

## Table of Contents

Foreword . . . . .	XI
Introduction . . . . .	1
§ 1. Paul Althaus: A Case Study . . . . .	1
<b>Chapter I: Between Troeltsch and Barth . . . . .</b>	<b>4</b>
§ 2. Child of Conflict . . . . .	4
<i>I. Ernst Troeltsch . . . . .</i>	<i>5</i>
§ 3. A Positive and Negative Catalyst . . . . .	5
§ 4. Troeltsch's New Approach . . . . .	7
§ 5. God's Message to Man: Troeltsch's Concept of Revelation . . . . .	9
§ 6. Man's Response to God: Troeltsch's View of the Religions . . . . .	12
§ 7. Christianity and the Religions . . . . .	16
<i>II. Karl Barth . . . . .</i>	<i>20</i>
§ 8. His Approach: "From Above" – the Divine Transcendence . . . . .	20
§ 9. Extra Christum Nulla Revelatio . . . . .	23
§ 10. Extra Christum Nulla Religio . . . . .	26
§ 11. In Christo Una Religio . . . . .	28
§ 12. Excursus: Did Barth Change His Verdict on the Religions? . . . . .	32
<b>Chapter II: A Middle Way – A Protestant Way . . . . .</b>	<b>37</b>
<i>I. Althaus' Middle Way . . . . .</i>	<i>37</i>
§ 13. The Scylla and Charybdis of a Theology of the Religions . . . . .	37
§ 14. Between Troeltsch and Barth in the Understanding of Revelation . . . . .	38
§ 15. Between Troeltsch and Barth in the Understanding of Religion . . . . .	39
§ 16. Between Troeltsch and Barth in the Understanding of Christianity's Uniqueness . . . . .	41
<i>II. A Protestant Way . . . . .</i>	<i>44</i>
§ 17. Protestant – Fidelity to the Reformation . . . . .	44
§ 18. Incarnational – Openness to the World . . . . .	47
§ 19. A Unique Case Study . . . . .	52
<b>Chapter III: The Religions: Echo of Uroffenbarung . . . . .</b>	<b>56</b>
<i>I. Uroffenbarung: the Foundation and Starting-Point for a Theology of the Religions . . . . .</i>	<i>56</i>
§ 20. Religions Originate from and Are Bearers of Uroffenbarung . . . . .	58
<i>II. The Reality of Uroffenbarung . . . . .</i>	<i>59</i>
§ 22. Uroffenbarung – Its Definition and Terminology . . . . .	59
§ 23. Scriptural Foundation for the Reality of Uroffenbarung . . . . .	63
§ 24. Uroffenbarung – Its Foundations in Protestant Tradition . . . . .	69
<i>III. The Phenomenology of Uroffenbarung . . . . .</i>	<i>71</i>
§ 25. Various Structures for Describing the Phenomenology of Uroffenbarung . . . . .	71
§ 26. Uroffenbarung in the "Ur-Macht" . . . . .	73

§ 27. Uroffenbarung in the “Ur-Ich” . . . . .	76
§ 28. Uroffenbarung in the “Ur-Wille” . . . . .	77
§ 29. Uroffenbarung in the “Ur-Geist” . . . . .	79
§ 30. A Common Starting Point . . . . .	81
<b>Chapter IV: Althaus’ Positive Evaluation of the Religions</b> . . . . .	<b>84</b>
§ 31. Introduction . . . . .	84
<i>I. The Religions Play a Role in the Economy of Salvation</i> . . . . .	85
§ 32. The Religions’ Role is Distinct and Partially Independent . . . . .	85
§ 33. “Natural Theology” or “General Salvation History”? . . . . .	87
§ 34. The Religions’ Role is Supernatural . . . . .	90
§ 35. The Religions’ Role: Part of the History of Salvation . . . . .	92
<i>II. The Nature of the Religions’ Role: Their Content of Truth</i> . . . . .	95
§ 36. In the Religions Man Can Know the One, True God . . . . .	95
§ 37. In the Religions Man Can Know the Personal God and His Offer of Love . . . . .	97
§ 38. In the Religions Man Can Know His Need of Redemption . . . . .	100
§ 39. Summarizing: The Religions Are Instruments of God’s Truth . . . . .	101
<i>III. The Positive Relation between Christianity and Religions</i> . . . . .	103
§ 40. The Possibility of “Anknüpfung” . . . . .	104
§ 41. The Necessity of “Anknüpfung” . . . . .	108
<i>IV. The Religions as “Viae Salutis”?</i> . . . . .	111
§ 42. A “Catholic” Question . . . . .	111
§ 43. The Certainty of God’s Universal, Salvific Will . . . . .	112
§ 44. A Form of Faith within Uroffenbarung and the Religions . . . . .	115
§ 45. Does Faith Require Knowledge of the Historical Reality of Christ? . . . . .	118
§ 46. The Universal Christ – Working Salvation within History . . . . .	122
<b>Chapter V: Althaus’ “No” to the Religions: Dialectical or Contradictory?</b> . . . . .	<b>126</b>
§ 47. Introduction . . . . .	126
<i>I. Althaus’ Parallel “No” to the Religions</i> . . . . .	126
§ 48. Concerning the Religions’ Role in the Plan of Salvation . . . . .	126
§ 49. Concerning the Religions’ Content of Truth . . . . .	129
§ 50. Concerning the Relation between Christianity and the Religions . . . . .	132
§ 51. Concerning the Religions as Viae Salutis . . . . .	134
§ 52. Althaus’ Central, Summarizing “No” to the Religions . . . . .	140
<i>II. Dialectic or Contradiction?</i> . . . . .	141
§ 53. A Dialectical View . . . . .	141
§ 54. A Contradictory View . . . . .	142
<b>Chapter VI: Justification – the “Articulus Stantis et Cadentis Religionis”</b> . . . . .	<b>146</b>
§ 55. Introduction . . . . .	146
<i>I. Sola Fide – Establishes the Religions in a State of Sin and Condemnation</i> . . . . .	147
§ 56. Means that God is God and Man is a Sinner . . . . .	147
§ 57. Means that God is Judge and Man the Condemned . . . . .	150

