
T H E
C O N T E N T S.

THE Preface.

An Abstract of the main things which are controversial in the four Books, with the Ground or Reason of charging the Ideal Principles with Scepticism in general, and particularly as they relate to Natural and Reveal'd Religion.

Book I. C H A P. I.

THE Introduction.

The Design.

What Ideas are in the Authors sense.

What an Idea is properly.

Substance and Mode, less ambiguous words and comprehend all that can be intended by the word Idea.

C H A P. II.

No Innate Principles in the Mind.

THE true state of the Question about innate Ideas.

In the Authors sense of the word Innate, there can be none Innate, but in the common and proper sense of that word, there are Innate Ideas or Modes of Sensation, and Principles both Speculative and Practical.

What is meant by Innate Principles.

All the Authors Objections obviated by the true State of the Question; or Answerable.

C H A P. III.

No Innate Practical Principles.

WHat Innate Practical Principles are.
The Question about innate practical Principles truly stated.

*The Reasons why we believe there are such.
The Objections answered.*

C H A P. IV.

Other considerations concerning Innate Principles both Speculative and Practical.

THE Authors Arguments against Innate Principles farther urg'd and answered.

What is meant by the Notion of GOD.

How we come naturally by the Notion of GOD.

Arguments to prove the Notion of GOD is not artificial.

All the Arguments against an innate Notion of GOD answered distinctly.

Book II. C H A P. I.

Of Ideas in General and their Original.

THE common account of the Original of all Knowledge.

Sensation, what.

Imagination, what.

Understanding, what in the strict sense.

Judging, what.

Reasoning, what.

Memory, what.

This Authors way of coming to all our Knowledge explained.

Sensation not the Original of all Knowledge.

The Authors arguments to prove all Knowledge derived from the Senses not sufficient.

His Assertion, that the Soul does not always Think, explained and examined.

His Arguments to prove that Assertion, not conclusive.

C H A P.

The CONTENTS.

CHAP. II.

Of Simple Ideas.

W *Hat this Author means by Simple Ideas.*
How that he means is commonly expressed by others.

CHAP. III.

Of the Ideas of one Sense.

W *Hat he and others mean by Ideas of one Sense.*

CHAP. IV.

Of Solidity.

T *His Authors new Sense of that word.*
The common Sense of that word.
Extension not the Essential property of Bodies.

Space Extended.

The Authors Arguments to prove Extension the Essence of Bodies examined.

Body distinct from Space.

The Authors experiment to prove a Vacuum by the pressure of a Globe of Gold filled with Water, forc'd thence by Screws, not conclusive.

No Experiments prove a Vacuum or disprove it fully.

The best Argument is, that Body and Space may be distinctly conceiv'd.

CHAP. V.

Of Simple Ideas of diverse Senses.

CHAP. VI.

Of Simple Ideas of Reflexion.

CHAP. VII.

Of Simple Ideas of both Sensation and Reflexion, particularly of Pain and Pleasure; but none of these are Ideas, only Modes of Sensation.

CHAP. VIII.

Some farther Considerations concerning Simple Ideas.

W *Hat this Author means by Simple Ideas, only different Modes of Perception.*

No Perceptions from a privative Cause.

Qualities in Bodies primary or secondary; but cannot be so distinguish'd, if Ideas.

All Bodies operate upon others by immediate Contact.

From whence arise all sensible Qualities.

Arguments to prove that they arise from the variety of Motion, Figure, Bulk, Position in the Objects.

CHAP. IX.

Of Perception.

P *erception strictly is the same with Sensation.*

Perception no Idea.

Perception not Motion.

The Senses often corrected by the Judgement; and the Authors arguments explained and examined.

That Brutes perceive, probable but not certain.

The Infirmities of old Age no good Argument that thereby they become Brutes.

Perception the first Step to Knowledge.

CHAP. X.

Of Retention.

W *Hat Retention is.*
Ideas said to be in the Memory are no where.

What does improve and prejudice Memory.

Memory an active Power of the Mind.

The necessity of Memory.

Mr. Paschal's Memory incredible.

The singing of Birds no full proof of their Perception.

CHAP.

The CONTENTS.

C H A P. XI.

Of Discerning and other Operations
of the Mind.

Discerning and Judgment much the
same.

