

Contents

Part I Origin and Development of Hong Kong Nativism

1	Where Is Hong Kong Nativism Going?	3
1.1	Restless “Hong Kong Nativism”	3
1.2	A Separatism that Borders on Extremism	5
1.3	What Is the Real “Hong Kong Sense of Nativeness”	7
	References	8
2	A Historical Narration and Restoration of “Hong Kong Nativism”	9
2.1	The Historical Origin of Hong Kong Nativism	10
2.1.1	Colonial Immigrants: Nativism Without Native Land	10
2.1.2	Search for Identity and the Awakening of Hong Kong Nativism	11
2.1.3	Social Movements in the 1970s and the Formation of Nativism	13
2.2	The Transformation of Hong Kong Nativism and the Formation of “Hong Kong Independence”	15
2.2.1	Historical Situation Before and After the “Handover”	15
2.2.2	The Degradation of Hong Kong Nativism and the Formation of “Hong Kong Independence” Ideological Trend	16
2.2.3	From “Nativism” to “Separatism”: Reasons Why “Hong Kong Nativism” Turned into “Hong Kong Independence”	19
2.3	Restoration and Reconstruction: From “Separatism Consciousness” to “Native Consciousness”	21
2.3.1	Hong Kong Nativism: A Concept that Belongs to Local Consciousness	21
2.3.2	From “Separatist” to “Native”: A Restoration of Hong Kong Nativism	23
2.4	Conclusion	24
	References	25

3	A Psychosocial Perspective into the Radical Nativism in Hong Kong	27
3.1	Mirage and Reality of the Colonial Autonomous Movement	28
3.2	Fabrication and Fear of “Hong Kong Chauvinism”	30
3.3	The Snare and Shackles of Postcolonialism	32
3.4	The Grievance and Wrath of Populism	35
3.5	Conclusion	39
	References	39
	Part II Legal Critique of Hong Kong Nativism: A Comparison with Taiwan	
4	Democratic Independence: Taiwan’s Story and Hong Kong’s Future	45
4.1	Deconstruction of “Chinese Legal System”: The “Democratic Independence” Story of Taiwan	45
4.1.1	Undemocratic Chinese Legal System	46
4.1.2	The Democratic Construction of “Taiwan Independence”	47
4.2	The “Hong Kong Image” of Taiwan’s Story: Hong Kong’s Appeal for “Democratic Independence”	49
4.2.1	“Democratization”: The Beginning of “Hong Kong Independence” Ideology	49
4.2.2	“Democratic Independence of Hong Kong”: A Highly Similar Construction	51
4.3	Impasse and Countermeasure of Hong Kong “Democratic Independence”	54
4.3.1	Follow-Up Story of Taiwan “Democratic Independence” and Possible Consequences of Hong Kong “Democratic Independence”	54
4.3.2	How Could Hong Kong Avoid the Mire of “Democratic Independence”	56
4.4	Conclusion	58
	References	58
5	From “Taiwan Independence” to “Hong Kong Independence”: How Hong Kong Followed the Steps of Taiwan on the Road of Separatism	61
5.1	Major Theories of “Taiwan Independence”	62
5.1.1	“Unresolved Status of Taiwan”: Logic Start of “Taiwan Independence”	62
5.1.2	“Formosan Nation”: Political Premise of “Taiwan Independence”	64
5.1.3	“Self-Determination by Taiwan Residents”: Legal Basis of “Taiwan Independence”	65

5.1.4	“Democratic Independence”: Moral Justification of “Taiwan Independence”	66
5.2	Major Theories of “Hong Kong Independence”	67
5.2.1	“Hong Kong City-State”: Realistic Foundation of “Hong Kong Independence”	68
5.2.2	“Hong Kong Nation”: Political Premise of “Hong Kong Independence”	69
5.2.3	“Self-Determination by Referendum”: Legal Basis of “Hong Kong Independence”	70
5.2.4	“Democratic Independence”: Moral Justification of “Hong Kong Independence”	71
5.3	Inheritance of “Hong Kong Independence” from “Taiwan Independence”	72
5.3.1	Grounds for Hong Kong and Taiwan to Draw Lessons from Each Other	73
5.3.2	Inheritance and Interaction Between “Hong Kong Independence” and “Taiwan Independence”	75
5.3.3	The Collaboration of the Two Separatist Camps and Its Consequences	76
5.4	Conclusion	78
	References	78
6	On the Impossibility of Hong Kong “De Jure Independence”	81
6.1	Types of “Hong Kong Independence” and Hong Kong “De Jure Independence”	82
6.2	Three Approaches to “De Jure Independence” and Their Impossibility	83
6.2.1	“Independence by Enactment of Constitution”: Misinterpreted “Self-Determination”	83
6.2.2	“Independence by Amendment of Constitution”: “Absolute Constitution” Neglected	86
6.2.3	“Independence by Interpretation of Constitution”: Non-applicable “Taiwan Mode”	88
6.3	Remedies for “Hong Kong Independence”	90
6.4	Conclusion	93
	References	93