<i>II. Solus Christus – Deprives Religions of All Escape from This State of Sin and Condemnation</i> . . . . .	153
§ 58. Necessity of the “Solus Christus” – Physically-Objectively . . . . .	154
§ 59. The Final “No” to the Religions – Physically-Objectively . . . . .	157
§ 60. Necessity of the “Solus Christus” – Psychologically-Subjectively . . . . .	160
§ 61. The Final “No” to the Religions – Psychologically-Subjectively . . . . .	164
§ 62. The Final “No”: Sine Christo = Contra Christum . . . . .	166
<i>III. An Example of Uroffenbarung’s “Yes” and Justification’s “No”: The Religions of Grace</i> . . . . .	166
§ 63. A Verification of the Clash . . . . .	166
§ 64. Uroffenbarung’s “Yes” to the Religions of Grace . . . . .	168
§ 65. Justification’s “No” to the Religions of Grace . . . . .	171
§ 66. A Question of Method: Theological Principles and Phenomenological Facts . . . . .	174
<i>IV. Justification: The “Articulus Cadentis Religionis”</i> . . . . .	178
§ 67. A Necessary and Decisive Clash . . . . .	178
§ 68. Althaus’ Final Attitude towards the Religions: They are a “Praeparatio Negativa” for the Gospel . . . . .	180
 <b>Chapter VII: The Verification of This Case Study: Protestant Theology and the Non-Christian Religions</b> . . . . .	 182
§ 69. A Case Study for Contemporary Protestant Attitudes . . . . .	182
§ 70. Present State of German-Protestant Theology of Religions . . . . .	183
§ 71. H. G. Fritzsche – Example of Reformed Tendency . . . . .	185
§ 72. C. H. Ratschow – Example of Lutheran Tendency . . . . .	187
§ 73. W. Pannenberg – Example of Historical Nonconfessional Approach . . . . .	192
§ 74. “Opinio Communis” within Systematic Theology . . . . .	199
§ 75. “Opinio Communis” within Mission-Theology . . . . .	204
§ 76. Conclusion: Althaus Still a Valid Case Study . . . . .	209
 <b>Conclusion: Agenda for a Protestant-Catholic Dialogue Concerning the Religions</b> . . . . .	 211
<i>I. Listening</i> . . . . .	212
§ 77. The Reality of Sin and Rebellion against God . . . . .	213
§ 78. Christocentrism – Christ the Cause and Source of Salvation . . . . .	214
§ 79. Proper Relation between General and Special Economies of Salvation . . . . .	217
§ 80. The Abiding Meaning of Mission . . . . .	218
<i>II. Questioning</i> . . . . .	220
Practical Questions . . . . .	220
§ 81. Concerning Dialogue . . . . .	220
§ 82. Concerning the Relation between Theology and Comparative Religions . . . . .	220
Dogmatic Questions . . . . .	221
§ 83. Concerning God’s Salvific Will . . . . .	221
§ 84. Concerning Revelation . . . . .	222
§ 85. Concerning Justification . . . . .	223
§ 86. Concerning Christology . . . . .	227

<i>III. A Final Word: The Hermeneutics of a Theology of the Religions</i> . . . . .	230
§ 87. Theological Principles Not Sufficient . . . . .	230
§ 88. A Truly Dialectical Attitude Required . . . . .	231
§ 89. Final Judgment through Confrontation . . . . .	232
<b>Zusammenfassung</b> . . . . .	234
Kap. I: Between Troeltsch and Barth (Zwischen Troeltsch und Barth) . . . . .	234
Kap. II: A Middle Way – A Protestant Way (Ein Mittelweg: Ein protestantischer Weg) . . . . .	235
Kap. III: The Religions: Echo of Uroffenbarung (Die Religionen – ein Echo auf die Uroffenbarung) . . . . .	236
Kap. IV: Althaus' Positive Evaluation of the Religions (Althaus' positive Würdigung der Religionen) . . . . .	237
Kap. V: Althaus' "No" to the Religions: Dialectical or Contradictory? (Althaus' "Nein" zu den Religionen: dialektisch oder widersprüchlich?) . . . . .	239
Kap. VI: Justification – The "Articulus Stantis et Cadentis Religionis" (Rechtfertigung als "Articulus Stantis et Cadentis Religionis") . . . . .	240
Kap. VII: Verification of this Case Study: Protestant Theology and the Non-Christian Religions (Bestätigung dieses "Case Study": Die protestantische Theologie und die nicht-christlichen Religionen) . . . . .	241
Kap. VIII: Agenda for a Protestant-Catholic Dialogue concerning the Religions (Vorschläge für einen protestantisch-katholischen Dialog über die Religionen) . . . . .	242
<b>Bibliography</b> . . . . .	244
I. Paul Althaus . . . . .	244
II. Background . . . . .	248
III. Contemporary Theology of the Religions . . . . .	258
A. Protestant . . . . .	258
B. Catholic . . . . .	263
<b>Index</b> . . . . .	269