

**Contents**

Figures .....	XII
Tables .....	XV
Abbreviations.....	XXV
Symbols .....	XXVII
<b>1 Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 The Agency Theory and its Empirical Implementation .....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Overview over the Neoinstitutionalistic Theories .....	7
2.1.1 Transaction Cost Theory .....	9
2.1.2 Property Rights Approach.....	11
2.1.3 Asymmetric Information.....	12
2.2 Agency Theory .....	14
2.2.1 Typology of the Agency Theory .....	14
2.2.1.1 Owner-Manager Conflicts .....	14
2.2.1.2 Creditor-Owner and Other Agency Conflicts .....	15
2.2.2 Agency Problems and Agency Costs .....	17
2.2.2.1 The Concept of Agency Costs .....	17
2.2.2.2 The Categorization of Agency Costs by Jensen/Meckling .....	19
2.2.2.2.1 Monitoring Costs.....	19
2.2.2.2.2 Bonding Costs .....	20
2.2.2.2.3 Residual Loss .....	20
2.2.2.3 Risk Allocation and Utility Maximization as Agency Problems un- der Asymmetric Information .....	21
2.2.2.3.1 Risk Allocation.....	21
2.2.2.3.2 Utility Maximization .....	24
2.2.2.4 The Measurability of Agency Costs .....	25
2.2.3 Mechanisms to Reduce Agency Problems.....	26
2.2.3.1 Monitoring and Control Mechanisms.....	27
2.2.3.1.1 Internal Control Measures.....	27
2.2.3.1.1.1 Shareholder Voting and Block Ownership .....	27
2.2.3.1.1.2 The Supervisory Board .....	29
2.2.3.1.1.3 Internal Monitoring and Control by Non-Bank Creditors...30	30
2.2.3.1.1.4 Bottom-Up Monitoring.....	32
2.2.3.1.2 Markets as External Control Mechanisms .....	32
2.2.3.1.2.1 The Market for Corporate Control.....	33
2.2.3.1.2.2 The Bond Market .....	35
2.2.3.1.2.3 The Market for Managers .....	36

2.2.3.1.3 Banks as Controlling Instances in German Industrial Stock Companies .....	36
2.2.3.1.3.1 Banks as Creditors .....	37
2.2.3.1.3.2 Banks as Shareholders .....	37
2.2.3.1.3.3 Proxy Voting and Conflicts in the Principal Roles of Banks .....	38
2.2.3.2 Incentive and Bonding Mechanisms.....	39
2.2.3.2.1.1 Compensation Agreements and Managerial Ownership .....	40
2.2.3.2.1.2 Contractual Clauses in Debt Finance.....	41
2.2.3.2.1.3 Signaling as a Bonding Mechanism .....	42
2.2.3.3 Comparative Summary of the German and US Systems of Corporate Governance .....	43
2.3 The Dataset .....	44
2.3.1 Raw Data .....	44
2.3.1.1 Databases .....	44
2.3.1.2 Return calculation .....	45
2.3.1.3 Sector Classification .....	45
2.3.2 Agency Indicator Variables for the Empirical Research .....	47
2.3.2.1 Classification of the Agency Indicator Variables .....	47
2.3.2.2 Information Asymmetry Variables .....	50
2.3.2.3 Ownership Control Variables .....	51
2.3.2.4 Creditor Control Variables .....	52
2.3.2.5 Internal Control Variables .....	53
2.3.2.6 External Control Variables .....	53
2.3.2.7 Conglomerate Agency Variables .....	59
2.3.2.7.1 Aggregation of the Indicator Variables .....	59
2.3.2.7.2 Genetic Algorithms .....	61
2.3.2.7.2.1 A Brief Description of Genetic Algorithms .....	61
2.3.2.7.2.2 The Genetic Algorithm Design Used .....	63
2.3.2.7.2.3 Results of the Runs .....	64
2.4 The Statistical Methods Used in the Analysis .....	68
2.4.1 T-Tests for Differences in Group Means .....	68
2.4.2 Logistic Regressions .....	70
<b>3 Financial Behavior in the Industrial Firm.....</b>	<b>72</b>
3.1 Capital Structure Theory on Perfect Markets .....	72
3.2 Capital Structure Theory on Imperfect Markets .....	73
3.2.1 Internal vs. External Funds .....	74
3.2.2 Agency Costs of Debt and Equity .....	76
3.2.3 Investment and Firm Value .....	78
3.2.4 Debt versus Equity Finance .....	81
3.3 Empirical Analysis of the Financial Behavior of German Stock Corporations.....	86

