

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction to Design Science Research | 1 |
| 1.1 | What Is Design? – Different Perspectives | 1 |
| 1.2 | What Is Research? | 2 |
| 1.3 | Is Design a Science? | 3 |
| 1.4 | What Is Design Science Research? | 5 |
| 1.5 | Placing DSR in Context | 5 |
| 1.6 | The Spectrum of IS DSR | 6 |
| 1.7 | Difference Between Routine Design Practice and DSR | 7 |
| 1.8 | Conclusions | 8 |
| | References | 8 |
| 2 | Design Science Research in Information Systems | 9 |
| 2.1 | Information Systems Research | 9 |
| 2.2 | Summary of Hevner, March, Park, and Ram 2004 <i>MISQ</i> Paper | 10 |
| 2.3 | Impacts of 2004 <i>MISQ</i> Paper on Design Science Research | 13 |
| 2.4 | Extending the Reach of Design Science Research in IS | 14 |
| 2.4.1 | Design Science Research vs. Professional Design | 15 |
| 2.4.2 | Design as Research vs. Researching Design | 15 |
| 2.4.3 | Design Science Research Cycles | 16 |
| 2.4.4 | A Checklist for Design Science Research | 19 |
| 2.4.5 | Publication of Design Science Research | 19 |
| | References | 21 |
| 3 | Design Science Research Frameworks | 23 |
| 3.1 | Understanding the Natural and Artificial Worlds | 23 |
| 3.2 | Toward a Theory of Complex Systems | 24 |
| 3.3 | Systems Development in Information Systems Research | 25 |
| 3.4 | The General Design Cycle | 26 |
| 3.5 | Action Research Framework | 27 |
| 3.6 | The Design Science Research Methodology (DSRM) | 28 |
| 3.7 | Concluding Thoughts | 31 |
| | References | 31 |

4 On Design Theory 33

4.1 What Is Theory? 33

4.2 Cycle of Theory Building 34

4.2.1 Observation 34

4.2.2 Classification 35

4.2.3 Defining Relationships 35

4.2.4 Anomaly – Improving Descriptive Theory 36

4.3 Transition to Normative Theory 36

4.4 Taxonomy of Theory Types in Information Systems 37

4.5 Is Design Theory Possible? 38

4.5.1 Information Systems Design Theory 39

4.5.2 Hooker’s View on Design Theory 40

4.5.3 Toward the Anatomy of an IS Design Theory 41

4.6 Conclusions 42

References 42

5 Twelve Theses on Design Science Research in Information Systems 43

5.1 Introduction 43

5.2 Thesis 1: IS Is an Applied or Practical Discipline 44

5.3 Thesis 2: Prescriptive Research Is an Essential Part of IS as an Applied or Practical Discipline 45

5.4 Thesis 3: The Design Science Activity of Building IT Artifacts Is an Important Part of Prescriptive Research in Information Systems 47

5.5 Thesis 4: The Primary Interest of IS Lies in IT Applications, and Therefore IS as a Design Science Should Be Based on a Sound Ontology of IT Artifacts and Especially of IT Applications 48

5.6 Thesis 5: IS as a Design Science Builds IT Meta-artifacts That Support the Development of Concrete IT Applications 49

5.7 Thesis 6: Prescriptive Knowledge of IT Artifacts Forms a Knowledge Area of Its Own and Cannot Be Reduced to the Descriptive Knowledge of Theories and Empirical Regularities 50

5.8 Thesis 7: The Resulting IT Meta-artifacts Essentially Entail Design Product and Design Process Knowledge 51

5.9 Thesis 8: The Term “Design Theory” Should Be Used Only When It Is Based on a Sound Kernel Theory 52

5.10 Thesis 9: Constructive Research Methods Should Make the Process of Building IT Meta-artifacts Disciplined, Rigorous, and Transparent 53

