

Contents

Table of Abbreviations	7
Introduction: Intermediation on the internet	10
Part A. An emerging <i>lex digitalis intermedia</i>	17
1. System-inherent rationalities of internet intermediation	18
1.1. Internet intermediation and multi-sided markets	18
1.2. Internet intermediation and competition	20
1.3. Internet intermediation and societal welfare	24
2. The intermediation of information: Google Search	30
2.1. From Google to Alphabet	30
2.2. Information acquisition in the Information Age	32
3. The normative structure of information intermediation	44
3.1. Normative network: Guidelines, policies, and contractual web	45
3.2. Normative paradigm: Maintaining uniformity	51
3.3. Comparison to social networking platforms: Governing multiplicity	57
3.4. The normative architecture of information intermediation	61
3.4.1. Competition	62
3.4.1.1. Situation in the U.S.: Search results ranking as free speech	63
3.4.1.2. Situation in the EU: Abuse of dominant market position	65
3.4.1.3. Transnational fragmentation of competition law	68
3.4.1.4. The EU's Digital Markets Act: A way forward?	69
3.4.2. Platform standards and user rights	75
3.4.2.1. Situation in the U.S.: Search engines not public forums	76
3.4.2.2. Situation in the EU: Proceduralization of private governance	80
3.4.2.3. Transnational fragmentation of platforms standards and user rights	89
3.4.2.4. The EU's Digital Services Act: A way forward?	92
4. Classifying the normative structure of internet intermediation: <i>lex digitalis intermedia</i>	96
4.1. Against two dogmas of state-centrism	97
4.1.1. Why the genealogical premise cannot convince	97
4.1.2. Why command theories of law cannot convince	99
4.2. Emergent transnational legal order	101
4.2.1. Primordial normative powers of incumbent intermediaries	101
4.2.2. Assessing the primordial normative powers of incumbent intermediaries	106
4.2.3. Toward <i>lex digitalis intermedia</i>	111

Part B. Transnational law and legal legitimacy	114
1. Framing the question of legal legitimacy	115
1.1. The three commitments to philosophical liberalism	116
1.2. Preliminary conceptual clarifications	119
1.3. Roadmap	122
2. Abridged atlas: Conceptions of legal legitimacy and where they surface	124
2.1. Liberal democratic nation states: Traditional legitimacy conceptions	128
2.1.1. Proceduralist conceptions	129
2.1.2. Substantivist conceptions	130
2.1.3. Epistemic conceptions	133
2.1.4. Consent-based conceptions	136
2.1.5. Impartiality-based conceptions	138
2.1.6. Authority-based conceptions	142
2.2. Post-Westphalian regimes: <i>In statu nascendi</i>	146
2.2.1. Between constitutionalism and global legal pluralism	146
2.2.1.1. Constitutionalism	147
2.2.1.2. Global legal pluralism	150
2.2.2. Transnational public(-private) regimes	151
2.2.2.1. Global security governance	151
2.2.2.1.1. The evolution of the global sanctions regime	152
2.2.2.1.2. Legitimacy conceptions invoked by global security governance	153
2.2.2.2. Global economic governance	154
2.2.2.2.1. Hybrid governance regimes	154
2.2.2.2.2. Legitimacy conceptions invoked by global economic governance	156
2.2.2.3. Global risk governance	160
2.2.2.3.1. Chemical safety	160
2.2.2.3.2. GMO regulation	161
2.2.2.3.3. Legitimacy conceptions invoked by global risk governance	163
2.2.3. Transnational private(-public) regimes	164
2.2.3.1. <i>Lex mercatoria</i>	166
2.2.3.1.1. The evolution of merchant law	166
2.2.3.1.2. Legitimacy conceptions invoked by <i>lex mercatoria</i>	172
2.2.3.2. <i>Lex sportiva</i>	175
2.2.3.2.1. The normative order of global professional sports	175
2.2.3.2.2. Legitimacy conceptions invoked by <i>lex sportiva</i>	178
2.2.3.3. Jewish law	181

2.2.3.3.1. Parallels between the study of Jewish law and transnational law	182
2.2.3.3.2. Legitimacy conceptions invoked by Jewish law	184
3. Grounding legal legitimacy: A meta-normative investigation	186
3.1. Why the inclusive approach cannot convince	187
3.2. Why the exclusive approach cannot convince	192
3.3. Why the hybrid approach cannot convince	201
4. Toward a practical account of legal legitimacy	207
4.1. What the practice approach entails	208
4.1.1. Preserving law's self-reflexive potential	209
4.1.1.1. From responsive law to self-reflexive law	210
4.1.1.2. The dual justificatory function of legal legitimacy in public discourse	212
4.1.2. The ascription of collective responsibility	217
4.1.2.1. Intention and agent-responsibility	218
4.1.2.2. Collective responsibility	224
4.1.2.2.1. Established collectives	225
4.1.2.2.2. Emergent collectives	229
4.1.2.3. The ascriptive function of legal legitimacy in public discourse	235
4.2. Practical standards for assessing the legitimacy of <i>lex digitalis intermedia</i>	238
4.2.1. Transparency	240
4.2.1.1. Our co-constitutive relationship with technology	241
4.2.1.2. The opacity of the digital socio-technical domain	242
4.2.1.3. A practical account of transparency measures for <i>lex digitalis intermedia</i>	249
4.2.2. Reciprocity	254
4.2.2.1. Why reciprocal normative attitudes matter for <i>lex digitalis intermedia</i>	255
4.2.2.2. Promising developments	256
4.2.2.3. Worrisome developments	258
4.2.3. Institutional entanglement	266
4.2.3.1. Checks and balances	266
4.2.3.2. Institutional agency and institutional reasons	269
4.2.3.3. Checks and balances for <i>lex digitalis intermedia</i> : Entangling normative paradigms	272
Epilogue	280
Bibliography	283