Part III The Basic Law and Hong Kong Nativism

7	Sovereignty, National Security, and Political Reform: Prevention Mechanism Against “Hong Kong Independence” Under the Hong Kong Basic Law	97
7.1	The Faces and Nature of “Hong Kong Independence”	98
7.1.1	Three Faces of “Hong Kong Independence”: Ethos, Theory, and Movement	98

7.1.2	The Nature of “Hong Kong Independence”: A Politicized Legal Issue	99
7.2	The Basic Law and Sovereignty	100
7.2.1	The Substance of “Hong Kong Independence”: Contest for Sovereignty	100
7.2.2	The Essence and Prospect of “50 Years Unchanged”	102
7.3	The Basic Law and National Security	103
7.3.1	“Article 23” Legislation: The Way to Contain “Hong Kong Independence”	103
7.3.2	Strategies to Pass the “Article 23” Legislation	105
7.4	The Basic Law and Political Reform	106
7.4.1	Restart Political Reform: The Way to Dissolve “Hong Kong Independence”	106
7.4.2	Critical Steps for Hong Kong’s Political Reform	109
	References	111
8	On Shaping and Consolidating the Basic Law Identification	113
8.1	Introduction: Identity Crisis Reflected by an Antics	113
8.2	Rise of Nativism: The Secret of Alienation	115
8.3	The Basic Law: A Bridge for National Identity and Local Identity	118
8.3.1	National Identity Dimension of the Basic Law	118
8.3.2	Local Identity Dimension of the Basic Law	120
8.3.3	Cohesion Between National Identity and Local Identity Under the Basic Law	121
8.4	Shaping and Strengthening the Basic Law Identification	122
8.5	Conclusion	126
	References	126
9	Practice and Effects of Law Scrutiny Adopted by the Hong Kong Court of Final Appeal	129
9.1	Basis of Law Scrutiny	130
9.1.1	Hong Kong Bill of Rights Ordinance (Cap. 383)	130
9.1.2	The Basic Law and Interpretations of the NPCSC	131
9.1.3	Overseas Jurisprudence	133
9.2	Object of Law Scrutiny	134
9.2.1	Source of Law Stipulated in Article 8 and Article 18 of the Basic Law	134
9.2.2	The Legislation of the National People’s Congress and Its Standing Committee	136
9.3	Consequences of Law Scrutiny	138
9.3.1	The Consequence of Seeking Interpretation from the NPCSC	138
9.3.2	The Consequence of the CFA Interpreting the Basic Law by Itself	140
9.4	Conclusion: Sophistication of the Basic Law	142
	References	142

10 On the Adoption of Empirical Evidence in the Interpretation of Hong Kong Basic Law	145
10.1 “Social Links” of the Brandeis Brief and Constitutional Laws ...	146
10.1.1 Origin of the Brandeis Brief	146
10.1.2 Brandeis Brief’s Intrusion in Constitutional Law Interpretation	148
10.2 The Application of Social Empirical Evidence by the CFA	149
10.2.1 Non-adoption of Social Empirical Evidence: The Chong Fung Yuen Case	150
10.2.2 Adoption of Social Empirical Evidence: The Ng Kung Siu Case and the Vallejos Case	151
10.3 The NPCSC’s Interpretation and Introduction of Social Empirical Evidence	153
10.3.1 Reinforce the Interpretation: Social Empirical Evidence’s Role in the Interpretation	154
10.3.2 Overlap Between Social Empirical Evidence and the Legislative Intent Interpretation	155
10.4 Conclusion	157
References	158
Appendix	161
Don’t Rest Violence with Hong Kong Nativism	161
Nativism Is No Separatism.	161
Lawful Punishment on Rioters.	162
The “2.8 Incident” Is Not a Hong Kong Version of “2.28 Incident”	162
“One Country, Two Systems” Is Still the Antidote to the Collusion of Two Separatism.	165
“Hong Kong Independence”: An Illusion Doomed to Failure	167
From “Anti-colonialism” to “Pro-independence”	167
Ignorance of Common Sense and Hong Kong History	169
Populist Nativism Results in Permanent Chaos	170
The Interpretation: Justified, Beneficial, and Temperate	170
An Essential Move to Maintain “One Country, Two Systems”	170
Not a Strike to Hong Kong’s Judicial Independence	171
The New Circumstances Call for Discourse Innovation	172
Discourse Trap of Antagonism.	173
A Few Ideas for Discourse Innovation.	175