3.3.1 Hypotheses .....	86
3.3.2 Previous Empirical Research .....	92
3.3.2.1 Research on Non-Agency Determinants of Capital Structure .....	92
3.3.2.2 Research on the Effects of Agency Problems between Owners and Managers on Financial Behavior .....	94
3.3.3 Empirical Results .....	96
3.3.3.1 Financial Behavior Variables in the Context of this Study .....	96
3.3.3.2 Comprehensive Analysis .....	97
3.3.3.2.1 T-Tests for Differences in Mean Financial Behavior .....	97
3.3.3.2.2 Logistic Regressions .....	103
3.3.3.3 Sectoral Analysis .....	107
3.3.3.3.1 Results for the Machinery Sector .....	107
3.3.3.3.2 Results for the Utilities Sector .....	115
3.3.3.3.3 Results for the Other Sectors and Overview of all Sectoral Results.....	119
3.4 Summary of the Chapter.....	124

## 4 A Test of the Managerial Theory of Performance.....128

4.1 Agency Theory and Performance .....	128
4.1.1 The Overinvestment Problem and Free Cash Flows .....	128
4.1.2 The Underinvestment Problem, Agency Costs of Debt and Bank Control.....	129
4.1.3 Managerialism and Performance .....	131
4.1.3.1 Growth Model.....	131
4.1.3.2 Utility Model .....	132
4.1.4 Market Forces and Competition.....	133
4.2 Classification of Performance Measures .....	135
4.2.1 Absolute vs. Relative Measures .....	135
4.2.2 Market vs. Book Performance .....	136
4.2.3 Aggregate vs. Disaggregate Measures .....	139
4.3 Empirical Analysis of the Performance of German Stock Corporations .....	142
4.3.1 Performance Measures in the Context of this Study .....	142
4.3.1.1 Return on Capital .....	142
4.3.1.2 Return on Equity.....	142
4.3.1.3 Sharpe Ratio.....	145
4.3.1.4 Tobin's q.....	147
4.3.2 Hypotheses .....	149
4.3.3 Previous Empirical Research .....	153
4.3.4 Empirical Results .....	157
4.3.4.1 Comprehensive Analysis .....	157
4.3.4.1.1 Descriptives of the Variables .....	157
4.3.4.1.2 T-Tests for Differences in Mean Performance .....	158

4.3.4.1.3 Logistic Regressions.....	161
4.3.4.2 Yearly Analysis.....	164
4.3.4.2.1 T-Tests for Differences in Mean Performance .....	166
4.3.4.2.2 Logistic Regressions.....	172
4.3.4.2.3 Temporal Developments .....	177
4.3.4.3 Sectoral Analysis .....	182
4.3.4.3.1 T-Tests for Differences in Mean Performance .....	182
4.3.4.3.2 Logistic Regressions.....	190
4.4 Summary of the Chapter .....	192

## 5 Agency Problems and Efficiency in the Firm.....196

5.1 Efficiency and Production Theory.....	196
5.1.1 Efficiency .....	196
5.1.2 Types of Efficiency .....	196
5.1.2.1 X-Efficiency.....	196
5.1.2.2 Cost and Profit Efficiency .....	199
5.1.2.3 Economies of Scale and Scope .....	199
5.1.2.4 Information and Risk Efficiency .....	199
5.1.3 The Production Process .....	200
5.1.3.1 The Underlying Production Technology .....	200
5.1.3.2 Costs and Profits .....	201
5.1.3.3 Duality between Production and Cost Functions .....	203
5.1.4 Production and Efficiency in the Context of this Study .....	207
5.1.5 Classification of the Methods of Efficiency Measurement .....	210
5.1.5.1 Non-Parametric Methods .....	211
5.1.5.2 Parametric Methods .....	213
5.1.5.2.1 Non-Stochastic Methods .....	213
5.1.5.2.2 Stochastic Methods.....	215
5.2 Empirical Analysis of the Efficiency of German Stock Corporations .....	216
5.2.1 Hypotheses .....	216
5.2.2 Previous Empirical Research .....	218
5.2.2.1 Research on Industrial and Agricultural Firms .....	218
5.2.2.2 Research in Banking Firms .....	223
5.2.3 The Dataset .....	228
5.2.4 Theoretical and Econometric Considerations .....	229
5.2.4.1 Using Translog Functional Form to Estimate the Efficiency Measures .....	229
5.2.4.2 Operationalization of Efficiency Measures .....	233
5.2.4.3 The Stochastic Frontier Approach .....	234
5.2.4.3.1 Inefficiency in the Regression Residual .....	234
5.2.4.3.2 Econometric Description of the Panel Frontier Cost Function .....	236

