

Contents

<i>List of figures</i>	viii
<i>List of tables</i>	ix
<i>List of boxes</i>	xi
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xii

Introduction: Studying the legitimacy of citizen-led deliberative democracy 1

<i>1 The G1000</i>	1
<i>2 Belgium as a case</i>	3
<i>3 Empirical material</i>	4
<i>4 Structure of the book</i>	5
<i>References</i>	8

1 Democratic innovation in an unlikely place 10

<i>1 A society divided</i>	10
<i>2 Consociationalism and public passivity</i>	13
<i>3 Party politics and the discourse of separation</i>	15
<i>4 Multilingualism and a divided public sphere</i>	16
<i>5 The widening gap between citizens and elites</i>	17
<i>6 The long road to accommodation</i>	18
<i>7 Conclusion: democratic innovation meets political deadlock</i>	19
<i>References</i>	19

2 The G1000 and the funnel of citizen participation 23

<i>1 G1000: a citizens' initiative</i>	23
<i>2 The Manifesto</i>	27
<i>3 The three phases of the G1000</i>	28
Phase 1: public consultation	28
Phase 2: citizen summit	32
Phase 3: citizen panel	33

4	<i>The budget and its funding</i>	34
	The crowdfunders of the G1000	34
	The budget	37
5	<i>Conclusion: from a small group of citizens to a large citizen deliberation</i>	38
	<i>References</i>	39
3	Participants and non-participants	41
1	<i>The recruitment</i>	41
	Random selection	42
	Targeted recruitment for difficult-to-reach groups	43
	Dropout	44
2	<i>The participants</i>	45
	Motivation(s) to participate	46
	Political efficacy, trust and democratic preferences	47
	Evaluation of the G1000	54
	G'Offs and G'Home	56
3	<i>The non-participants</i>	57
	Meeting non-participants	58
	Explaining non-participation	62
4	<i>Conclusion: diversity of participants and reasons (not) to participate</i>	64
	<i>References</i>	65
4	Democratic credentials and trade-offs	67
1	<i>Inclusiveness</i>	67
2	<i>Effective participation</i>	70
3	<i>Fair decision-making</i>	72
4	<i>Openness</i>	75
5	<i>Neutrality and independence</i>	76
6	<i>Responsiveness</i>	77
7	<i>Conclusion: mixed credentials and democratic trade-offs</i>	78
	<i>References</i>	79
5	Deliberation and the challenge of multilingualism	82
1	<i>The potential of multilingual deliberation</i>	82
2	<i>The G1000 as real-life test case</i>	84
3	<i>The transformative effects of intergroup deliberation</i>	91
4	<i>Conclusion: from intergroup deliberation to intergroup appreciation</i>	96
	<i>References</i>	97
6	Political uptake	99
1	<i>Media coverage</i>	100
	Before the G1000 (10 June 2011 – 10 November 2011)	100

During the G1000 (11 November 2011 – 10 November 2012)	101
After the G1000 (11 November 2012 – 31 December 2015)	102
2 <i>Conventional political uptake</i>	103
Party manifestos	103
G1000 in the parliament	105
3 <i>Explaining the political uptake and looking for more diffuse political support</i>	106
Surveying MPs	107
Differences between political parties	108
Interviews with MPs	109
4 <i>Institutional uptake</i>	112
5 <i>Conclusion: no direct impact but diffuse influence</i>	115
<i>References</i>	117
7 Public endorsement	120
1 <i>Participants vs. maxi-public</i>	121
Internal political efficacy	121
External political efficacy	122
2 <i>Awareness of the G1000</i>	124
3 <i>Democratic preferences and support for the process of the G1000</i>	125
Democratic preferences: participants vs. maxi-public	126
Support for the process of the G1000	129
4 <i>Support for the effects of the G1000</i>	130
5 <i>Support for the results of the G1000</i>	132
6 <i>Conclusion: mini- and maxi-public</i>	134
<i>References</i>	134
8 Social offspring and long-term consequences	137
1 <i>The offspring of the G1000 in Belgium</i>	137
2 <i>The G1000 in the Netherlands</i>	139
Seven principles	140
Questioning the output legitimacy	142
Comparing the Belgian G1000 with the Dutch G1000s	143
3 <i>The G1000 elsewhere and the promotion of deliberative democracy and sortition</i>	144
4 <i>Conclusion: democratic change in motion</i>	147
<i>References</i>	148
Conclusion: Deliberation between mini- and maxi-public	150
<i>Index</i>	158