

COMPLEX WORDS, CAUSATIVES, VERBAL PERIPHRASES AND THE GERUND

**ROMANCE LANGUAGES
VERSUS CZECH (A PARALLEL
CORPUS-BASED STUDY)**

EDITED BY
PETR ČERMÁK
DANA KRATOCHVÍLOVÁ
OLGA NÁDVORNÍKOVÁ
PAVEL ŠTICHAUER

CHARLES UNIVERSITY
KAROLINUM PRESS, 2020

CONTENTS

1. EXPRESSIONS OF POTENTIAL PARTICIPATION, ITERATIVITY, CAUSATION, INGRESSIVITY AND ADVERBIAL SUBORDINATION IN THE LIGHT OF PARALLEL CORPORA	
Petr Čermák, Dana Kratochvílová, Olga Nádvorníková, Pavel Štichauer ---- 9	
1.1 Investigation project and its history ---- 10	
1.2 Objectives and scope of the present monograph ---- 11	
1.3 Organisation of the monograph ---- 12	
1.4 Terminological remarks ---- 13	
1.4.1 Romance languages under scrutiny and use of the term <i>Romance</i> ---- 13	
1.4.2 Use of the terms <i>counterpart</i> and <i>respondent</i> ---- 14	
2. CORPUS DESIGN & CORPUS-BASED CONTRASTIVE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
Olga Nádvorníková ---- 15	
2.0 Introduction ---- 16	
2.1 Corpus-based contrastive research methodology ---- 16	
2.2 Corpora used in this study ---- 21	
3. MORPHOLOGICALLY COMPLEX WORDS IN ROMANCE AND THEIR CZECH RESPONDENTS	
Pavel Štichauer, Jan Hrincina, Jiří Jančík, Jaroslava Jindrová, Zuzana Krinková, Daniel Petrík ---- 25	
3.0 Introduction ---- 26	
3.1 Word-formation: complex vs simple words ---- 27	
3.2 Romance and Czech: common and different word-formation patterns ---- 27	
3.3 The typology of Czech respondents ---- 29	
3.3.1 Typology of Czech respondents of the adjectives with the suffix <i>-bile/-ble/-vel</i> ---- 29	
3.3.2 Typology of Czech respondents for verbs with the prefix <i>re-/ri-</i> ---- 31	
3.4 The modal suffix <i>-ble/-bile/-vel</i> ---- 32	
3.4.1 Data elaboration and analysis ---- 33	
3.4.2 Quantitative distribution of the types ---- 35	
3.4.3 Discussion of various examples ---- 36	
3.5 The iterative prefix <i>re-/ri-</i> ---- 39	
3.5.1 Data elaboration and analysis ---- 39	

3.5.2 Quantitative distribution of the types ----	40
3.5.3 Discussion of various examples ----	41
3.6 Concluding remarks ----	43
4. CAUSATIVE CONSTRUCTIONS IN ROMANCE AND THEIR CZECH RESPONDENTS	
Petr Čermák, Dana Kratochvílová, Petra Lauková, Pavel Štichauer ----	45
4.0 Introduction ----	46
4.1 Definition of causativity and its forms of expression ----	46
4.2 Causativity in Romance languages ----	48
4.2.1 Analytic type ----	48
4.2.2 Synthetic type ----	49
4.2.3 Characteristics of the Romance construction <i>hacer/fare/faire/fazer + infinitive</i> ----	49
4.3 Causativity in Czech ----	50
4.3.1 Word-formatting causativity ----	51
4.3.1.1 Verbs derived from another verb ----	52
4.3.1.2 Verbs derived from an adjective ----	53
4.3.1.3 No change in the lexical basis, expressing causativity through a prefix <i>roz-</i> ----	53
4.3.2 Semantic causativity ----	54
4.3.2.1 Suppletive types ----	54
4.3.2.2 Causative interpretation resulting from syntax ----	55
4.3.3 Analytic causativity ----	55
4.3.3.1 Causative verbs followed by a subordinate clause ----	55
4.3.3.2 Causative verbs followed by a nominal syntagma ----	55
4.3.3.3 (Semi-)causative verbs followed by an infinitive ----	56
4.4 Our typology of Czech respondents ----	57
4.5 Methodology ----	59
4.6 Causative constructions in Romance – formal comparison ----	60
4.7 Analysis of Czech respondents ----	62
4.7.1 Primary Czech respondents ----	64
4.7.1.1 Type 3 – <i>shodit</i> type (<i>hacer caer / far cadere / faire tomber / fazer cair</i>) ----	64
4.7.1.2 Type 8 – <i>what makes you think that > proč myslíte?</i> ('why do you think that?') ----	66
4.7.1.3 Type 4 – <i>dát vypít</i> type ----	68
4.7.2 Secondary Czech respondents ----	69
4.7.2.1 Type 5 – <i>dohnat k slzám</i> type ----	69
4.7.2.2 Type 9 – other translation ----	71
4.7.2.3 Type 7 – <i>způsobit, že tál</i> type ----	71
4.7.2.4 Type 1 – <i>rozplakat</i> type ----	73
4.7.2.5 Type 2 – <i>posadit</i> type and type 6 – <i>způsobit tání</i> type ----	73
4.7.2.6 Type 10 – no translation ----	73
4.8 Conclusions ----	73