5.2.4.3.3 Econometric Description of the Cross-Sectional Frontier Cost Function .....	240
5.2.4.3.4 Determination of the Variables in the Cost Function .....	242
5.2.4.4 Tests and Properties of the Cost Function .....	247
5.2.5 Empirical Results .....	253
5.2.5.1 Cross-Sectional Cost Functions .....	253
5.2.5.1.1 Model Description .....	253
5.2.5.1.2 Estimation Results .....	254
5.2.5.1.3 Tests of the Properties of the Functions .....	256
5.2.5.1.4 T-Tests for Differences in Mean Inefficiency .....	260
5.2.5.1.5 Temporal Developments in Inefficiency .....	266
5.2.5.1.6 Logistic Regressions .....	270
5.2.5.2 Panel Model Overall Cost Function .....	274
5.2.5.2.1 Model Description .....	274
5.2.5.2.2 Estimation Results .....	275
5.2.5.2.3 Tests of the Properties of the Function .....	278
5.2.5.2.4 T-Tests for Differences in Mean Inefficiency .....	279
5.2.5.2.5 Logistic Regressions .....	282
5.2.5.3 Panel Model Sectoral Cost Functions .....	284
5.2.5.3.1 Model Description .....	284
5.2.5.3.2 Estimation Results .....	288
5.2.5.3.3 Tests of the Properties of the Functions .....	291
5.2.5.3.4 T-Tests for Differences in Mean Inefficiency .....	292
5.2.5.3.4.1 T-Tests for the Separate Sectoral Estimates .....	294
5.2.5.3.4.2 T-Tests for the Comprehensive Estimate by Sector .....	301
5.2.5.3.5 Logistic Regressions .....	310
5.3 Summary of the Chapter .....	316
<b>6 Summary .....</b>	<b>320</b>
<b>A Appendix .....</b>	<b>330</b>
A.1 The Agency Theory and its Empirical Implementation .....	330
A.2 Financial Behavior in the Industrial Firm .....	335
A.3 A Test of the Managerial Theory of Performance .....	341
A.4 Agency Problems and Efficiency in the Firm .....	356
<b>B References .....</b>	<b>373</b>

## Figures

Figure 2-1: Systemization of the neoinstitutionalistic theories .....	8
Figure 2-2: Financial principal-agent relationships in a company .....	17
Figure 2-3: Development of the firm value by degree of managerial ownership .....	18
Figure 2-4: Graphical representation of the optimal level of monitoring .....	19
Figure 2-5: Exemplary sketch of the utility function of a risk averse person .....	23
Figure 2-6: Riskiness of investment policy of the management depending on the portion of performance-based compensation .....	41
Figure 2-7: Causality and measurability of agency problems .....	47
Figure 2-8: Susceptibility of the agency problem context to short-term managerial actions .....	48
Figure 2-9: Company and employee numbers, 1992' .....	56
Figure 2-10: Descriptive statistics for the sectors studied, values for 1992' .....	57
Figure 2-11: Crossover breeding .....	62
Figure 2-12: Sketch of a logistic function (before rounding).....	70
Figure 3-1: Graphical representation of the optimal leverage theory .....	73
Figure 3-2: External financing under asymmetric information.....	75
Figure 3-3: Graphical representation of the optimal leverage according to the managerial theory.....	78
Figure 3-4: Graphical representation of the financial preferences by agency group according to hypotheses one to three .....	92
Figure 3-5: Average values for the financial activities by control group, using block ownership to distinguish .....	100
Figure 3-6: Average new long and short-term debt-to-asset ratios over all years, by sector .....	108
Figure 3-7: Debt ratios over all years, by sector .....	109
Figure 3-8: Average new equity and free cash flow-to-asset ratios over all years, by sector .....	109
Figure 3-9: Graphical representation of the financial preferences by agency group as confirmed or rejected in the tests of hypotheses one to three .....	127
Figure 4-1: Growth model .....	132
Figure 4-2: Utility model .....	133
Figure 4-3: Profits lost to perks or competition.....	134
Figure 4-4: Development of costs due to agency problems by agency group ..	135
Figure 4-5: Return on Investment Diagram.....	141
Figure 4-6: Development of average returns on equity and capital over time..	165
Figure 4-7: Development of average Sharpe ratios and values for Tobin's q over time.....	166

