CONTENTS

	PREFACE	xiii
I.,	THE GENERIC STRUCTURE OF INSTRUMENTAL JUSTIFICATION	I
	· ·	•
	1. Aims and Objectives	I
	2. Aspects of Methodology: Teleology	2
	3. Aspects of Methodology: Generality4. The Critical Evaluation of Methods and	5
	4. The Critical Evaluation of Methods and the Dynamics of Methodological Progress	6
	5. The Darwinian Dimension	8
	6. Prospect	11
	3. 110spool	
II.	THE PRAGMATIC ROOTS OF	
	FACTUAL KNOWLEDGE	12
	1. The Acceptance Model of Knowledge	12
	2. The Problem of Legitimation for Cognitive Methods: The <i>Diallelus</i>	1.0
	3. Cognitive Teleology: The Dual Aspect of	15
	Theory and Practice	18
	4. The Pragmatic Turn	23
	1	- 5
III.	THE PRAGMATIC APPROACH TO	
	COGNITIVE JUSTIFICATION	25
	•	
	1. The Pragmatic Justification of Cognitive	
	Methodology 2. The Problem of Circularity: Plausible	25
	Presumptions as a Way Out	26
	3. The Problem of Attributability: Post Hoc	20
	and Propter Hoc	29
	4. Hume's Problem	32
	5. The Structure of the Pragmatic Justification	J
	of a Cognitive Method	35

IV.	DEFICIENCIES OF THESIS PRAGMATISM	37
	 The Nature of Thesis Pragmatism Pragmatic Utility as a Test of Acceptability The Machinery of Utility Assessment 	37 40 47
	4. The Claims of Pragmatism and the Link	
	Between Utility and Truth	48
	5. The "Illogical" Nature of Utility	50
	6. The Roots of the Difficulty	54
	7. A Variant Form of Thesis Pragmatism:	
*	Peirce's Theory of Truth	57
	Appendix: A Cautionary Tale and its Lessons 1. The Tale	62
	2. The Lessons	62
	2. The Lessons	64
v.	METHODOLOGICAL PRAGMATISM	66
	 The Methodological Approach to Cognition The Methodologically Pragmatic Validation 	66
	of Knowledge	69
	3. The Inherent Generality of the	9
	Methodological Approach	72
	4. The Aspect of Rationality	74
	5. How the Present Methodological Approach	
	Contrasts With That of Peirce	77
	6. Hegelian Ramifications	78
VI.	WHY RELATE SUCCESS AND	
٧1.	TRUTHFULNESS?	81
	TROTH OLNESS:	01
	1. The Basic Problem	81
	2. The Inherent Generality of Methodological	
	Success	81
	3. Generality Blunts Some Objections	82
	4. The Metaphysical Rationale: I	83
	(i) Activism	84
	(ii) Reasonableness	85
	(iii) Interactionism: Feedback, Sensitivity,	0.0
	Vulnerability	86

	CONTENTS	ix
	5. The Metaphysical Rationale: II6. Putting the Pieces Together:	87
	The Metaphysical Deduction 7. The Desirability and Feasibility of a	89
	Metaphysical Deduction	92
VII.	CLOSING THE CIRCLES	99
	 Is the Proposed Validation Viciously Circular? The Figure-Eight Structure of the Rationale 	99
	of Legitimation 3. Pragmatic Efficacy Affords a "Reality	106
	Principle" 4. The Problem of Underdetermination	107
	4. The Problem of Underdetermination 5. Epistemic Stratification	110
	6. Presumptions	114
	7. Presumptions Implicit in Regulative Principles	116
	8. The Need for Presumptions	118
	g. Presumptions and the Avoidance of	110
	Circularity	121
	10. The Precedent of Peirce	123
VIII.	EVOLUTIONARY EPISTEMOLOGY	126
	 Background A Metaphysical Rationalization of the Link Between Darwinian Survival and Pragmatic 	126
	Adequacy	129
	3. Rational Selection	133
	4. The Special Case of Cognitive Methodology5. Thesis Darwinism vs. Method Darwinism	135
IX.	A CRITIQUE OF THESIS DARWINISM	146
	1. Popper's Evolutionary Epistemology	146
	2. Comparison with Methodological Darwinism	156
	3. Removal of an Objection	160
	4. The Role of Trial and Error	162
	5. Transition to Scientific Method	166

