

Contents

1	Executive Summary	1
1.1	Preliminary Considerations Regarding the Establishment of the MIC/MIAM	2
1.2	Organisational Structure	3
1.3	Procedure of the MIC	4
1.4	Applicable Law of the MIC	5
1.5	Legal Remedies and Enforcement of MIC Decisions	6
1.6	Establishment of a Standalone Multilateral Investment Appellate Mechanism (MIAM)	6
	References	6
2	Introduction	9
	References	12
3	Targets for the Reorganisation of the Investment Protection Regime	15
3.1	Positive Effects of a New Approach	15
3.1.1	Consistency of Decisions	16
3.1.2	Greater Legitimacy	17
3.1.3	Independence and Neutrality of Judges	17
3.1.4	Lack of a Control Mechanism	18
3.1.5	Cost Efficiency	18
3.1.6	Access for SMEs	19
3.1.7	Transparency	19
3.1.8	Time Efficiency	20
3.2	Advantages of the Two-Tiered MIC Option	20
	References	22

4	Design and Implementation of a Two-Tiered MIC	25
4.1	Institutional and Procedural Design	26
4.1.1	Members of an MIC	26
4.1.2	Plenary Body	27
4.1.2.1	Appointment of Judges Through the Plenary Body	29
4.1.2.2	Adoption of Specific Secondary Rules	36
4.1.2.3	Requirement of Majority for Decision Making	37
4.1.2.4	Transparency in Proceedings of the Plenary Body	38
4.1.2.5	Seat of the Plenary Body and Frequency of Meetings	38
4.1.3	Judges at the MIC	38
4.1.3.1	Full- or Part-Time Judges	39
4.1.3.2	Qualification	40
4.1.3.3	Independence	41
4.1.3.4	Ethics	42
4.1.3.5	Availability	45
4.1.3.6	Remuneration	45
4.1.3.7	Oath of Office	47
4.1.3.8	Immunity	47
4.1.3.9	Parallel Engagements	47
4.1.3.10	Appointment/Election by the Parties to the Agreement	49
4.1.3.11	Duration of Appointment and Rotating Reappointment	49
4.1.3.12	Decisions on Instances of Bias by Judges	50
4.1.3.13	Termination of the Appointment	51
4.1.3.14	Removal from Office	51
4.1.4	President of the Court and Vice President of the Court	52
4.1.5	Plenary Decisions, Chambers and Single Judges	52
4.1.6	Appellate Mechanism	55
4.1.7	Secretariat	55
4.1.8	Advisory Centre	57
4.2	The Appeals Procedure Before the Two-Tiered MIC	57
4.2.1	Jurisdiction of the MIC	58
4.2.1.1	Membership of the Respondent State and of the Home State of the Investor in the MIC	58
4.2.1.2	(Written) Consent to the Jurisdiction of the MIC	60
4.2.1.3	Jurisdiction <i>Ratione Personae</i>	62
4.2.1.4	Jurisdiction <i>Ratione Materiae</i>	63
4.2.1.5	Jurisdiction <i>Ratione Temporis</i>	64
4.2.1.6	Avoidance of Abuse of Process and Negative Admissibility Requirements	64

4.2.2	Relationship of the MIC to Other Courts and Arbitral Tribunals	69
4.2.3	The Relationship with Domestic Courts	71
4.2.4	The Relationship with Inter-State (Arbitration) Dispute Settlement	72
4.2.5	General Procedure before the MIC	73
4.2.5.1	Compulsory Consultations?	74
4.2.5.2	First Instance Procedure	76
4.2.5.3	Second Instance Procedure/Appeal	95
4.2.6	Consolidation of Pending Procedures at the MIC	102
	References	103
5	Applicable Law	109
5.1	Applicable Substantive Law	111
5.1.1	EU Law as Applicable Substantive Law?	113
5.1.2	Uniform Interpretation of Standards of Protection	115
5.1.2.1	Permanency of the Treaty Interpreters at the MIC	116
5.1.2.2	Harmonising Interpretation Mandate	118
5.1.3	Ensuring a Neutral and Objective Interpretation of Standards of Protection	119
5.1.3.1	Clarification and Limitation of Investment Protection Standards in Investment Agreements	119
5.1.3.2	Limiting the Mandate for Interpretation	122
5.1.3.3	Authentic Interpretation by the Parties	123
5.1.3.4	Composition of the MIC: Impartial and Independent Judges	124
5.2	Applicable Procedural Law and Procedural Principles	126
5.2.1	Transparency	128
5.2.2	Efficiency	130
5.2.3	Practice of Judicial Investigation and Limitation of the Subject Matter of the Dispute	134
	References	136
6	The Pronouncement of Decisions and Its Consequences	141
6.1	Legal Effects of Decisions of International Dispute Settlement Bodies	141
6.2	Effects of Decisions of Investment Arbitral Tribunals	143
	References	146
7	Recognition and Enforcement of Decisions	147
7.1	Decisions of the MIC as Arbitral Awards Within the Meaning of the ICSID Convention	151
7.2	Decisions of the MIC as Arbitral Awards Within the Meaning of the New York Convention	153