The Excellency of Judgment chiefly in
Disagreement, and why.

This Author's Confusion in the Sense of
the Word Idea discover'd in a plain
Instance he gives himself.

The Power of Comparing, the same
with Judging.

No General Ideas.

The Powers of compounding and en-
larging exercis'd only about complex
Notions.

Names not always the Signs of Ideas.

The abstracting Faculty only the Power
of comparing Particulars, and giving
general Names.

The word Idea not expressive of the ade-
quate Objects of our Thoughts.

The Difference between Ideots and Mad-
men.

Ideas not the Foundation of Certainty or
true Knowledge.

No Knowledge gain'd by Contemplation of
Simple Ideas.

C H A P. XII.

Of Complex Ideas.

This Author's Confusion concerning
complex Ideas.

The word Mode ill used and defin'd by
this Author.

Substances obscurely defin'd by this Author,
and what he calls so are not Sub-
stances, only the common Names of
Substances.

*Relations not Ideas, but Modes of Con-
ception with their Names.*

C H A P. XIII.

Of Simple Modes, and first of the
Simple Modes of Space.

Simple Modes very confusedly de-
scrib'd by this Author.

His Notion of Space explain'd.

The same farther explain'd.

How we form the Notion of Immensity.
Figure, what.

Place what; and distinctly explain'd.

The Dispute about a Vacuum endless.

The Cartesian Dilemma avoidable only
by owning Space to be a Substance.

Two Arguments to prove a Vacuum, used
by this Author, not conclusive.

Motion does not prove a Vacuum with-
out begging the Question.

The best Argument to prove a Vacuum.

The Reasons why Men agree in single
Apprehensions, not in Complex.

C H A P. XIV.

Of Duration and its simple Modes.

THE Notions of Duration, and
Time, and their Measures.

Time or Duration not mensurable exactly
meerly by Succession of Thoughts, and
why.

The Author's Argument to prove Succes-
sion of Ideas, not Motion, the Mea-
sure of Time, explain'd and examin'd?
Thoughts are not Motion.

Periodical Motions the common Mea-
sures of Time.

The Motions of the Sun, tho' not exactly
(A) regular,

The C O N T E N T S.

regular, a better Measure of Time than Succession of Ideas.

Duration is mensurable without the actual Existence of the things themselves, by whose Motions it is measured.

Hence it may be concluded, all Knowledge is not derived from Sensation in Three Instances.

C H A P. XV.

Of Duration and Expansion considered together.

I*N what they agree: Enumerated and Explained.*

In what they disagree Enumerated and Explained.

Extension of Spirits is as conceivable as of Motion.

C H A P. XVI.

Of Number.

N*umber what? Not an Idea. Why Demonstration in Number more exact than in Figure.*

Why distinct Names or Figures necessary in Numbering.

Distinct Names for great round Numbers very useful.

Number measures all things, explain'd.

C H A P. XVII.

Of Infinity.

W*hat the Words Finite and Infinite signify Originally; though that is no very material Enquiry.*

Infinity may be as properly attributed to God's Wisdom and Power, as to his Duration and Ubiquity.

The way we gain the Notion of Infinity.

The Power of forming that Notion not derived from the Senses.

Why we don't attribute Infinity to single Qualities, as we do to Space, Duration and Number.

Infinity of Space and Space Infinite, a very nice distinction and needless; because Infinity is no Idea, but a Rational Deduction.

Though we can have no Positive Idea of Infinity, yet we may have a positive reason to believe a thing is infinite.

No negative Ideas.

C H A P. XVIII.

Of other Simple Modes.

S*imple Modes only single Qualities varied, but not Simple Ideas; particularly explained.*

C H A P. XIX.

Of the Modes of Thinking.

M*odes of Thinking are not Simple Ideas.*

What Sensation is.

What Remembrance.

Recollection what, and how distinguish'd from Remembrance.

Contemplation, what.

Revery, a French Word, Explained.

Attention, what.

Intention, what.

Dreaming, what.

None of these Modes of Thinking gain'd by Reflexion.

Think.

The CONTENTS.

Thinking may be the *Essence* of the Soul, though it be an *Action*. Whether *Self-activity*, as including both *Thinking* and *Notion*, be not the *Essential Property* of a Spirit, deserves to be consider'd.