Figure 4-8: Ranking of the advantageousness of the three control groups regarding return on equity and Tobin's q for the years 1992, 1990 and 1988.....	171
Figure 4-9: Development of return on equity over time, by agency group (using block ownership to distinguish).....	178
Figure 4-10: Development of return on equity over time, by agency group (using <i>agency1</i> to distinguish).....	178
Figure 4-11: Development of return on equity over time, by agency group (using <i>agency5</i> to distinguish).....	179
Figure 4-12: Development of return on capital over time, by agency group (using the ratio of monitored to unmonitored debt to distinguish) .....	180
Figure 4-13: Development of Sharpe ratio over time, by agency group (using block ownership to distinguish).....	180
Figure 4-14: Development of Tobin's q over time, by agency group (using block ownership to distinguish).....	181
Figure 4-15: Average returns on equity and capital over all years, by sector ..	183
Figure 4-16: Average Sharpe ratios and values for Tobin's q over all years, by sector .....	183
Figure 5-1: Determinants of technical efficiency .....	197
Figure 5-2: Determinants of allocative efficiency .....	198
Figure 5-3: Determinants of distributive efficiency .....	198
Figure 5-4: Technically efficient production set border for the one input, one output production function .....	201
Figure 5-5: Cost-efficient production set border .....	202
Figure 5-6: Systemization of cost efficiency measures .....	203
Figure 5-7: Isoquants of homogeneous and homothetic functions .....	204
Figure 5-8: Inner (VI) and outer (VO) input requirement sets.....	205
Figure 5-9: Classification of estimation methods .....	210
Figure 5-10: Technical and allocative efficiency .....	211
Figure 5-11: Development of the mean values of the fixed and financial assets over time .....	246
Figure 5-12: Concavity of the cost function.....	249
Figure 5-13: Graphical demonstration of the determinant of a matrix .....	252
Figure 5-14: Development of <i>xineff</i> over time .....	266
Figure 5-15: Comparison of the development of <i>xineff</i> , $\sigma$ and $\lambda$ over time.....	267
Figure 5-16: Comparison of the development of <i>xineff</i> over time, by managerial and ownership control (using block ownership to distinguish) .....	268
Figure 5-17: Comparison of the development of <i>xineff</i> over time, by managerial and ownership control (using <i>agency1</i> to distinguish) .....	269
Figure 5-18: Comparison of the development of <i>xineff</i> over time, by managerial and ownership control (using <i>agency5</i> to distinguish).....	270
Figure 5-19: Comparison of the development of the yearly values for $\lambda$ and the comprehensive value .....	277

Figure 5-20: Comparison of yearly and comprehensive inefficiency estimates .....	280
Figure 5-21: Average total assets and employees over all years, per sector .....	286
Figure 5-22: Average total assets and costs over all years, per sector (in millions of DM) .....	287
Figure 5-23: Comparison of estimates for $\sigma$ , sectoral and comprehensive regressions .....	290
Figure 5-24: Comparison of the average $xineff$ , by sector, separate regressions to the overall average $xineff$ .....	293
Figure 5-25: Comparison of $xineff$ , by sector, for the separate and comprehensive regressions .....	302
Figure 5-26: Sketch of efficient border in relation to machinery companies (+) with and without companies from other sectors (•) included in regression .....	304
Figure 5-27: Sketch of efficient border in relation to management-controlled (+) and ownership-controlled (x) machinery companies with and without companies from other sectors (•) included in regression .....	305
Figure A-1: Development of return on capital over time, by agency group (using <i>agency1</i> to distinguish) .....	348
Figure A-2: Development of return on capital over time, by agency group (using <i>agency5</i> to distinguish) .....	348
Figure A-3: Development of Sharpe ratio over time, by agency group (using <i>agency1</i> to distinguish) .....	348
Figure A-4: Development of Sharpe ratio over time, by agency group (using <i>agency5</i> to distinguish) .....	349
Figure A-5: Development of Tobin's q over time, by agency group (using <i>agency1</i> to distinguish) .....	349
Figure A-6: Development of Tobin's q over time, by agency group (using <i>agency5</i> to distinguish) .....	349

