

# Contents

<b>Foreword</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Preface</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Overview</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>1 Considering private participation</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Underlying policy problems in water services	2
1.2 Some possible effects of private participation	3
1.3 The challenge of getting private participation to work	5
1.4 Models of private participation	7
1.5 Approach of the <i>Toolkit</i>	11
<b>2 Planning the process of introducing private participation</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1 Four stages	16
2.2 Stakeholder consultation and analysis	21
2.3 Setting up government institutions to manage the process	21
2.4 Analytic and advisory work required	25
<b>3 Involving stakeholders in the design of the arrangement</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1 Identifying stakeholders	34
3.2 Developing a strategy for engaging stakeholders	38
3.3 Interacting with different groups of stakeholders	40
3.4 Identifying winners and losers under different options	46
<b>4 Setting upstream policy</b>	<b>51</b>
4.1 Allocating responsibilities among different levels of government	52
4.2 Determining the appropriate market structure	56
4.3 Establishing rules for competition	69

<b>5</b>	<b>Setting service standards, tariffs, subsidies, and financial arrangements</b>	<b>73</b>
5.1	Setting a service goal	75
5.2	Estimating the cost of service	77
5.3	Determining the mix of tariffs and subsidies	79
5.4	Implications for design of the arrangement	88
5.5	Accessing and structuring finance for investment	89
<b>6</b>	<b>Allocating responsibilities and risks</b>	<b>97</b>
6.1	Analyzing responsibilities and risks	98
6.2	Determining the best allocation of responsibilities and risks	102
6.3	Designing risk allocation rules	108
6.4	Allocating risks and responsibilities under different models of private participation	120
<b>7</b>	<b>Developing institutions to manage the relationship</b>	<b>125</b>
7.1	Tasks and institutions	126
7.2	Monitoring and enforcing performance	131
7.3	Resolving disputes	132
7.4	Adjusting tariffs	137
7.5	Involving customers	143
7.6	Maintaining good working relations	145
7.7	Links between institutions to manage the relationship and models of private participation	145
<b>8</b>	<b>Designing legal instruments for the arrangement</b>	<b>149</b>
8.1	Choosing legal instruments	150
8.2	Working with administrative, concession, and regulatory codes	154
8.3	Ensuring that people comply with their obligations	159
<b>9</b>	<b>Selecting an operator</b>	<b>167</b>
9.1	Choosing a selection method	167
9.2	Setting the selection criteria for competitive bidding	171
9.3	Managing the bidding	179
9.4	Dealing with other issues	194
<b>Appendix A: Examples</b>		<b>199</b>
	Amman (Jordan)	200
	Cartagena (Colombia)	204
	Chaumont (France)	208
	Cochabamba (Bolivia)	213
	Côte d'Ivoire	216
	Gabon	219

<b>Box 5.2</b>	The capital maintenance approach to estimating depreciation	79
<b>Box 5.3</b>	Output-based aid approaches	84
<b>Box 5.4</b>	Designing tariffs and subsidies to help poor people	88
<b>Box 6.1</b>	Example of the need for reset mechanisms	111
<b>Box 6.2</b>	Market testing—A way to determine whether the operator's costs are reasonable?	114
<b>Box 6.3</b>	Event-based reviews in The Gambia and Gabon	117
<b>Box 6.4</b>	Examples of hybrid arrangements	123
<b>Box 7.1</b>	Renegotiating an affermage-lease in Senegal	133
<b>Box 8.1</b>	Renegotiation and risk transfer—the Manila water concessions	161
<b>Box 9.1</b>	Transparency in Manila	168
<b>Box 9.2</b>	A note on terminology	179
<b>Box 9.3</b>	Two-stage prequalification in the European Union	184
<b>Box 9.4</b>	Be careful what you ask for—how information in proposals relates to evaluation criteria and contracts	191
<b>Box 9.5</b>	Best and final offers and competitive negotiation in the United Kingdom	194

---

## Checklists

What to do before beginning the process discussed in this <i>Toolkit</i>	13
Managing the process of introducing private participation	31
Setting service standards, tariffs, subsidies, and financing	94
Allocating risks and responsibilities	105
Issues to consider in designing expert panels for dispute resolution	134
General background law—Additional issues for due diligence	159
Designing legal instruments to embody the arrangement	165
Selecting the operator	198

---

## Figures

<b>Figure 1.1</b>	Perceived fairness allows a lower rate of return to be promised for a given legal protection	6
<b>Figure 1.2</b>	Operator and contracting authority's share of customer revenue under five arrangements	8
<b>Figure 1.3</b>	The allocation of risk to the operator and determination of subsidies	12
<b>Figure 2.1</b>	Stages of the process	16
<b>Figure 3.1</b>	Steps in involving stakeholders in design	36