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 5.11 | Thesis 10: Explication of the Practical Problems to Be Solved, the Existing Artifacts to Be Improved, the Analogies and Metaphors to Be Used, and/or the Kernel Theories to Be Applied Is Significant in Making the Building Process Disciplined, Rigorous, and Transparent | 55 |
| 5.12 | Thesis 11: IS as a Design Science Cannot Be Value-Free, but It May Reflect Means-End, Interpretive, or Critical Orientation | 57 |
| 5.13 | Thesis 12: The Values of Design Science Research Should Be Made as Explicit as Possible | 58 |
| 5.14 | Conclusions and Final Comments | 58 |
| | References | 60 |
| 6 | A Science of Design for Software-Intensive Systems | 63 |
| 6.1 | Science of Design Challenges | 63 |
| 6.2 | Software-Intensive Systems | 65 |
| 6.3 | Science of Design Principles | 66 |
| 6.4 | Categories of Software-Intensive Systems Principles | 68 |
| 6.5 | A Proposed Research Vision | 69 |
| 6.6 | SIS Scientific Theories | 70 |
| 6.6.1 | Software Design Theories | 70 |
| 6.6.2 | Dynamic System Theories | 71 |
| 6.6.3 | Socio-economic Theories | 72 |
| 6.6.4 | Domain Theories | 72 |
| 6.7 | SIS Engineering Activities | 72 |
| 6.8 | SIS Research Project Framework | 74 |
| 6.9 | Intellectual Drivers for Science of Design in SIS Research | 75 |
| | References | 76 |
| 7 | People and Design | 79 |
| 7.1 | Designing for Consumers | 80 |
| 7.2 | Practice of Ethnography in Design | 81 |
| 7.3 | Reflection in Action (Schon’s View) | 83 |
| 7.4 | Designing for Scale – Google and People | 83 |
| | References | 86 |
| 8 | Software Design: Past and Present | 87 |
| 8.1 | A Software Design Framework | 87 |
| 8.2 | Software Architecture | 88 |
| 8.2.1 | Manual Business Processes | 89 |
| 8.2.2 | Mainframe Architectures | 89 |
| 8.2.3 | Online, Real-Time Architectures | 89 |
| 8.2.4 | Distributed, Client–Server Architectures | 90 |
| 8.2.5 | Component-Based Architectures | 91 |
| 8.2.6 | Service-Oriented Architectures | 92 |
| 8.3 | Algorithmic Design | 92 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| 8.3.1 | Early Program Design | 93 |
| 8.3.2 | Structured Program Design | 93 |
| 8.3.3 | Recent Algorithm Design Paradigms | 94 |
| 8.3.4 | Widely Used Programming Languages | 94 |
| 8.4 | Data Design | 95 |
| 8.4.1 | Punched Card Data Management | 95 |
| 8.4.2 | Computerized File Management | 95 |
| 8.4.3 | Online Data Processing | 96 |
| 8.4.4 | Relational Databases | 97 |
| 8.4.5 | Current Trends in Data Management | 97 |
| 8.5 | Human–Computer Interaction (HCI) Design | 98 |
| 8.5.1 | Early Computer Interactions | 98 |
| 8.5.2 | Text-Based Command Interfaces | 98 |
| 8.5.3 | The WIMP Interface | 99 |
| 8.5.4 | Current Trends in HCI | 99 |
| 8.6 | Software Development Processes and Methods | 100 |
| 8.6.1 | Software Development Processes | 101 |
| 8.6.2 | Early Development Methods | 102 |
| 8.6.3 | Object-Oriented Methods | 102 |
| 8.6.4 | Formal Development Methods | 103 |
| 8.6.5 | Component-Based Development (CBD) Methods | 103 |
| 8.6.6 | Agile Development Methods | 104 |
| 8.6.7 | Controlled-Flexible Development Methods | 104 |
| | References | 105 |
| 9 | Evaluation | 109 |
| 9.1 | What Is Evaluation? | 109 |
| 9.2 | Why Do We Perform Evaluations? | 110 |
| 9.3 | Differing Perspectives of Stakeholders | 111 |
| 9.4 | Basic Structure of Evaluation Studies | 112 |
