

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| Acknowledgements | vii |
| Chapter I. THE PROBLEM OF PRESENTATIVE SENTENCES . . | 1 |
| 1. Dutch presentative sentences and linguistic theory | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 The data | 2 |
| 1.2.1 'Expletive' <u>er</u> and the article system | 2 |
| 1.2.2 'Expletive' <u>er</u> and 'locative' <u>er</u> | 3 |
| 1.3 Questions | 4 |
| 2. A paradigm case: Kraak's <u>Negatieve zinnen</u> | 5 |
| 2.1 Homonymous articles; meaningless and 'existential' <u>er</u> | 5 |
| 2.2 Critique | 8 |
| 2.2.1 Empirical objections | 8 |
| 2.2.2 Methodological objections | 9 |
| 2.2.3 Kraak's analysis as non-Saussurian linguistics | 12 |
| 2.2.4 The denial of autonomous <u>er</u> | 14 |
| 3. Other approaches to presentative sentences | 16 |
| 4. Towards a different analysis | 19 |
| Footnotes to Chapter I | 20 |
| Chapter II. THE THEORY | 23 |
| 1. On explanation versus description | 23 |
| 2. The orientations | 26 |
| 3. Fundamental concepts | 29 |
| 3.1 Meaning, message, inference, and opposition | 29 |
| 3.2 System: substance and value | 31 |
| 3.3 The interaction between grammar and lexicon | 32 |
| 4. The structure and exploitation of systems | 32 |
| 4.1 The least inappropriate meaning | 32 |
| 4.2 Meanings and their use | 33 |
| 4.2.1 Description versus comment | 34 |
| 4.2.2 Direct versus indirect exploitation | 34 |
| 4.3 The structure of signals | 35 |
| 4.3.1 Interlock | 35 |
| 4.3.2 On homonymy within grammatical systems | 35 |
| 5. The grammarian's task | 37 |
| 5.1 The analytical problem | 37 |
| 5.2 Validation | 38 |
| 6. An illustrative sketch of an analysis | 40 |
| 6.1 The system of number of the Dutch noun | 40 |
| 6.2 Validation | 40 |
| Footnotes to Chapter II | 42 |
| Chapter III. THE ARTICLE SYSTEM | 45 |
| 1. The system of degree of differentiation | 45 |
| 1.1 The signals and their meanings | 46 |
| 1.2 Some differences between the present analysis and other approaches | 47 |

