

# Contents

List of tables	<i>page</i> ix
Acknowledgments	x
Introduction: myths, men, and policy making	1
Why myths?	8
Myth as securitizing	9
The band of brothers myth	10
1 The combat exclusion is a story we tell ourselves . . . about men	19
The military excludes women to protect men	20
2 The disintegration of the combat exclusion in Iraq and Afghanistan	42
Operational realities	44
Legal challenges	58
How do you change a policy that doesn't exist?	64
3 It just doesn't <i>feel</i> right: emotion and the combat exclusion policy	75
Gut reactions, divine concerns, and threats to nature	79
Military and soldier identity	85
4 Faster, stronger, more male: women and the failure of physical standards	98
i. Are women weaker than men?	102
ii. Is physical difference insurmountable?	103
iii. What are the physical standards for men and women in the military, and are there double standards for women?	106

iv. Are there combat-specific physical requirements?	111
v. Do combat roles require enhanced, or different, physical capabilities than other roles within the military?	112
vi. Do physical standards discriminate against women?	116
vii. Is the physical argument only about standards?	117
5 Sex, cohesion, and national security	134
Defining cohesion	136
Dismantling the foundations of the sex and cohesion hypothesis	141
Cohesion as gendered: the bonding of brothers	149
6 Using online debates to map public reaction to the combat exclusion	155
Methods for online content	157
Analysis of three articles	165
“Overdue: Why It’s Time to End the U.S. Military’s Female Combat Ban” by Megan MacKenzie, October 2012	165
“The Truth about Women in Combat,” David Frum, March 2013	177
“End of Combat Ban Means Women Finally Fully Integrated into Military,” Gayle Tzemach Lemmon, January 24, 2013 (the day of the announcement that the combat exclusion would be lifted)	185
Feminism gone too far?	190
Conclusion	194
How do we move beyond the band of brothers?	197
Bibliography	200
Index	219