| 9.5 | The Art of Performance Evaluation | 113 |
| 9.6 | Avoiding Common Mistakes in Performance Evaluation | 115 |
| 9.7 | Conducting an Objectivist Comparative Study – A Brief Example | 115 |
| 9.8 | Threats to Inference and Validity | 118 |
| 9.9 | Conclusions | 119 |
| | References | 119 |
| 10 | The Use of Focus Groups in Design Science Research | 121 |
| 10.1 | Introduction | 121 |
| 10.2 | Research Focus Groups | 122 |
| 10.3 | Adapting Focus Groups to Design Research | 124 |
| 10.3.1 | Formulate Research Question or Problem | 124 |
| 10.3.2 | Identify Sample Frame | 126 |
| 10.3.3 | Number of Focus Groups | 126 |
| 10.3.4 | Number of Participants | 127 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|------------|
| 10.3.5 | Participant Recruitment | 127 |
| 10.3.6 | Identify Moderator | 128 |
| 10.3.7 | Develop and Pre-test a Questioning Route | 128 |
| 10.3.8 | Conduct the Focus Group | 129 |
| 10.3.9 | Analyze and Interpret Data | 129 |
| 10.3.10 | Report Results | 130 |
| 10.4 | A Design Research Example | 130 |
| 10.4.1 | Research Context | 131 |
| 10.4.2 | Data Quality Metrics Description | 131 |
| 10.4.3 | Design Research Questions | 133 |
| 10.4.4 | Identify Sample Frame | 133 |
| 10.4.5 | Identify Moderator | 134 |
| 10.4.6 | Develop a Questioning Route | 134 |
| 10.4.7 | Recruit Participants | 134 |
| 10.4.8 | Conduct Focus Groups | 135 |
| 10.4.9 | Analyze and Interpret the Data | 137 |
| 10.4.10 | Report Results | 138 |
| 10.5 | Limitations on the Use of Focus Groups for Design Research | 139 |
| 10.6 | Closing Remarks | 140 |
| | References | 141 |
| 11 | Design and Creativity | 145 |
| 11.1 | Creativity – What Is It? | 145 |
| 11.2 | Group Creativity | 147 |
| 11.3 | Conceptual Blockbusting Theory | 148 |
| 11.4 | Experiential Learning | 150 |
| 11.5 | Creativity, Design, and IT | 150 |
| 11.6 | Creativity and Design in the Age of Virtual Worlds | 152 |
| 11.7 | Designing Virtual Worlds | 153 |
| 11.8 | Conclusion | 154 |
| | References | 155 |
| 12 | A Design Language for Knowledge Management Systems (KMS) | 157 |
| 12.1 | Problem Statement | 157 |
| 12.2 | Concept | 159 |
| 12.3 | Artifact Construction | 162 |
| 12.4 | Knowledge Packet Generator | 162 |
| 12.5 | Barriers | 164 |
| 12.6 | Value Accelerators | 165 |
| 12.7 | Receiver of Good Packets | 167 |
| 12.8 | Evaluation Methodology: SME Model Instantiation Comparisons | 167 |
| 12.9 | Results | 169 |
| 12.10 | Contribution to Research | 174 |
| 12.11 | Conclusion | 175 |
| | References | 176 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 13 | On Integrating Action Research and Design Research | 179 |
| 13.1 | Introduction | 179 |
| 13.2 | The Research Approaches | 180 |
| 13.2.1 | Design Research | 180 |
| 13.2.2 | Action Research | 182 |
| 13.3 | Cross-Application of Criteria | 183 |
| 13.3.1 | Applying Action Research Criteria to a Design Research Exemplar | 183 |
| 13.3.2 | Applying Design Research Criteria to an Action Research Exemplar | 187 |
| 13.4 | A Way Forward | 189 |
| 13.4.1 | Adding “Reflection” to Augment Learning from Design Research | 190 |
| 13.4.2 | Concretizing Learning from Action Research by Adding “Build” | 191 |
| 13.4.3 | Envisioning an Integrated Research Process | 191 |
| 13.5 | Conclusions | 192 |
| | References | 193 |