CHAP. XX.

Of Modes of Pleasure and Pain.

Pleasure and Pain not Ideas, only Modes of Sensation so named. Good and Evil defin'd by this Author, but liable to Exception, and why; Explain'd.

CHAP. XXI. Misprinted XIX.

Of Power.

THE Signification of the word Power explain'd.

Liberty and Necessity what, Explain'd. The way we come to know the Powers of Substances.

Powers nothing really but the Properties in Substances, whether Active or Passive.

Active Power properly only in Spirits.

The Will, what.

The Understanding, what.

This Author's Definition of Liberty exceptionable.

Liberty not a Power, but only the Absence, Defect or Suspension of a Power in any Agent, distinct from that Agent which has Power.

Liberty of the Will not derogatory to the Omnipotency of God.

The occasion of the mistake, that the Will is not free.

The plain state of the Question about the Liberty of the Will.

The Liberty of the Will vindicated from this Author's Objections distinctly.

Man is own'd a free Agent by this Author.

This Author's Principles quite different from Mr. Hobbs's.

Liberty of the Will no Diminution to any of the Divine Perfections.

Liberty not rightly stated by this Author. A Question not fairly worded by this Author.

What determines the Will.

Desire differs from the Will, but not from the Act of the Will, or Volition.

Desire not rightly defin'd by this Author.

Cautions against making Uneasiness the sole motive of the Will.

To be determin'd by Reason no diminution to Liberty, and why.

Reasons of wrong Judgment enquir'd into, and this Author's meaning in that enquiry Explain'd.

The Causes of Men's Mis-judgment concerning Natural Evil, Explain'd.

The Causes of Men's Mis-judgment of Moral Evil, Explain'd.

The Author's Review of Original Ideas explain'd.

CHAP. XXII.

Of Mix'd Modes.

WHat mix'd Modes are, and the Notion of them how gain'd.

The Difference between this Author's Notions and others about mix'd Actions.

Number as capable of various Modification as Motion or Thinking.

Modification of Power improper.

Words don't signify the Modes of Operation in any Case.

The C O N T E N T S.

C H A P. XXIII.

Of our Complex Ideas of Substances.

Substances, *what.*

*The Philosophick Sense of the words
Essence and Nature.*

*Substance as well conceiv'd as Mode, either
in general or particular.*

*The Existence of Substance as clearly
known by Reason, as of Qualities by
Sensation.*

*Particular Substances distinguish'd only by
their known Qualities.*

*What we call the Nature of Substances is
only their Properties, tho' we have no
Ideas of some of them.*

*Primary Qualities don't constitute Com-
plex Ideas, because rarely known.*

Secondary Qualities, what.

*The third sort of Ideas, which in this
Author's account goes to the making the
Complex Ideas of Substances, are re-
ducible to primary or secondary Qua-
lities.*

*The Sensible Qualities of Bodies would
appear other than they do, if our Organs
of Sense were more acute than they
are.*

*Our Notion of a Spiritual Substance, as
clear as of Corporeal.*

*This excellently prov'd and explain'd by
the Author in all the primary Proper-
ties of Spirits and Bodies compar'd.*

*The Mode or Cause of the Cohesion in Bo-
dies as unintelligible as the Mode or
Cause of Thinking.*

*Pressure of the Ambient Fluid not sufficient
Cause of Cohesion, explain'd at large.*

*Communication of Motion as unconceivable,
as by Thought.*

*That all our Knowledge of Substances is
gain'd by the Mind's uniting Simple*

*Ideas, no good inference from the Pre-
misses, but rather the just contrary.*

C H A P. XXIV.

Of Collective Ideas of Substances.

Collective Ideas *what, and how
form'd?*

*This Author and others differ in their
account of the manner, how the Ideas
of single and collective Substances are
form'd, briefly explain'd.*

C H A P. XXV.

Of Relation.

Relation *what, and the several
sorts.*

Several Observables in Relation.

C H A P. XXVI.

Of Cause and Effect.