**Tables**

Table 2-1: Distribution of share ownership in the US and Germany, in percent by owner category.....	28
Table 2-2: Comparison of the effectivity of the main German and US governance systems .....	44
Table 2-3: Number of companies in the sample, by sector and year .....	46
Table 2-4: Ranking of the sectors by degree of competitiveness in the sales market.....	57
Table 2-5: Correlations of the agency measures, 1986-1992 .....	58
Table 2-6: Percentages of agency variables in conglomerate agency variables, using predetermined percentages.....	60
Table 2-7: Percentages of all agency variables in conglomerate agency variables, GeneHunter combinations .....	64
Table 2-8: Percentages of agency variables excluding the monitored debt ratio in conglomerate agency variables, GeneHunter combinations .....	65
Table 2-9: Correlations of the conglomerate agency measures, 1986-1992 .....	65
Table 2-10: Agency variables in the analysis.....	67
Table 3-1: Substitutional effects of risky investments on corporate value .....	80
Table 3-2: Preferences for financial instruments, by management and ownership control .....	87
Table 3-3: Hypothesis 1: Preferences for financial instruments, by management and ownership control.....	88
Table 3-4: Preferences for financial instruments, by management and creditor control .....	88
Table 3-5: Hypothesis 2: Preferences for financial instruments, by management and creditor control .....	89
Table 3-6: Preferences for financial instruments, by ownership and creditor control .....	89
Table 3-7: Hypothesis 3: Preferences for financial instruments, by management and creditor control.....	90
Table 3-8: Previous empirical studies of non-agency determinants of capital structure .....	94
Table 3-9: Previous empirical studies of agency determinants of the capital structure .....	95
Table 3-10: Calculation of the financial instrument variables .....	97
Table 3-11: Descriptives of the agency variables, 1986-1992 .....	97
Table 3-12: Descriptives of the finance measures, 1986-1992 .....	98
Table 3-13: Correlations of the agency variables.....	99
Table 3-14: Correlations between the agency and finance variables .....	99

Table 3-15: T-tests for differences of means of finance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, 1986-1992 .....	101
Table 3-16: T-tests for differences of means of the finance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1986-1992 .....	102
Table 3-17: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic seemingly unrelated regressions on the finance dummy variables for the first hypothesis, 1986-1992 .....	105
Table 3-18: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic seemingly unrelated regressions on the finance dummy variables for the second hypothesis, 1986-1992 .....	106
Table 3-19: Overview over the validity of hypotheses one to three; 1986-1992 .....	107
Table 3-20: T-tests for differences of means of finance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, machinery sector .....	110
Table 3-21: T-tests for differences of means of the finance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, machinery sector .....	111
Table 3-22: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic seemingly unrelated regressions on the finance dummy variables for the first hypothesis, machinery sector .....	112
Table 3-23: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic seemingly unrelated regressions on the finance dummy variables for the second hypothesis, machinery sector .....	113
Table 3-24: Overview over the validity of hypotheses one to three; machinery sector .....	114
Table 3-25: T-tests for differences of means of finance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, utilities sector .....	116
Table 3-26: T-tests for differences of means of the finance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, utilities sector .....	116
Table 3-27: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic seemingly unrelated regressions on the finance dummy variables for the first hypothesis, utilities sector .....	117
Table 3-28: Coefficients and results of the logistic seemingly unrelated regressions on the finance dummy variables for the second hypothesis, utilities sector .....	118
Table 3-29: Overview over the validity of hypotheses one to three; utilities sector .....	119
Table 3-30: Overview over the validity of hypotheses one to three; chemicals sector .....	119
Table 3-31: Overview over the validity of hypotheses one to three; electronics sector .....	120
Table 3-32: Overview over the validity of hypotheses one to three; food & beverages sector .....	121
Table 3-33: Overview over the validity of hypotheses one to three, all sectors .....	122

