgements	76
	References	76
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>Techno-economic Sustainability Assessment: Methodological Approaches for Biobased Products</b>	<b>80</b>
	<i>D. Briassoulis, A. Koutinas, J. Gołaszewski, A. Pikasi, D. Ladakis, M. Hiskakis and M. Tsakona</i>	
4.1	Introduction	80
	4.1.1 Techno-economic Sustainability Analysis	80
4.2	Techno-economy Sustainability Analysis	
	Methodology for Renewable Feedstock Resources Used for Biobased Products	83
	4.2.1 Natural Renewable Resources – Introduction	83
	4.2.2 Objectives	88

4.2.3	Methodological Approach to Resource Use in TESA	88
4.2.4	Recapitulation	97
4.3	Techno-economy Sustainability and Analysis Methodology for Conversion Routes of Renewable Feedstock Resources to Biobased Products	98
4.3.1	Methodology Development	98
4.3.2	System Boundaries	104
4.3.3	Scope of the Techno-economic & Sustainability Assessment	105
4.3.4	TESA Principle, Criteria & Indicators	105
4.4	Techno-economy Sustainability Analysis Methodology for Alternative EoL Options for Post-consumer Biobased Products	109
4.4.1	Methodology	109
4.4.2	TESA Criteria for Alternative EoL Options for Post-consumer/Post-industrial Biobased Products	113
	Acknowledgements	123
	References	124

<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>Market Assessment</b>	<b>133</b>
<i>L. Ladu and S. Wurster</i>		
5.1	Introduction	133
5.2	Sustainability Transition Towards a Biobased Economy	134
5.2.1	Sustainability Transition in Sociotechnical Regimes	134
5.2.2	Quality Infrastructure and Sustainability Assessment Schemes	137
5.2.3	User Acceptance	138
5.2.4	User Acceptance in Transition Processes	139
5.3	Importance of Sustainability Criteria and Research Gaps	139
5.3.1	Fundamental Characteristics of Relevance for Consumers of Sustainable Biobased Products	140
5.3.2	Environmental Topics of Relevance for Consumers of Sustainable Biobased Products	141

5.3.3	Social and Economic Criteria of Relevance for Consumers of Sustainable Biobased Products	142
5.3.4	Research Gaps Regarding Sustainability Assessment Schemes for Biobased Products	144
5.4	Research Methodology	145
5.5	End Consumers' and Professionals' Sustainability Preferences	147
5.5.1	Propensity to Buy Biobased Products and Importance of Specific Kinds of Information for the Buying Decisions	147
5.5.2	Preferences Regarding Environmental Aspects	150
5.5.3	Preferences Regarding Social and Economic Aspects	151
5.6	Relevance of Sustainability Certification	154
5.7	Additional Important Factors for Buying Decisions	155
5.8	Market Assessment for Specific Products	156
5.9	Conclusions and Outlook	159
	Acknowledgements	161
	References	161
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>Social Assessment</b>	<b>166</b>
	<i>E. Imbert and P. M. Falcone</i>	
6.1	Introduction	166
6.2	Methodology	168
6.2.1	Main Features	168
6.2.2	Measurement Challenges	170
6.3	S-LCA Applied to Bio-based Products: A General Framework	171
6.3.1	Stakeholder Engagement in Social Sustainability Studies	174
6.4	Results and Discussion	175
6.4.1	Stakeholder Identification and Classification	175
6.4.2	Stakeholders Mapping According to Their Power and Interest	176
6.4.3	Stakeholders Validation of Social Impact Categories, Subcategories and Indicators	180
6.5	Conclusions	184
	Acknowledgements	185
	References	185

<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>Indirect Land Use Change and Bio-based Products</b>	<b>192</b>
<i>D. Marazza, E. Merloni and E. Balugani</i>		
7.1	Traditional and Novel Uses of Land	192
7.2	Direct and Indirect Land Use Changes	193
7.3	Evidence of iLUC Effects	197
7.4	Consequences and Magnitude of the LUC	199
7.5	Assessment of LUC Impacts	202
7.5.1	LUC Impacts and the Time Dimension	202
7.5.2	Food vs. Fuel Debate	204
7.6	iLUC Assessment and Related Uncertainties	205
7.6.1	Economic Equilibrium Models	206
7.6.2	Causal Descriptive Models	208
7.6.3	Uncertainties Related to Existing Models	210
7.6.4	The Renewable Energy Directive RED II: An Example of Normative Framework	212
7.7	The STAR-ProBio Approach: The SydiLUC Model	215
7.8	Conclusion	218
	Acknowledgements	219
	References	219
<b>Chapter 8</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>223</b>
<i>J. H. Clark</i>		
	Acknowledgements	225
	References	225
<b>Subject Index</b>		<b>226</b>