Cause and Effect *what; Final, Effi-
cient, Material, Formal Causes,
what properly.*

*Cause and Effect only Names of Sub-
stances given them on the account of
Properties conceiv'd in them.*

*Simple Ideas or Qualities neither Causes
or Effects properly.*

*Creation, Vegetation, Making, Altering,
how distinguish'd.*

*Time, Place, Magnitude, capable of Re-
lation, tho' the Words seem Positive,
as appears by the Answers to Questions
about them.*

How to know what Relative.

Chap.

The CONTENTS.

C H A P. XXVII.

Of Identity and Diversity.

WHat kind of Relation is here inquir'd into.

The several Reasons why commonly one thing is said to be the same with itself at different times.

Why GOD the same with himself at all times.

Why finite intelligent Substances the same with themselves at different times.

Why single corporeal Substances.

Why Modes.

Why artificial Things.

Why Vegetables.

Why Animals.

Why Men.

Why Persons.

Why we say any Effect is Possible.

This Author's Notions of the several sorts of Identity explain'd and examin'd? Meer Existence not the Principium, Individuation is as this Author alleges.

Identity of Vegetables don't require one common Principle of Life.

Identity of Animals do require one common Principle of Life.

Identity of Man something more than Matter organiz'd in the same manner; namely the same Principle of its intellectual Actions.

Two Objections of the Author's answered, being ground'd upon imaginary Suppositions.

Personal Identity not meerly Conscioufness, prov'd by several Arguments, and his Objections answered.

Two puzzling Questions of the Author's answered, and his own Answers to them retorted.

The Two Conditions requir'd by this Author for the answering the first Question unreasonable and trifling.

His Salvo for the ill Consequences of that Doctrine insufficient.

Arguments that Conscioufness alone does not make the same Person, or want of it different Persons.

The Author's Arguments that Conscioufness only makes the same or different Persons retorted.

The Conclusion.

This Author's acknowledgment of extravagant Suppositions.

C H A P. XXVIII.

Of Relations.

THE several sorts of Relations.
Modal Relations.

Natural Relations.

By Propriety.

Artificial Relations or by Institution.

Moral Relations.

Divine and Humane Laws.

No reason for the new Name of a Law of Reputation, and the Consequence thereof, if own'd?

Virtue and Vice not meer Names, but resolvable into immutable Relations.

Praise never reckon'd the same with Virtue, only call'd so, because the presumed Effect of Virtue.

Virtue and Vice not meer Arbitrary Names.

The Knowledge of no sort of Relation gain'd by compounding simple Ideas; but by comparing Substances in their Modes, Actions and Properties.

C H A P. XXIX

Of Clear and Obscure, distinct and confus'd Ideas.

HOW all that is meant by the Word Ideas may be better express'd.
(B) What

The CONTENTS.

What a clear Idea is, explain'd by a Similitude.

No Object properly Obscure in itself.

How Notions became Obscure.

C H A P. XXX.

Of Real and Fantastical Ideas.

What Real, what Fantastical Ideas are.

All simple Ideas real ; how far true.

Complex Ideas not less real, tho less perfect.

The Notion of mixt Actions real, as well as single Qualities, tho not in all Men equally perfect.

How Ideas of Substances may become Fantastical.

C H A P. XXXI.

Of Adequate and Inadequate Ideas.

What Adequate and Inadequate Ideas are.

Simple Ideas are Inadequate, as well as Complex, tho not so much.

The Notion of mix'd Modes adequate, tho not for the Reason this Author gives ; but because not conformable to the true Standard in Moral Matters.

Ideas of Substances never refer'd to real Essences, and thence this Author has made that obscure which is plain.

C H A P. XXXII.

True and False Ideas.

T ruth or Falshood only belongs to Propositions tacitly form'd in the Mind, or put into Words.

Metaphysical Truth is only Existence.

Simple Apprehensions become true or false, only when put into Propositions.

Notions of mixt Actions become true or false as they agree to or disagree with a Rule, and that Rule is as fix'd as that for Substances.

Every Man's Idea of Substances is conformable to his own Experience and so far true.

B O O K. III.

C H A P. I.

Of Words or Language.

What is the Use of Words.
The Original of Words no Proof that they signifie nothing else.

C H A P. II.

Of the Signification of Words.

No Words signifie any thing naturally.

C H A P. III.

Of General Words.

Most of our Words are general, but can't be avoided.
General Words not Signs of general abstract Ideas.

What

The CONTENTS.

