

Gödel, Tarski and the Lure
of Natural Language

Logical Entanglement, Formalism Freeness

JULIETTE KENNEDY

University of Helsinki



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page</i>	ix
1 Introduction		1
1.1 The Syntax/Semantics Distinction		8
1.2 Our Logical Pluralism		12
1.3 Formal vs Linguistic Semantics		14
2 Formalism Freeness and Entanglement: Definitions		19
2.1 Precedents		24
2.2 Entanglement and Formalism Freeness: Varieties		26
2.3 Natural Language Moves		37
3 Computability: The Primary Example		39
3.1 On Adequacy		40
3.2 Different Notions of Computability Emerge in the 1930s		44
3.3 The “Scope Problem”		48
3.4 Turing’s Analysis of Computability		54
3.5 Gödel’s Reaction to Turing’s Work at the Time		57
3.6 Coda: A Word about Deviant Encodings		59
4 Gödel and Formalism Independence		61
4.1 Gödel on Formalisation		62
4.2 Episodes of Formalism Independence in Gödel’s Writings		68
4.3 Gödel’s Princeton Bicentennial Lecture		74
4.4 Implementation		87
4.5 Logical Autonomy?		95
5 Tarski and “the Mathematical”		99
5.1 “The Mathematical”, Definable Sets of Reals, and Naive Set Theory		103

5.2	Tarski's Naturalism	117
5.3	Squeezing First Order Definability	121
5.4	Tarski and Logicality	123
5.5	In Sum: Parataxis	130
5.6	Coda: An Improvement of McGee's Theorem	131
6	Model-Theoretic Aspects	134
6.1	Abstract Elementary Classes	136
6.2	Patchwork Foundations, On-Again-Off-Again-Isms and Implicit Syntax	141
6.3	Implicit Syntax, Implicit Logic	144
6.4	A Remark on Set Theory	147
6.5	Symbiosis	148
6.6	Coda: Symbiosis in Detail	155
7	On the Side of Natural Language	159
	<i>Bibliography</i>	169
	<i>Index</i>	184