| | |
|---|--------|
| 2. Sketch of a validation | 49 |
| 2.1 The substance of the system | 49 |
| 2.2 The interlock with OTHER: <u>de-en</u> versus <u>β-en</u> | 51 |
| 2.3 The interlock with ONE | 53 |
| 2.3.1 Validation of <u>β-β</u> | 53 |
| 2.3.2 Validation of <u>een-β</u> versus <u>β-β</u> | 55 |
| 2.3.3 Validation of <u><u>de-β</u></u> versus <u>β-β</u> | 55 |
| 2.3.4 Validation of <u><u>de-β</u></u> versus <u>een-β</u> | 58 |
| 3. A note on the interaction of lexicon with the system | 60 |
| 4. On generic messages | 61 |
| 4.1 Use in validation | 61 |
| 4.1.1 The influence of number | 61 |
| 4.1.2 <u>de-en</u> versus <u>β-en</u> | 62 |
| 4.1.3 <u><u>de-β</u></u> versus <u>β-β</u> | 62 |
| 4.1.4 <u><u>de-β</u></u> versus <u>een-β</u> | 63 |
| 4.2 The rôle of context in generic inferences | 63 |
| 5. Conclusion | 64 |
| Footnotes to Chapter III | 64 |
| Chapter IV. THE ADVERBIAL PRONOUN SYSTEM | 67 |
| 1. Introduction | 67 |
| 2. The contrast between adverbial and neuter pronouns | 67 |
| 2.1 Entity deixis versus non-entity deixis | 68 |
| 2.2 A working hypothesis: situational deixis | 70 |
| 3. The structure of the system of situational deixis | 72 |
| 3.1 The deictic scale | 73 |
| 3.2 The exploitation of deictic force | 73 |
| 3.3 HIGH versus MID SITUATIONAL DEIXIS | 74 |
| 3.4 MID versus LOW SITUATIONAL DEIXIS | 77 |
| 3.5 Exploitations of LOW SITUATIONAL DEIXIS | 79 |
| 4. The failure of Bech's 'proximity' analysis | 81 |
| 5. Conclusions | 84 |
| Footnotes to Chapter IV | 85 |
| Chapter V. SIGNAL AND STRATEGY IN DUTCH WORD ORDER | 89 |
| 1. Word order phenomena and the orientations | 89 |
| 2. Dutch word order as a signal: the position of the verb | 89 |
| 3. Dutch word order as a communicative strategy: the position of participants | 91 |
| 3.1 The finite verb and the participant-in-focus | 92 |
| 3.1.1 The evidence from case | 92 |
| 3.1.2 The evidence from relative agentivity | 95 |
| 3.1.3 The evidence from participant reference | 96 |
| 3.1.4 Conclusion | 98 |
| 3.2 Participant position: 'familiar items first' | 98 |
| 3.2.1 The data | 98 |
| 3.2.2 The data and the orientations | 99 |
| 4. The participant-in-focus and the conflict between signal and strategy | 101 |
| 4.1 The three options | 101 |
| 4.2 The solution offered by LOW SITUATIONAL DEIXIS | 102 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 4.3 Maximizing the communicative return | 104 |
| 5. Summary | 106 |
| Footnotes to Chapter V | 107 |
| Chapter VI. THE USE OF <u>er</u> IN PRESENTATIVE SENTENCES | 111 |
| 1. Presentative sentences and the adverbial pronoun system | 111 |
| 2. Word order and deictic strength: the strategy of backgrounding | 111 |
| 3. The disfavoring of generic messages | 113 |
| 4. <u>Er</u> as a pseudo-expletive | 116 |
| 4.1 The locative/existential/expletive continuum | 116 |
| 4.1.1 Factors favoring locative interpretation | 116 |
| 4.1.2 From locative to existential | 119 |
| 4.1.3 From existential to expletive | 121 |
| 4.1.4 The single function of <u>er</u> | 122 |
| 4.2 The temporal use of situational deixis | 123 |
| 5. LOW SITUATIONAL DEIXIS in emphatic and interrogative sentences | 125 |
| 6. The non-occurrence of * <u>Er</u> Verb <u>er</u> NP sentences | 127 |
| 7. Conclusion: the unity of <u>er</u> | 131 |
| Footnotes to Chapter VI | 131 |
| Chapter VII. THE REAL NATURE OF PRESENTATIVE SENTENCES | 135 |
| 1. The problem of linguistic terminology | 135 |
| 2. The empirical inadequacy of the label 'presentative' | 136 |
| 2.1 Further mention of the participant-in-focus | 136 |
| 2.2 Distribution within the paragraph | 137 |
| 2.3 Subordination | 138 |
| 2.4 Negation | 139 |
| 2.5 Messages | 140 |
| 2.6 Conclusion | 142 |
| 3. An alternative hypothesis: background-participant sentences | 142 |
| 3.1 Type of participant-in-focus | 143 |
| 3.1.1 Animacy | 144 |
| 3.1.2 Negative noun phrases | 145 |
| 3.1.3 Quantified noun phrases | 146 |
| 3.1.4 Summary | 147 |
| 3.2 Relative agentivity | 147 |
| 3.2.1 Number of participants in the event | 148 |
| 3.2.2 Manipulation of lexicon | 149 |
| 3.2.3 On the interplay of relative agentivity and relative foregrounding | 150 |
| 3.3 Manner of further mention | 152 |
| 3.4 Inferences of familiarity | 154 |
| 3.5 Relative clauses | 155 |
| 3.6 Stylistic contrasts | 156 |
| 4. Conclusion: the communicative function of morphology | 161 |
| Footnotes to Chapter VII | 162 |
| Chapter VIII. THE INTERACTION OF THE COMPONENTS: A COMPARISON | 165 |
| 1. The interpretation of the articles in plain and | |

| | |
|--|-----|
| <u>er</u> -sentences | 165 |
| 2. A remark on the failure of 'existential para- phrases' | 170 |
| 3. Conclusions | 172 |
| Footnotes to Chapter VIII | 172 |
| Chapter IX. THE WIDER CONTEXT: <u>er</u> VERSUS <u>het</u> AS PUTATIVE 'DUMMY SUBJECTS' | 175 |
| 1. The problem | 175 |
| 2. The selection of the LOW deictics | 177 |
| 3. Messages with and without participants | 177 |
| 4. Situation versus entity; backgrounding versus denial | 179 |
| 5. The notion 'dummy subject' as an analytical distraction | 181 |
| 6. Conclusion | 182 |
| Footnotes to Chapter IX | 182 |
| Chapter X. CONCLUSION | 185 |
| Bibliography | 191 |
| Index of Subjects | 207 |
| Index of Names | 213 |