

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Translator's Introductions	XI
I. HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION	XI
II. THEMATIC INTRODUCTION	XX
III. TRANSLATION NOTES	XXX
The Author's Abstracts 1900/01	1
Author's Abstract to Volume One in <i>Vierteljahrsschrift für wissenschaftliche Philosophie</i> , Vol. 24 (1900), pp. 511–12	3
Author's Abstract to Volume Two in <i>Vierteljahrsschrift für wissenschaftliche Philosophie und Soziologie</i> , Vol. 25 (1901), pp. 260–63	5
A Draft of a "Preface" to the <i>Logical Investigations</i> , 1913	11
I. EUGEN FINK'S EDITORIAL REMARKS	13
II. HUSSERL'S TEXT	16
Section 1. The necessity of a preparatory introduction into the meaning of the work. – The <i>Logical Investigations</i> and the philosophical public	16
Section 2. The sense of the "Prolegomena": the separation of the logical and psychological motifs within the unity of a single problem; (critical discussion on Natorp)	19
Section 3. The Demand for an <i>intuitive</i> method of philosophy in the return to intuitive experience (<i>Anschauung</i>)	23

Section 4. Repudiation of the charge of so-called "Platonism"; ideas as objects	25
Section 5. The concept of pure logic as <i>mathesis universalis</i> (the unity of the "analytic" doctrine of forms for that which can be an object on the one hand with the categories of meaning on the other). The "positivity" of logic and the philosophical problem of its phenomenological elucidation. – Positive science in general and phenomenology	28
Section 6. The <i>Logical Investigations</i> as a breakthrough work. – The antecedent history of its problematic: the beginning with the questions about the psychological origin of basic mathematical concepts; the study of Lotze and Bolzano. – The thematic orientation of the mathematician; its naive justification and the problem of a phenomenological turn	32
Section 7. Critical discussion of Meinong. – Demarcations in the region of the a priori: The distinction between 1) the formal and material a priori, and 2) the "phenomenological" and "ontological" a priori	41
Section 8. Critical Differentiation from Lotze	44
Section 9. Critical differentiation from Bolzano	47
Section 10. Repudiation of the slogan: "Phenomenology as the analysis of the meanings of words"	49
Section 11. A misunderstanding of the author's in the <i>Logical Investigations</i> . The misleading characterization of phenomenology as descriptive psychology	51
Section 12. The charge of "logicism"; critical discussion on Wilhelm Wundt	52