What an abstract Idea is properly.
What therefore General Words are the
Signs of.

Genus and Species what.

The common Sense of the Word Essence.

How far it is true, that General Words are
the Creatures of the Understanding.

Abstract Ideas not the Essence of any
thing.

The distinction of Real and Nominal Es-
sence needless and confounding.

C H A P. IV.

Of the Names of Simple Ideas.

W*hat Peculiarities are obser-*
vable in the Names of Sim-
ple Ideas.

Why single Qualities or Ideas can't be de-
fin'd.

Two Reasons thereof according to the common
Opinion.

C H A P. V.

Of the Names of Mix'd Modes and
Relations.

M*ix'd Modes have in this Author's*
Account Two Peculiarities wor-
thy Observation.

Mix'd Modes are no more Creatures of the
Understanding than Substances.

The Author's first Objection answered.

The Difference between Virtues and Vices,
as easily known as between Substances.

His second Argument answered.

His third Argument answered.

C H A P. VI.

Of the Names of Substances.

T*HE Essence of Substances are Pro-*
erties by which they are distin-
guished from each other.

Nothing is Essential in the proper Sense of
the Word Essence, but to Individuals.

That farther clear'd from the Author's Ob-
jections.

The occasion of that common Mistake, that
nothing is essential to Individuals.

The true Boundaries of Substances are the
Properties in them, not our Abstract
Ideas of them.

Objections of the Author's answered.

Properties belong to Individuals, not to
Species or the General Names.

Names are alterable at every ones pleasure,
but not the Essence or Properties.

C H A P. VII.

Of Particles.

W*hat Particles of Speech are the*
Signs of.

Particles used in different Senses, but easily
learnt by Practice, though not by Rules.

C H A P. VIII.

Of Abstract and Concrete Terms.

W*hat are Concrete and what*
Abstract Terms.

Concrete Terms the Names of Substances.
Abstract Terms the Names of Qualities
or Properties.

The true Reasons why Abstract Terms are
not Predicates of Propositions.

Few abstract Names of Substances and why.

C H A P.

The CONTENTS.

CHAP IX.

Of the Imperfection of Words.

TWO uses of words.

Four ways by which words become doubtful, assigned by this Author.

The common reasons of doubtfulness in the signification of words explained particularly.

Doubtfulness in the Signification of words, often chargeable upon the difference of Persons Opinions or experience, not on the words themselves.

Doubtfulness in the sense of Moral words chargeable upon prejudice, rather than the words.

The standard of Moral Actions and their Names as well fixed as of the Names of Substances or particular Qualities.

An Objection of the Author's answered.

The signification of moral Names no more doubtful than of Substances.

The doubtfulness of the Signification of Moral Words chargeable upon Hastiness or Humour, more than on the Words themselves.

This Author's Observation of the doubtfulness of Moral Words carried too far.

Names given to Substances and mixed Modes according to Persons experience, not by comparing them with general Abstract Ideas.

This farther cleared at large.

The Reasons why this Author charges the doubtfulness of words on the words themselves, rather than on differences between Persons using them, not good.

Moderation, though a great Virtue, does not extend to oblige us to fix no certain Sense on the Holy Scriptures or other Ancient Writings.

CHAP X.

Of the Abuse of Words.

THE defect of words not perfectly curable.

How words are wilfully abused.

It is impossible to correct all the abuse of words. words are not abused by references to abstract Ideas or real Essences.

Nature works regularly, but naming things is indeed arbitrary.

CHAP XI.

Of the Remedy of the foregoing Imperfections and Abuses.

Four Rules for the preventing the abuse of words, explained.

This Author's concept about Demonstration in Morality explained by an instance, and proved useless.

Very useful directions by this Author for our improvement in the Knowledge of Substances, &c.

BOOK IV.

CHAP. I.

Of Knowledge in General.

WHat Knowledge is.

The Author's definition of Knowledge, and examined.

Farther explained in particulars.

What Knowledge of Identity and Diversity is.

What relative Knowledge is.

What Knowledge of Coexistence is.

What Knowledge of Existence is.

Knowledge meerly in Idea of no use; prov'd.

It's impossible to prove the real Existence of any thing by the way of Ideas only.

This the Reason why these Principles chargeable with Scepticism, in general.