7.2.1	Voluntary Submission by the Parties	154
7.2.2	Final and Binding Dispute Resolution	156
7.2.3	Non-State Decision-Makers	157
7.2.4	Arbitrator Selection by the Parties	158
7.2.5	Foreign, Non-Domestic and Anational Awards	158
7.2.6	Litigation Between Natural or Legal Persons	161
7.2.7	MIC as a “Permanent Arbitral Body” Under Article I Para. 2 NYC	161
7.2.8	Reservation on “Commercial Matters” Under Article I Para. 3 NYC	162
7.3	Recognition and Enforcement of Decisions of the MIC	163
	References	165

8	Possibilities for the Establishment of an MIC and a Possible Connection to Existing Institutions and System Conformity	167
8.1	Practical Implementation of the Establishment of an MIC	167
8.2	Structuring the MIC as an International Organisation	169
8.3	Connection to Existing Institutions	171
8.4	Entry Into Force of the MIC Statute Only with a Minimum Number of Members	174
8.5	Establishment of MIC Jurisdiction by Explicit Modification of Existing and Future IIAs	175
8.5.1	Conclusion of New IIAs and FTAs with Investment Chapters	175
8.5.2	Renegotiation and Reform of Existing EU Economic Agreements	176
8.5.3	Inclusion of “IIA Networks” of the Member States in the Establishment of MIC Jurisdiction	176
8.6	The MIC Statute as Opt-In Convention for the Modification of Existing IIAs	177
8.6.1	The Standard Case: Consensus on the Establishment of MIC Jurisdiction	178
8.6.2	Exceptional Cases: Jurisdiction of the MIC Even if the Home State of the Investor Is Not an MIC Member?	180
8.6.3	Jurisdiction of the MIC in Case of Multilateral IIAs	181
8.6.4	Summary of the Establishment of MIC Jurisdiction	181
8.7	Transitional Provisions and System Conformity of the MIC	182
8.8	Working Language and Language of Proceedings at the MIC	183
8.9	Cost Distribution in the New System	184
8.10	Overview of the Necessary Agreements and Secondary Instruments	185
	References	186

9	Standalone Appeal Mechanism: “Multilateral Investment Appeals Mechanism” (MIAM)	189
9.1	Organisational Construction	189
9.2	General Procedure	190
9.3	Specific Issues	193
9.3.1	Duration of Proceedings	193
9.3.2	Scope of Examination and Investigative Jurisdiction	193
9.3.3	Chamber and Plenary Decisions	195
9.3.4	Decision on the Bias of Arbitrators in the Initial Arbitral Proceedings and MIAM	195
9.3.5	Precedence Created by Second-Instance Judgments?	196
9.4	Decisions Rendered Made by the MIAM	196
9.5	Enforcement of MIAM Decisions	197
9.6	Possibilities for Setting up a MIAM	198
9.6.1	Establishment as a Self-Governing International Organisation	198
9.6.2	Necessity of a Minimum Number of Members	199
9.6.3	Establishment of MIAM Jurisdiction	200
9.6.3.1	Establishment of MIAM Jurisdiction by Explicit Amendment of Existing Treaties and Through IIAs Concluded in the Future	200
9.6.3.2	MIAM Statute as an Opt-In Convention to Amend Existing IIAs	201
9.7	Transitional Provisions and System Conformity of a MIAM	203
9.8	Working and Procedural Language of the MIAM	204
9.9	Costs of the New System	205
9.10	Overview of Necessary Agreements Etc.	205
	References	206