5. INGRESSIVE PERIPHRASES IN ROMANCE AND THEIR CZECH RESPONDENTS

Dana Kratochvílová, Jaroslava Jindrová, Pavel Štichauer, Eliška Tříšková ---- 79

5.0 Introduction ---- 80

5.1 Verbal periphrases in Romance ---- 80

5.1.1 Approaches to verbal periphrases and the goal of our study ---- 81

5.2 Aspect and Aktionsart ---- 82

5.2.1 Aspect and Aktionsart in Romance languages ---- 82

5.2.2 Aspect and Aktionsart in Czech ---- 83

5.2.3 Verbal periphrases and the relationship to aspect and Aktionsart ---- 84

5.2.4 Ingressive MoA ---- 85

5.2.4.1 Initial ingressivity in Romance languages ---- 85

5.2.4.1.1 Derivative ingressive MoA in Romance ---- 85

5.2.4.1.2 Analytical ingressive MoA in Romance ---- 85

5.2.4.2 Initial ingressivity in Czech ---- 88

5.2.4.2.1 Derivative ingressive MoA in Czech ---- 88

5.2.4.2.2 Analytical ingressive MoA in Czech ---- 91

5.3 Corpus analysis ---- 93

5.3.1 Methodology ---- 93

5.3.2 Results of the corpus analyses ---- 95

5.3.2.1 Ingressive constructions expressing the mere beginning of a process ---- 95

5.3.2.2 Ingressive constructions expressing the beginning of a process

and the notion of effort by part of the subject ---- 96

 5.3.2.3 Ingressive constructions expressing the beginning of a process and the notions
 of suddenness and unexpectedness ---- 99 5.3.2.4 Ingressive constructions expressing the beginning of a process and the notions
 of suddenness, abruptness and previous retention ---- 101 5.3.2.5 Ingressive constructions expressing the beginning of a process and the notions
 of suddenness, abruptness and vehemence ---- 102

5.4 Concluding remarks ---- 103

6. THE ROMANCE GERUND AND ITS CZECH RESPONDENTS

Olga Nádvorníková, Leontýna Bratánková, Štěpánka Černíkovská, Jan Hrcsina ---- 107

6.0 Introduction ---- 108

6.1 Morphology of the Romance gerund ---- 108

6.2 Romance gerund as a converb ---- 109

6.2.1 Syntactic functions of the Romance gerund ---- 110

6.2.2 Semantic interpretation of the (adverbial) Romance gerund ---- 112

6.3 Typology of Czech respondents of the Romance gerund ---- 114

6.4 Data elaboration and quantitative analysis of the Romance gerund ---- 115

6.4.1 Factors influencing the frequency of the Romance gerund ---- 117

6.4.2 Syntactic functions of the Romance non-periphrastic gerund ---- 118

6.5 The adverbial Romance gerund and its Czech respondents ----	121
6.5.1 Semantic types of the Romance adverbial gerund and the Czech transgressive ----	121
6.5.2 Czech respondents of the Romance gerund ----	129
6.5.2.1 Finite verbs as respondents of the Romance gerund ----	131
6.5.2.1.1 Coordinate finite clause as a respondent of the Romance gerund ----	131
6.5.2.1.2 The subordinate finite clause as a respondent of the Romance gerund ----	132
6.5.2.2 Nominalisations as respondents of the Romance gerund ----	134
6.5.2.3 Non-finite verb forms as respondents of the Romance gerund ----	136
6.6 Conclusion ----	144
 7. FORMAL EXPRESSIONS VS ABSTRACT LINGUISTIC CATEGORIES:	
COMING TO TERMS WITH POTENTIAL (NON-VOLITIONAL) PARTICIPATION,	
ITERATIVITY, CAUSATION, INGRESSIVITY AND ADVERBIAL SUBORDINATION	
Petr Čermák, Dana Kratochvílová, Olga Nádvorníková, Pavel Štichauer ----	147
7.0 Introduction ----	148
7.1 Correspondences of the analysed phenomena across Romance languages ----	149
7.2 Czech respondents of the analysed phenomena vs systemic counterparts ----	151
7.3 Exploiting the parallel corpus in search of language universals and abstract categories ----	153
Bibliography ----	154