Table 3-34: Alternative overview over the validity of hypotheses one to four; all sectors' .....	124
Table 3-35: Overview over the validity of hypotheses one to four; all methods .....	125
Table 4-1: Calculation of the net operating result .....	137
Table 4-2: Calculation of the net financial result .....	138
Table 4-3: Calculation of the balance sheet income .....	138
Table 4-4: Correlations of the performance measures, 1986-1992 .....	148
Table 4-5: Previous empirical studies of agency determinants of performance .....	156
Table 4-6: Descriptives of the performance measures, 1986-1992 .....	157
Table 4-7: Descriptives of the agency variables, 1986-1992 .....	158
Table 4-8: T-tests for differences of means of performance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, 1986-1992 .....	159
Table 4-9: T-tests for differences of means of the performance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1986-1992 ..	161
Table 4-10: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the performance dummy variables, 1986-1992 .....	163
Table 4-11: Overview over the validity of hypotheses one to three; comprehensive tests 1986-1992 .....	164
Table 4-12: T-tests for differences of means of performance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, 1992 .....	167
Table 4-13: T-tests for differences of means of performance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, 1990 .....	168
Table 4-14: T-tests for differences of means of performance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, 1988 .....	169
Table 4-15: T-tests for differences of means of the performance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1992 .....	170
Table 4-16: T-tests for differences of means of the performance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1990 .....	170
Table 4-17: T-tests for differences of means of the performance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1988 .....	171
Table 4-18: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the performance dummy variables, 1992 .....	173
Table 4-19: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the performance dummy variables, 1990 .....	174
Table 4-20: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the performance dummy variables, 1988 .....	176
Table 4-21: Overview over the validity of hypotheses one to four; yearly tests 1986-1992 .....	182

Table 4-22: T-tests for differences of means of performance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, machinery sector 1986-1992.....	184
Table 4-23: T-tests for differences of means of performance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, utilities sector 1986-1992.....	185
Table 4-24: T-tests for differences of means of the performance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, machinery sector 1986-1992.....	187
Table 4-25: T-tests for differences of means of the performance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, utilities sector 1986-1992 .....	187
Table 4-26: Average values for return on equity for five sectors, over all years .....	188
Table 4-27: Average values for return on capital for five sectors, over all years .....	189
Table 4-28: Average values for Sharpe ratio for five sectors, over all years ....	189
Table 4-29: Average values for Tobin's q for five sectors, over all years .....	189
Table 4-30: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the performance dummy variables, machinery sector .....	191
Table 4-31: Overview over the validity of hypotheses one to three, five and six; sectoral analyses.....	192
Table 4-32: Overview over the validity of the hypotheses; all methods .....	194
 Table 5-1: Descriptives of costs and profits .....	209
Table 5-2: Correlations between costs and profits .....	209
Table 5-3: Previous empirical studies of the efficiency of industrial and agricultural firms .....	221
Table 5-4: Previous empirical studies of the efficiency of bank firms .....	226
Table 5-5: Sectors in Dataset for the Efficiency Analysis, by Year .....	228
Table 5-6: Central variables in the cost function model .....	245
Table 5-7: Netputs and 1992 summary statistics of the cross sectional cost function data.....	254
Table 5-8: Results of the cross-sectional maximum likelihood regressions for 1992, 1990, and 1988.....	256
Table 5-9: Results of the likelihood ratio tests for the seven yearly regressions.....	257
Table 5-10: Results of the tests of the properties of the yearly estimates of the cost functions .....	258
Table 5-11: T-tests for differences of means of <i>xineff</i> by agency variable, 1992.....	261
Table 5-12: T-tests for differences of means of <i>xineff</i> between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1992 .....	262