X CONTENTS

X.	AUTONOMY, SELF-CORRECTIVENESS,	
	AND THE CREDENTIALS OF SCIENCE	167
	1. Does Science Lead to Truth?	167
	2. The Self-Correction Thesis and the	,
	Autonomy of Science	168
	3. The Truthfulness of Scientific Theses and the	
	Nature of Scientific Progress	171
	4. The Self-Correctiveness of Science and the	
	Foundations of its Claims to Cognitive	
	Adequacy The Congression Inversion and the Relation	175
	5. The Copernican Inversion and the Role of Presumption	178
	6. Cognitive Progress: Its Presumptive and	1/0
	Regulative Aspect	181
	7. Scientific Progress and the Problem of	101
	Continuity	184
XI.	THE LEGITIMACY OF DEFEASIBLE	
	KNOWLEDGE	189
	1. Defeasibility and the Role of Presumption	189
	2. Knowledge Claims and the Issue of Certainty	191
	3. The Tenability of Cognitive Fallibilism	197
	4. Summary	199
XII.	A REBUTTAL OF SCEPTICISM	201
	Preliminaries	201
	I. Burden of Proof	
	1. The Legal Aspect	201
	2. The Disputational Aspect	204
	3. The Expository Aspect	207
	4. Presumptions and the Structure of Burden	,
	of Proof	208
	5. Presumptions and the Conception of a	
	"Prima Facie" Case	215

CONTENT	s xi
6. The Locus of Presumption	217
(1) Law	217
(2) Disputation	218
(3) Debate	218
(4) Theory of Knowledge	218
7. The Epistemological Aspect: Rationality	220
II. Scepticism	
8. Scepticism and Rationality	22 I
9. Scepticism and the Demands of Rationality	225
10. Scepticism and the Methodological Turn	228
11. The Crucial Shift of Presumption at the	
Level of Practice	230
XIII. THE PROBLEM OF ALTERNATIVE	
LOGICS	235
1. Pluralism in Logic	235
2. The Problem of Choice	237
3. The Geometric Analogy: A False Start	239
4. The Grammatical Analogy	242
5. Absolutism and Relativism in Logic	24 5
XIV. THE INSTRUMENTAL JUSTIFICATION	
OF LOGIC	250
	J
1. The Instrumental Turn: Logic as	
Doctrine vs. Logic as Instrument	250
2. The Pragmatic Limits of Pluralism	252
(i) Regulative Feasibility	253
(ii) Functional Suitability	² 54
3. A Closer Look at the Functional/Regulative	
Aspect	256
4. The Systematization of Logic and its	
Instrumental Justification	259
5. The Evolutionary Aspect	265
6. Can One Expect Uniqueness?	267
7. The "Priority" of Classical Logic	269
8. Conclusion	271

XV.	THE DIALECTICAL INTERRELATION OF	
	FORMAL AND FACTUAL KNOWLEDGE	272
	I. The Orientation of the Instrumental	
	Justification of Logic	272
	2. The Structure of the Interrelationship	274
	3. Quine's Pragmatic Validation of Logic	277
XVI.	LIMITS OF THE THEORY	285
	 The Feasibility of "Knowledge for Knowledge's Sake" 	o 0 =
		285
	1	288
	3. Limits of the Pragmatic Approach	290
XVII.	HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES ON	
	PRAGMATIST THOUGHT	295
	NAME INDEX	309
		3-3
	SUBJECT INDEX	313
		, ,