

Contents

List of abbreviations	10
List of figures	11
List of tables	12
Acknowledgement	16
1 Introduction	19
1.1 Research interest	19
1.2 Research objectives	21
1.3 Methodological proceeding	23
1.4 Structure of the study	24
PART A: THEORY AND ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK	26
2 Dialogue as a mandate: German international broadcasting	26
2.1 Profile of Deutsche Welle	26
2.2 Central functions	29
2.2.1 Public service function	29
2.2.2 Political function	31
2.2.3 Dialogical function	34
2.3 Summary and conclusion: Deutsche Welle as an instructive case study	36
3 Journalism as a facilitator of democratically relevant communication	39
3.1 Journalism and dialogue	40
3.1.1 Theoretical underpinnings of dialogue	40
3.1.2 Democracy-theoretical relevance of dialogue	44
3.1.3 Journalists' role as dialogue mediators	46
3.2 Journalism and discourse	48

3.2.1	Theoretical underpinnings of discourse	49
3.2.2	Democracy-theoretical relevance of discourse	54
3.2.3	Journalists' role as discourse advocates	56
3.3	Journalism and everyday talk	58
3.3.1	Theoretical underpinnings of everyday talk	59
3.3.2	Democracy-theoretical relevance of everyday talk	60
3.3.3	Journalists' role as objective observers	62
3.4	Summary and conclusion: Ranking journalism concepts according to democratic standards	64
4	Journalism in the social web	69
4.1	Public sphere under social web conditions	72
4.2	Social web usage in professional contexts	77
4.3	Changing journalism-audience relations in the digital age	84
4.4	Communicative expectations and challenges of journalism in the social web	92
4.4.1	Output stage	92
4.4.2	Response stage	97
4.5	Journalism as a facilitator of democratically relevant communication in the social web	105
4.6	Summary and conclusion: Parallels between old and new expectations	110
5	Specified research interest	115
5.1	Case study: Methodological approach and objectives	115
5.2	Research questions and propositions	119
5.3	Multiple cases: DW German, DW English, and DW Russian on Facebook and YouTube	126
5.4	Summary and conclusion	131
6	Analytical framework and methodological design	133
6.1	Models of analysis	135
6.1.1	Identifying professional journalistic social web practices	135
6.1.2	Assessing social web communication with regard to democratic relevance	145

6.2	Research methods and instruments	153
6.2.1	Social web content analysis	154
6.2.2	Expert interview	167
6.2.3	Document analysis	174
6.2.4	Method combination	176
PART B: RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION		178
7	Journalistic social web usage at the output stage	178
7.1	Identity management	179
7.1.1	Making DW accessible: From single-handed to concerted action	180
7.1.2	Self-assured or torn? Reconciling international broadcasting functions with social web activity	188
7.1.3	Review of P1 and conclusion	195
7.2	Information management	198
7.2.1	Workflow: Who is in charge of what?	199
7.2.2	Social web output: Considerations and characteristics	204
7.2.3	Overarching challenges: Constant change and online security	218
7.2.4	Review of P2 and conclusion	219
7.3	Relationship management	221
7.3.1	Directly in charge: Relating to the social web audience	221
7.3.2	Indirectly in charge: Making the newsroom aware of social web audiences	227
7.3.3	Review of P3 and conclusion	232
7.4	Answer to RQ1	233
8	Democratic relevance of journalistic social web activity at the response stage	247
8.1	User comments	248
8.1.1	Where users largely fulfilled high democratic standards: Relevance and civility	249
8.1.2	Where users partly fulfilled higher democratic standards: Viewpoints, interrelation, argumentation	251

8.1.3	Where users rarely fulfilled higher democratic standards: Feedback, context information, meta-discussion	253
8.1.4	Interim conclusion	255
8.1.5	Answer to RQ2a	257
8.2	Journalistic handling of user comments	259
8.2.1	General approach: “Step back and let the discussion unfold on its own”	259
8.2.2	Where DW most likely fulfilled higher democratic standards: Civility	263
8.2.3	Where DW rarely fulfilled higher democratic standards: Feedback, context information, viewpoints, argumentation	268
8.2.4	Where DW engaged not at all: Relevance, interrelation, meta-discussion	274
8.2.5	Answer to RQ2b	276
8.2.6	Review of P4 and conclusion	278
8.2.7	Answer to RQ2	283
9	Conclusion and discussion	287
9.1	Theoretical implications of the findings	287
9.2	Practical relevance of the findings	299
9.3	Methodological reflections and future research	304
	References	307

APPENDIX

Appendix 1: Summary	331
Appendix 2: Variables (quantitative content analysis)	335
Appendix 3: Codebooks	345
Appendix 4: Organization chart of Deutsche Welle (August 2012)	388
Appendix 5: Interview guide	389