Table 5-13: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ by agency variable, 1990.....	263
Table 5-14: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1990 .....	264
Table 5-15: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ by agency variable, 1988.....	265
Table 5-16: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1988 .....	265
Table 5-17: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the $xineff$ dummy variable, by year .....	271
Table 5-18: Overview over the validity of hypotheses one through four, yearly regressions .....	273
Table 5-19: Netputs and summary statistics of the panel cost function data ....	274
Table 5-20: Results of the overall maximum likelihood panel regression .....	276
Table 5-21: Results of the likelihood ratio tests for the comprehensive panel regression .....	278
Table 5-22: Results of the tests of the properties of the comprehensive panel estimate of the cost function .....	278
Table 5-23: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ by agency variable for the comprehensive panel regression .....	281
Table 5-24: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, overall panel regression .....	282
Table 5-25: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the $xineff$ dummy variable, comprehensive regression .....	283
Table 5-26: Overview over the validity of hypotheses one, two and three; comprehensive panel regression.....	284
Table 5-27: Netputs and summary statistics of the panel cost function data for the machinery sector .....	285
Table 5-28: Cost/asset ratios for five sectors .....	287
Table 5-29: Results of the separate maximum likelihood panel regressions for five sectors .....	289
Table 5-30: Results of the likelihood ratio tests for the sectoral regressions ...	291
Table 5-31: Results of the tests of the properties of the sectoral estimates of the cost functions .....	292
Table 5-32: Average values for $xineff$ for five sectors .....	293
Table 5-33: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ by agency variable over the machinery industry (separate regression) .....	295
Table 5-34: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ by agency variable over the chemicals industry (separate regression) .....	296
Table 5-35: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, separate regression for the machinery sector .....	298

Table 5-36: T-tests for differences of means of <i>xineff</i> between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, separate regression for the chemicals sector .....	298
Table 5-37: T-Test for differences in sector means of <i>xineff</i> , separate regressions.....	299
Table 5-38: T-tests for <i>xineff</i> mean differences, by sector pairs for the separate regressions.....	300
Table 5-39: T-Test for differences in sector means of <i>xineff</i> , comprehensive regression .....	302
Table 5-40: T-tests for differences of means of <i>xineff</i> by agency variable over the machinery industry (comprehensive regression) .....	305
Table 5-41: T-tests for differences of means of <i>xineff</i> by agency variable over the chemicals industry (comprehensive regression) .....	307
Table 5-42: T-tests for differences of means of <i>xineff</i> between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, comprehensive regression for the machinery sector .....	308
Table 5-43: T-tests for differences of means of <i>xineff</i> between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, comprehensive regression for the chemicals sector .....	308
Table 5-44: Average values for <i>xineff</i> for five sectors, comprehensive regression .....	309
Table 5-45: T-tests for <i>xineff</i> mean differences, by sector pairs for the comprehensive regression .....	309
Table 5-46: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the <i>xineff</i> dummy variable, by sector (separate regressions) .....	311
Table 5-47: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the <i>xineff</i> dummy variable, comprehensive regressions by sector .....	312
Table 5-48: Overview over the validity of hypotheses one, two, three, five and six for five sectors; separate sectoral regressions .....	314
Table 5-49: Overview over the validity of hypotheses one, two, three, five and six for five sectors; comprehensive panel regression .....	315
Table 5-50: Overview over the validity of the six hypotheses for all models ..	317
Table 6-1: Overview over the validity of the financial behavior hypotheses ..	322
Table 6-2: Overview over the validity of the performance hypotheses .....	325
Table 6-3: Overview over the validity of the efficiency hypotheses .....	327
Table A-1: Companies included in the analyses .....	335
Table A-2: T-tests for differences of means of finance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, chemicals sector .....	335
Table A-3: T-tests for differences of means of finance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, electronics sector .....	336

Table A-4: T-tests for differences of means of finance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, food & beverages sector .....	336
Table A-5: T-tests for differences of means of the finance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, chemicals sector ....	337
Table A-6: T-tests for differences of means of the finance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, electronics sector ..	337
Table A-7: T-tests for differences of means of the finance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, food & beverages sector .....	337
Table A-8: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic seemingly unrelated regressions on the finance dummy variables for the first hypothesis, chemicals sector.....	338
Table A-9: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic seemingly unrelated regressions on the finance dummy variables for the first hypothesis, electronics sector .....	339
Table A-10: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic seemingly unrelated regressions on the finance dummy variables for the first hypothesis, food & beverages sector .....	339
Table A-11: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic seemingly unrelated regressions on the finance dummy variables for the second hypothesis, chemicals sector .....	340
Table A-12: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic seemingly unrelated regressions on the finance dummy variables for the second hypothesis, electronics sector .....	340
Table A-13: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic seemingly unrelated regressions on the finance dummy variables for the second hypothesis, food & beverages sector .....	340
Table A-14: T-tests for differences of means of performance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, 1986 .....	341
Table A-15: T-tests for differences of means of performance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, 1987 .....	341
Table A-16: T-tests for differences of means of performance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, 1989 .....	342
Table A-17: T-tests for differences of means of performance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, 1991 .....	342
Table A-18: T-tests for differences of means of the performance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1986 .....	343
Table A-19: T-tests for differences of means of the performance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1987 .....	343
Table A-20: T-tests for differences of means of the performance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1989 .....	343

