

Contents

List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	xi
Acknowledgments	xiii
Introduction	1
1 Rape Work	13
2 Rape Work in Organizational Context	27
3 The Legal Institution: Why Police, Prosecutors, and Judges <i>Collaborate</i> with Rapists and Their Defenders	47
4 Hospitals—The Reluctant Partner	73
5 Rape Crisis Centers as <i>Unobtrusive Mobilizers</i>	95
6 Discursive Politics	119
7 Communities and Rape Work	139
8 Gender and Rape Work	165
9 The Emotions of Rape Work: “You Need Some Really Soft Handling”	185
10 Moving Forward	215

Appendix A	Research Methods and Data	225
Appendix B		231
Appendix C		237
Notes		241
References		257
Index		269

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Selected Characteristics of Rape Processing Organizations	30
Table 2.2	Rape Work Tasks by Organization	44
Table 2.3	<i>Investment Index</i> Reflecting Number of Victims, Hours Spent, and Number of Staff Doing Rape Work	45
Table 4.1	State Laws on Billing of Victims for Rape Exams (forty-seven states and District of Columbia)	76
Table 4.2	Organization with Primary Responsibility for Paying for Rape Exams by State (forty-seven states and District of Columbia), 2003	87
Table 4.3	Rape Examination Sites and Examiners in Florida (sixty-seven counties)	90
Table 5.1	Opportunities and Constraints in Two RCC Mobilizing Strategies	103
Table 5.2	Means (and SD) of Florida RCC Characteristics and Activities by Organizational Host/Parent	113
Table 6.1	Feminist and Mainstream Discourses about Rape	123
Table 6.2	Classification Results (discriminant analysis) Using Scope and Scale of Discursive Politics to Predict the Organization (percentages in parentheses)	134
Table 6.3	Classification Results (discriminant analysis) Using Political Discourse Themes and Targets to Predict Organization (percentages in parentheses)	135
Table 7.1	Population, Rape Index, and Responsiveness for Florida (plus the United States)	147
Table 9.1	Tasks and Emotions for Nine Categories of Rape Workers	188

Table B.1	State Level Information on Number of Victims, Federal Funding, Rape Cross Centers, and Rape Indices (1996, 2001)	232
Table B.2	Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant Funding in Florida, 1994–2003	235
Table C.1	Thematic Messages in Political Discourse in Three Categories	237
Table C.2	Target Groups for Political Work in Five Categories	238
Table C.3	Organizations Interviewed in the Case Study Counties	238
Table C.4	Responsiveness Index (using five criteria) and Rape Change Index (comparing rape rates for early 1980s with late 1990s) in Twenty-two Florida Communities	239
Table C.5	Number of Times an Organization Was the Core Organization (singly or tied) for Each Network and Overall	240

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Rape workers situated inside institutions, community, organization, and job	14
Figure 6.1	Percent that advocate for rape victims by type of organization	127
Figure 6.2	Number of political activities by organization type	128
Figure 6.3	Political discourse themes by organization type	129
Figure 6.4	Political discourse target groups by organization type	129
Figure 7.1	Density of four networks for five counties	145
Figure 7.2	Diagram for victim networks in Farm, Central, and Tropical Counties	149
Figure 7.3	Diagram for training networks in Beach and Central Counties	150
Figure 7.4	Diagram for prevention networks in tropical and Worth Counties	151