Knowledge Actual or Habitual.

CHAP. II.

Of the Degrees of Knowledge.

Three Degrees of Knowledge assigned by this Author.

Intuitive Knowledge.

Intuitive Knowledge not real, but on the Supposition of the Truth of our Senses.

No Proposition true or false, but on Supposition of the Existence of things without us, and of the Truth of our Senses.

What Demonstrative Knowledge is.

Demonstrative Knowledge of no use meerly in Idea.

Demonstrative Knowledge neither so easie or clear as Intuitive, and why:

This

The CONTENTS.

This Author's Inference against Preconceptions and Precognition, not good.
Some things must be Presupposed in all Certainty or Knowledge, whether Intuitive or Demonstrative.
Some things may be as certain as Mathematical Demonstrations, and yet not by Ideas; an Instance.
Why no Demonstration in Smells, &c.
Demonstration might be more easie and useful than meerly in Figure, and why.
Sensitive Knowledge what.
This is really the Foundation of Intuitive and Demonstrative Knowledge.
All Knowledge is grounded upon the Supposition of the Truth of our Senses, and other Faculties; explained.
Knowledge not always clear, tho the Ideas are.

CHAP. III.

Of the Extent of Humane Knowledge.

HOW far Knowledge or Certainty extends. *This Author's Inference from those Premises, that matter may think, particularly examin'd.*

This Author's way of arguing on this point not fair.

The Question about Matters Thinking fairly Stated.

Matter does not Think.

The same Arguments which prove GOD is not Matter, prove our Souls are not matter; explained?

Extent of Knowledge examined in the 4 Particulars before mentioned:

1. Identity.

No Man himself thinks any Proposition true, but on his own Supposition of the real Existence of that whereof he thinks.

2. Knowledge of Coexistence.

No Knowledge of Substances in this Author's account.

His meaning therein explained?

Every ones Knowledge of Substances extends as far as his Experience, and so far is as certain as Intuitive and Demonstrative.

3. Relative Knowledge.

Knowledge of Relation very improveable indeed, but not in Ideal Relations only.

Demonstration in Morality of little or no use, and why.

This Author owns Demonstration in Morality not to be hoped for, and why.

4. Knowledge of Existence.

The Causes of Ignorance.

1. Want of Ideas.

The true reason of Ignorance well explained by this Author in two particulars.

2d. Reason of Ignorance want of discoverable Connexion.

Knowledge in Mathematical Matters either no better than Verbal, or Mutable.

3d. Cause of Ignorance, want of tracing Ideas; explained.

Abstract Mathematicks not so useful as generally esteem'd.

CHAP. IV.

Of the Reality of our Knowledge.

THIS Author's own Objections against the Reality of Knowledge according to his Principles.

His own Answer to those Objections examined.

The Conformity between Ideas, and the things of which they are the Ideas, not discoverable by his Principles.

No Ideas real, on my Author's Principles.

As to Simple Ideas, there are none such.

As to Complex Ideas of mixed Modes, none such.

The Author's Evasion, retorted.

This farther urged by the Author and answer'd.

Another Objection answered.

An Evasion of the Authors not consistent.

Why no real Knowledge of Substances, according to his Principles.

All Knowledge whatever is of Substances, Modes and their Relations.

The Misunderstanding in this whole Matter cleared.

All the Confusion is from his new Sense of Words.

The Instance of Changelings consider'd.

The Instance of Monstrous Births consider'd?

Recapitulation.

CHAP. V.

Of Truth in General.

WHAT Truth is in the Author's Account.

The common Definition of Truth.

The differences between the two Definitions of Truth, at large explain'd.

Why it's hard to treat of Mental Propositions explained.

Mental Propositions explain'd by an instance of the Author's.

(C)

Demon-

The CONTENTS.

*Demonstration can never be meerly by Ideas.
An Objection of the Author's answered by him-
self, but not fully.
The Idea of a Centaur is as capable of Existence
as of Man (that is) neither of them are at
all capable.
Conclusion.*

CHAP. VI.