Table A-21: T-tests for differences of means of the performance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1991 .....	343
Table A-22: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the performance dummy variables, 1986 .....	344
Table A-23: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the performance dummy variables, 1987 .....	345
Table A-24: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the performance dummy variables, 1989 .....	346
Table A-25: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the performance dummy variables, 1991 .....	347
Table A-26: T-tests for differences of means of performance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, chemicals sector 1986-1992.....	350
Table A-27: T-tests for differences of means of performance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, electronics sector 1986-1992.....	350
Table A-28: T-tests for differences of means of performance measures for groups with and without agency problems, by agency variable, food & beverages sector 1986-1992 .....	351
Table A-29: T-tests for differences of means of the performance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, chemicals sector 1986-1992 .....	351
Table A-30: T-tests for differences of means of the performance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, electronics sector 1986-1992.....	351
Table A-31: T-tests for differences of means of the performance measures between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, food & beverages sector 1986-1992 .....	352
Table A-32: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the performance dummy variables, chemicals sector 1986-1992 .....	353
Table A-33: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the performance dummy variables, electronics sector 1986-1992 .....	354
Table A-34: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the performance dummy variables, food & beverages sector 1986-1992 .....	355
Table A-35: Coefficients and overall results of the logistic regressions on the performance dummy variables, utilities sector 1986-1992 .....	356
Table A-36: Netputs and 1986 summary statistics of the cross sectional cost functions.....	356
Table A-37: Netputs and 1987 summary statistics of the cross sectional cost functions.....	357
Table A-38: Netputs and 1988 summary statistics of the cross sectional cost functions.....	357
Table A-39: Netputs and 1989 summary statistics of the cross sectional cost functions.....	357

Table A-40: Netputs and 1990 summary statistics of the cross sectional cost functions.....	358
Table A-41: Netputs and 1991 summary statistics of the cross sectional cost functions.....	358
Table A-42: Results of the cross-sectional maximum likelihood regressions for 1986 and 1987.....	359
Table A-43: Results of the cross-sectional maximum likelihood regressions for 1989 and 1991.....	360
Table A-44: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ by agency variable, 1986.....	361
Table A-45: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1986 .....	361
Table A-46: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ by agency variable, 1987.....	362
Table A-47: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1987 .....	362
Table A-48: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ by agency variable, 1989.....	363
Table A-49: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1989 .....	363
Table A-50: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ by agency variable, 1991.....	364
Table A-51: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, 1991 .....	364
Table A-52: Netputs and summary statistics of the panel cost function data for the chemicals sector.....	364
Table A-53: Netputs and summary statistics of the panel cost function data for the electronics sector.....	365
Table A-54: Netputs and summary statistics of the panel cost function data for the food sector.....	365
Table A-55: Netputs and summary statistics of the panel cost function data for the utilities sector .....	365
Table A-56: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ by agency variable over the electronics industry (separate regression) .....	366
Table A-57: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, separate regression for the electronics sector .....	367
Table A-58: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ by agency variable over the electronics industry (comprehensive regression) .....	367
Table A-59: T-tests for differences of means of $xineff$ between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, comprehensive regression for the electronics sector .....	368

Table A-60: T-tests for differences of means of <i>xineff</i> by agency variable over the food & beverage industry (separate regression) .....	368
Table A-61: T-tests for differences of means of <i>xineff</i> between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, separate regression for the food & beverages sector .....	369
Table A-62: T-tests for differences of means of <i>xineff</i> by agency variable over the food & beverage industry (comprehensive regression) .....	369
Table A-63: T-tests for differences of means of <i>xineff</i> between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, comprehensive regression for the food & beverages sector .....	370
Table A-64: T-tests for differences of means of <i>xineff</i> by agency variable over the utilities industry (separate regression) .....	370
Table A-65: T-tests for differences of means of <i>xineff</i> between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, separate regression for the utilities sector .....	371
Table A-66: T-tests for differences of means of <i>xineff</i> by agency variable over the utilities industry (comprehensive regression) .....	371
Table A-67: T-tests for differences of means of <i>xineff</i> between owner-controlled and creditor-controlled companies, comprehensive regression for the utilities sector.....	372