TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Part F | 4 – Synopsis | ************ |
|--------|--|--------------|
| 1. | Introduction | 1 |
| 2. | Focal Concepts of the Thesis | 4 |
| | The Open Innovation Paradigm | 4 |
| | Challenges of Implementing Open Innovation | 5 |
| | The Role of Innovation Intermediaries | 6 |
| | Local Open Innovation Approaches and Social Capital | 7 |
| | Digital Open Innovation Approaches and Social Capital | 8 |
| 3. | Summaries of the Essays | 9 |
| | Summary of Essay I: Local Open Innovation - A Means for Public | Policy to |
| | Increase Collaboration for Innovation in SMEs | 12 |
| | Summary of Essay II: How Spatial Proximity Facilitates Profiting fro | m Distant |
| | Search - A Social Capital View on Local Open Innovation | 13 |
| | Summary of Essay III: The Mediating Role of Value Capture - Inv | estigating |
| | Determinants of Social Capital on Open Innovation Platforms | 14 |
| | Summary of Essay IV: Seekers' and Solvers' Interaction Design Prefer | rences for |
| | Open Innovation Platforms - A Choice Experimental Approach | 15 |
| 4. | Overall Discussion | 17 |
| | Implications for Research | 17 |
| | Implications for Practice | 23 |
| | Limitations | 26 |
| 5. | Conclusion | 31 |
| 6. | References | 32 |
| | 3 – Essays | |
| | ocal Open Innovation – A Means for Public Policy to Increase Colla novation in SMEs | |
| | Introduction | |
| | Conceptual background | |
| 1.2. | Open innovation in SMEs and its barriers | |
| | The necessity of inter-firm knowledge networks and their spatial embeddedne | |
| | Public policy mechanisms to facilitate the adoption of OI | |
| | Conceptual framework and research questions | |
| I.3. | Methodology | |
| | Results and discussion | |
| | Intermediary case study | |
| | Barriers and remedies | |
| | LOI in various regional contexts | |
| I.5. | Implications for research, policy, and practice | |
| | | |

| Implications for research | |
|--|---------------|
| Implications for public policy | 69 |
| Implications for practice | 70 |
| I.6. Limitations and future research | 71 |
| I.7. Concluding remarks | 72 |
| 1.8. References | 73 |
| I.9 Appendix | |
| Seeker and solver satisfaction surveys | |
| Interview guidelines | 93 |
| II. How Spatial Proximity Facilitates Profiting from Distant Search | _ A Social |
| Capital View on Local Open Innovation | 95 |
| II.1. Introduction | 96 |
| II.2. Conceptual background | 98 |
| Distant search | 98 |
| Open innovation and spatial proximity | 99 |
| II.3. Method | 101 |
| Setting | |
| Research design and data collection | 102 |
| Data analysis | |
| Sample description | 104 |
| II.4. Findings | 106 |
| First-order findings: motivations and benefits of a local open | innovation |
| approach | |
| Second-order findings: distant search works locally - explaining | the perks of |
| proximity with social capital theory | |
| II.5. Discussion | 115 |
| Implications for research | |
| Implications for practice | |
| Limitations and future research | |
| II.6. References | |
| II.7. Appendix | 135 |
| III. The Mediating Role of Value Capture - Investigating Determinal | nts of Social |
| Capital on Open Innovation Platforms | |
| III.1. Introduction | |
| III.2. Theoretical background and hypotheses | |
| Social capital and open innovation platform persistence | |
| The effect of decentralized control on social capital | |
| The effect of decentralized control on securing value capture for so | |
| The effect of securing value capture for solvers on social capital | |
| Summary – The mediating effect of securing value capture for solv | |
| III.3. Method and data | 150 |
| | |

| Sample and data collection | 150 |
|---|-----|
| Measures | 15 |
| Analysis | 15: |
| III.4. Results | 160 |
| Scale analysis | 160 |
| Regression analysis | 162 |
| Robustness of our measure for securing value capture for solvers | 164 |
| III.5. Discussion | 167 |
| Implications for research | 16 |
| Implications for practice | 172 |
| Limitations and future research | |
| III.6. References | 176 |
| III.7. Appendix | |
| IV. Seekers' and Solvers' Interaction Design Preferences for Oper Platforms – A Choice Experimental Approach | 199 |
| IV.1. Introduction | |
| IV.2. Conceptual background and hypotheses | |
| Interaction design preferences for social exchange | |
| Pertinent attributes of social exchange on crowdsourcing platforms | |
| IV.3. Methods | |
| Design of the discrete choice experiment | |
| Pre-studies | |
| Sample | |
| Measures | |
| Analysis of the discrete choice experiment | |
| IV.4. Findings | |
| Interaction design features | |
| Microfoundations | |
| Control variables | |
| IV.5. Discussion | 224 |
| Contribution | |
| Implications for research | |
| Implications for practice | |
| Limitations and future research | |
| IV.6. References | 233 |
| IV.7. Appendix | |
| Interaction design features in practice | 242 |
| Qualitative pre-study | |
| Solver pre-study with student sample | 247 |
| Survey design | 248 |
| Sample and measures | 250 |
| Best and worst profiles | 253 |
| | |