*Of Universal Propositions, their Truth and
Certainty.*

W*hat Universal Propositions are.
Universal Propositions may be formed
without words; explained.
Certainty either of Truth or Knowledge.
General Propositions may be as certainly known
to be true, about Substances, as Mathema-
tical Propositions.
The word Triangle is a common Name, just as
Gold is.
Two good Reasons of this Author's why we can't
know all the Properties of Substances; ex-
plained.*

CHAP. VII.

Of Maxims.

W*hat is commonly meant by Maxims.
The Certainty of them, or any other
Propositions, cannot be known by this Author's
way of Ideas.
All Objections against them and their use clear'd.*

CHAP. VIII.

Of Trifling Propositions.

W*hat this Author calls such, proved not
such; but are the most useful; explain-
ed particularly.*

CHAP. IX.

Of our Knowledge of Existence.

E*ssences of things don't concern Existence;
explained.
Essences are not Ideas; explained in all par-
ticulars.
Essences in Natural things not made by us.
Essences do concern Existence; tho not owned so
by this Author.*

*General Propositions concern real Existence.
Particular Propositions suppose Existence.
Existence of things is known, but not meerly by
Ideas.
The Existence of GOD, known, but not by
the way of Ideas.*

CHAP. X.

Of our Knowledge of the Existence of GOD.

T*HE Author's Argument to prove the
Existence of GOD.
That Argument would have all its force without
going to the Notion of Eternity.
St. Paul's Argument the best.
Two Arguments against it answered?
This Author's Argument to prove that Matter
cannot Think, or produce Thought, ex-
plain'd and unanswerable.
The whole force of the Author's Argument to
prove the Existence of GOD, put into the
form of a Syllogism, and unanswerable, upon
Supposition of the Truth of our Senses and
other Faculties; but not else.
The Effects of Wisdom and Power in all parts of
the world confirm the belief of GOD's
Existence.
The Powers of our own Minds confirm it.
The force of every one of this Author's Proposi-
tions enervated, by resolving all Certain-
ty meerly into Ideas; particularly explained.
The Conclusion.*

CHAP. XI.

Of our Knowledge of Existence of other things.

I*t is impossible to come to the Knowledge of our
own, or GOD's Existence or the Truth of any
Propositions whatever, without Supposing or
Proving the real Existence of things without us.
All the Arguments used by this Author to
prove the Existence of things without us,
and Truth of our Senses, suppose the very
Question; explained distinctly.
His way of convincing the Sceptick supposes the
Question.
The Certainty of our Senses the Foundation of all
Knowledge.
The Testimony of our Senses, not always limited
to actual Sensation for the proving Truth.
Conclusion. Two sort of Propositions knowable
but neither of them, but upon supposition of
the truth of our Senses, and real Existence
of things without us.*

Chap.

The CONTENTS.

CHAP. XII.

Of the Improvement of our Knowledge.

- T**HE use of Maxims not fairly represented by this Author.
The Use of them fairly represented.
The Author's Questions about the use of Maxims answered?
The Author's Representation of the danger of Principles not just.
'Tis as dangerous to deny all Principles, as to embrace false Principles.
This Author's Proposal for gaining Certainty without any Principles or Presuppositions examined, and proved to be either the old Method in a new Dress of Words, or needless.
The old Method of gaining Knowledge in Moral Matters explained two ways.
Why the Clearness of Mathematical Demonstrations not imitable in Morality, truly represented.
The way of Improvement in Natural Philosophy much the same with that in Morality evinced.
'Tis safer to go upon the common principles on which all Mankind have agreed, than offer to doubt of every thing.
This Author's Cautions against Hypotheses in Natural Philosophy very good; but now-a-days almost needless.
Conclusion. Experimental Philosophy useful, though it cannot make our Knowledge of Substances adequate.
Mathematical Demonstrations, when applied to Particulars for Use, not so absolutely certain as generally believed; this explained.

CHAP. XIII.

Some farther Considerations concerning our Knowledge.

NOT wholly voluntary, or wholly involuntary.

CHAP. XIV.

Of Judgment.

HOW Judging commonly defined.
How defined by this Author.
The Difference between the Definitions.

CHAP. XV.

Of Probability.