| 14 | Design Science in the Management Disciplines | 195 |
| 14.1 | Introduction | 195 |
| 14.2 | Design Concepts | 198 |
| 14.3 | Design Science Research in Organizational Studies | 200 |
| 14.4 | Conclusions | 204 |
| | References | 205 |
| 15 | Design Science Research in Information Systems: A Critical Realist Approach | 209 |
| 15.1 | Introduction | 210 |
| 15.2 | Why an Alternative Information Systems Design Science Research Approach? | 211 |
| 15.3 | Critical Realism | 214 |
| 15.4 | A Critical Realist Approach for IS Design Science Research | 217 |
| 15.4.1 | For Whom Should IS Design Science Research Produce Knowledge? | 217 |
| 15.4.2 | What Types of IS Design Knowledge Should IS Design Research Produce? | 218 |
| 15.4.3 | Developing IS Design Knowledge | 221 |
| 15.4.4 | Examples of How to Develop IS Design Theories and Design Knowledge | 224 |
| 15.4.5 | Design Theory #1: Developing a Design Theory for Turning KMS Use into Profit | 224 |
| 15.4.6 | Design Theory #2: Developing a Design Theory for Successful Use of e-Learning | 226 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|------------|
| 15.4.7 | Design Theory #3: Developing a Design Theory on How to Improve the Capability of IS Integration in M&As | 227 |
| 15.5 | Conclusion | 229 |
| | References | 229 |
| 16 | Design of Emerging Digital Services: A Taxonomy | 235 |
| 16.1 | Introduction | 235 |
| 16.2 | Service Versus Digital Service | 237 |
| 16.3 | Research Objectives | 238 |
| 16.4 | Why Taxonomy? | 240 |
| 16.5 | Grounding of the Taxonomy | 240 |
| 16.6 | Fundamental Design Dimensions | 241 |
| 16.6.1 | Service Delivery | 242 |
| 16.6.2 | Service Maturity | 243 |
| 16.6.3 | Malleability | 244 |
| 16.6.4 | Pricing and Funding | 245 |
| 16.7 | Fundamental Service Provider Objectives | 247 |
| 16.7.1 | Business Objective | 247 |
| 16.7.2 | Technological Objectives | 248 |
| 16.7.3 | Interaction Objectives | 248 |
| 16.8 | Summary of the Taxonomy | 249 |
| 16.9 | Evaluation of the Taxonomy | 250 |
| 16.9.1 | Salesforce.com | 250 |
| 16.9.2 | Myspace.com | 251 |
| 16.9.3 | Itunes.com | 251 |
| 16.10 | Future Research Considerations | 251 |
| | References | 252 |
| 17 | Disseminating Design Science Research | 255 |
| 17.1 | Academic Route – Conference and Journal Papers | 255 |
| 17.2 | Funding to Support Your Design Research | 257 |
| 17.3 | Commercializing Your Ideas via Start-Ups | 258 |
| | References | 259 |
| 18 | Design Science Research: Looking to the Future | 261 |
| 18.1 | Introduction | 261 |
| 18.2 | Trend 1: Growing Number of IS Scholars Will Use Design as a Research Method | 262 |
| 18.3 | Trend 2: Growing Number of Scholars Will Research Design | 262 |
| 18.4 | Trend 3: A Small but Steady Number of Scholars Will Study Design Theory | 263 |
| 18.5 | Trend 4: An Uptake Is Expected in These Three IT Application Area Thereby Creating a Surge in the Need for Design Researchers | 263 |
| 18.5.1 | Health Care and IT | 263 |

| | | |
|--------|--|------------|
| 18.5.2 | Green Technology and Green IT | 264 |
| 18.5.3 | Green Computing | 266 |
| 18.5.4 | Collaboration, Web 2.0, and Social Technologies | 267 |
| | References | 267 |
| | Appendix A: Hevner, March, Park, and Ram 2004 MISQ Reprint | 269 |
| | Appendix B: Exemplar Publications of Design Science Research in Information Systems | 301 |
| | Contributors | 305 |
| | Index | 309 |