

Table of Contents

<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	6
1 Introduction	1
1.1 3D printing	1
1.2 Additive manufacturing in medicine.....	3
1.3 Molecular and fluorescence imaging.....	4
1.4 Principles of fluorescence	6
1.5 From visible light to near-infrared probes.....	9
1.6 Diffuse optical imaging.....	12
1.7 FRI and FMT systems.....	14
1.8 Nanoparticles and Theranostics for imaging and intervention	17
1.9 Hybrid FMT systems enable more convenient biodistribution studies	19
1.10 Phantoms for calibration and tissue simulation	21
2 Research Objective	23
3 Materials and methods	26
3.1 Liposome manufacturing	26
3.2 Optical imaging acquisitions	27
3.3 Software analysis	27
3.4 3D printing	28
3.5 Statistical analysis	30
3.6 Spectrometry	30
4 Results	33
4.1 Conventional single-well phantom	33
4.1.1 Identifying detection limits and sensitivity of fluorescence reflectance imaging (FRI) with the commercially available single-well phantom	33
4.1.2 Identifying detection limits and sensitivity of FMT/CT imaging with the commercially available single-well phantom	36
4.1.3 Evaluating the fluorescence detection via FMT/CT imaging upon modulating laser grid density	
4.2 Custom 3D-printed phantoms	44
4.2.1 Prototyping phantoms with optical features relevant for preclinical imaging	44
4.3 Evaluating the optical properties of 3D-printed phantoms via UV-VIS-NIR Spectrometry	45
4.4 Improving phantom architecture by optimizing 3D-printing parameters	48

4.4.1	Evaluation of a semi-transparent prototype phantom for FRI	51
4.4.2	3D-printing flaws affecting the detection accuracy with FMT/CT	54
4.4.3	Manufacturing of a second prototype phantom with optimized printing parameters and evaluation via FRI imaging	56
4.4.4	Second prototype phantom outperforms the commercial single-well phantom for FMT/CT purposes	58
4.4.5	A multi-depth analysis reveals the detection loss at various distances from the detector and laser source	62
4.5	Developing a 3D-printed phantom with mouse-mimicking anatomical properties ...	64
5	<i>Discussion</i>	68
5.1	Advantages of 3D-printed multi-well phantoms	68
5.2	Custom-design phantoms for assessing fluorescence detection loss at different depths	69
5.3	The ABS custom phantom versus the commercially available phantom.....	70
5.4	Factors influencing diffuse optical imaging in vivo studies and the importance of advanced in vitro tools for fluorescence quantification	71
5.5	Understanding approximation of light interactions with tissues to advance a biomimicking phantom	76
5.6	Conclusions	79
6	<i>Summary</i>	80
7	<i>Zusammenfassung</i>	81
8	<i>References</i>	82
9	<i>Acknowledgements</i>	93
10	<i>Appendix</i>	94
10.1	Erklärung zur Datenaufbewahrung.....	94
10.2	Erklärung über den Eigenanteil.....	95
10.3	Curriculum vitae.....	96