- T**HE Bounds of Certainty, as assigned by this Author examined in the Particulars Knowable.
We can't so much as know or be certain of our own Existence, nor our own Perceptions, nor General Propositions, nor the Existence of God, nor the Existence of things without us, nor the Truth of Mathematical Propositions meerly by Ideas.
Probability defined by this Author.
The Notation of the word Probable too much limited by this Author, though often taken in his Sense of it.
That may be certain to one, which is only probable to another, and improbable to a Third Person.
Faith, Believing or assenting, may be on as certain Grounds as any sort of Knowledge; and therefore those words too much limited by this Author.
The Grounds of Probability.
What makes Testimony of others more or less credible.
Before Assent the Grounds of Probability should be examined in Matters of Importance.
The Opinion of others, though no Ground of Certainty, yet it may be of Probability; explained, why.

CHAP. XVI.

Of the Degrees of Assent:

- T**HE Evils of Scepticism and wrong Principles compared?
Probability of Two sorts, or in Two Cases.
Three Degrees of Probability as good as Certainty, and Instances given by the Author to explain it.
The Cases in which Judgment is most necessary.
Traditional Testimony, the less valid the farther from the Original, proved not always true.
Analogy the only Rule of Probability in things that don't occur to the Senses.
Miracles not the less credible for being contrary to common Experience.
Revealed Propositions may be certain because attested by GOD.

This

The C O N T E N T S.

This Point not fully explained by this Author. How commonly Persons satisfy themselves of the Certainty of Divine Revelation. Eye-witnesses of Miracles may be ascertained in their Faith. Such as were not Eye-witnesses may be as certain as of any thing else they did not see. Such a Certainty leaves no Ground for a rational Disbelief or Doubt.

C H A P. XVII.

Of Reason.

THE several Significations of that word. The Author treats of it here only as a Faculty.

The Necessity of this Faculty.

This Faculty cannot be exercised without Pre-suppositions.

The Author's Definition of Reason.

The Vulgar Definition of Reason.

The Difference between the Definitions explain'd.

All the Author's Arguments against the Usefulness of Syllogisms answered.

where Reason fails.

Neither Intuitive nor Demonstrative Knowledge real, without Supposition of things really existing without us.

Rational Knowledge farther extended than the Agreement or Disagreement of Ideas.

Judgment of larger Extent than assign'd by this Author.

Four sorts of Arguments commonly used. The Particulars explain'd; and not so useless as he represents them.

It cannot be proved meerly by Ideas, what things are according to, above, or contrary to Reason.

Another Sense of the word Reason, as oppos'd to Faith, very properly assign'd by this Author.

C H A P. XVIII.

Of Faith and Reason and their distinct Provinces.

THis Author's Definitions of Faith and Reason, and the common Definitions of them compared.

Several Observations of this Author examined and explain'd.

No need of either new or old Simple Ideas in order to Supernatural Knowledge:

Traditional Knowledge not so certain as Imme-

diat Revelation or Rational Deductions, and why. But this must be limited to Traditional Revelation only.

No Revelation against clear Reason, and why; but this Author's Reasons not so plain or convincing.

An Inference thence of the Author's examined.

A Caution relating to the Holy Scriptures.

Rational Faith and Divine Faith only differ in Degrees of Evidence, not always in the Matter.

The Use of Reason and Humane Testimony doth not make a Proposition the less credible.

The Difference between immediate and mediate Divine Revelation explain'd by a Comparison. 'Tis so small as to make no Difference at all in the Ground or Reason of Humane Actions.

What things are properly Matters of Faith when revealed.

No clear Account given by this Author, nor can be by his Principles, why we should think any Reveal'd Proposition certain; because we can never be certain that it was reveal'd.

How this is commonly stated, or why Revealed Propositions may be certainly known to be true.

C H A P. XIX.

Of wrong Assent or Error.

Four Reasons of Error assign'd by the Author, and explain'd distinctly, and at large.

A Material Question started by the Author, about the Ignorance of several Persons, and in several Countries, in Matters of Religion; but not fully answered by him, or can indeed by his Principles.

How that Question is answerable by others.

The Conclusion. This Author's new way for preventing Errors, summarily review'd, and proved insufficient in all sorts of Cases and Propositions.

How others that don't go upon these Ideal Principles, satisfy themselves in the Certainty of Propositions, explain'd particularly and distinctly.

C H A P. XX.

Of the Division of the Sciences.

AN Explication of the Author's Method of improving Knowledge, and his Scheme particularly for Logicks.