<b>Figure 3.2</b>	Social impact modeling	48
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	Upstream policy decisions and implications for the transaction process	52
<b>Figure 4.2</b>	Imaginary country showing urban areas and water supply features	58
<b>Figure 4.3</b>	Value chain for a water services business	66
<b>Figure 5.1</b>	Balancing service standards, tariffs, and subsidies	74
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	A possible structure for development-agency finance in an arrangement	93
<b>Figure 6.1</b>	Key areas of responsibility	99
<b>Figure 6.2</b>	Demand risk—A forecast and two possible outcomes	100
<b>Figure 6.3</b>	Demand risk for the operator	101
<b>Figure 6.4</b>	Links between risks	103
<b>Figure 6.5</b>	Initial and final risk allocation for a concession	104
<b>Figure 6.6</b>	Currency risk	107
<b>Figure 6.7</b>	Summary of key decisions in designing resets	118
<b>Figure 7.1</b>	Information, capability, incentives, and legitimacy	129
<b>Figure 7.2</b>	Possible institutions in a management contract	146
<b>Figure 7.3</b>	Possible institutions in a concession contract	147

---

## More information

Things the <i>Toolkit</i> doesn't address	xv
Considering private participation	13
The process of introducing private participation	31
Involving stakeholders	49
Setting upstream policy	72
Setting service standards, tariffs, subsidies, and financial arrangements	95
Allocating risks and responsibilities	123
Choosing and designing institutions to manage the relationship	147
Designing legal instruments to embody the arrangement	166
Selecting the operator	198
Financial modeling	269

---

## Tables

<b>Table 1.1</b>	Five arrangements	9
<b>Table 2.1</b>	Advice that may be needed	26
<b>Table 3.1</b>	Stakeholder identification and composition	37
<b>Table 3.2</b>	Five types of interaction with stakeholders	38

Gdansk (Poland)	223
La Paz and El Alto (Bolivia)	226
London and Thames Valley (United Kingdom)	230
Metro Manila (Philippines)	234
San Pedro Sula (Honduras)	239
Santiago (Chile)	242
Senegal	246
Sofia (Bulgaria)	250
Tangiers (Morocco)	254
Trinidad and Tobago	257
<b>Appendix B: The policy simulation model</b>	<b>263</b>
<b>Glossary</b>	<b>271</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>277</b>
<b>Index</b>	<b>302</b>

---

## Boxes

<b>Box 2.1</b>	Central government support and private participation for building local government capacity in South Africa	22
<b>Box 2.2</b>	A typical contract package	26
<b>Box 3.1</b>	Could more consultation have helped the La Paz–El Alto and Cochabamba concessions?	35
<b>Box 3.2</b>	Household surveys to determine willingness to pay	43
<b>Box 3.3</b>	Advantages of involving stakeholders in Kathmandu, Nepal	43
<b>Box 3.4</b>	Advantages of involving stakeholders in Cartagena, Colombia	44
<b>Box 3.5</b>	Teschie Tankers Water Association in Accra, Ghana	47
<b>Box 4.1</b>	Controversies over water service responsibilities in Brazil	54
<b>Box 4.2</b>	Aggregation of water service in small towns in France	55
<b>Box 4.3</b>	Difficulties splitting a national utility in Ghana	61
<b>Box 4.4</b>	Splitting the metropolitan provider service area in Manila	62
<b>Box 4.5</b>	Single metropolitan provider in Buenos Aires	62
<b>Box 4.6</b>	Franchising and other professional support models for small towns	64
<b>Box 4.7</b>	Separating water and sanitation services in Senegal	67
<b>Box 4.8</b>	Joint water and electricity service provision in Gabon and Morocco	69
<b>Box 4.9</b>	Limits to capital market competition in England and Wales	71
<b>Box 5.1</b>	Service targets for the Buenos Aires concession	76

<b>Table 3.3</b>	Four ways of communicating with stakeholders	39
<b>Table 3.4</b>	Stakeholders and ways to involve them in designing arrangements	41
<b>Table 4.1</b>	Benefits and costs of increasing scale and scope	60
<b>Table 5.1</b>	Types of subsidy	83
<b>Table 6.1</b>	Objectives of tariff resets and corresponding risk allocation	113
<b>Table 6.2</b>	Hypothetical operator—Financial position	114
<b>Table 6.3</b>	Impact of reset objectives on methodology and outcomes	115
<b>Table 7.1</b>	Choice of tasks and institutions	127
<b>Table 7.2</b>	Typical strengths and weaknesses of selected institutions	130
<b>Table 8.1</b>	Legal and implementation design issues	151
<b>Table 8.2</b>	Legal instruments	152
<b>Table 8.3</b>	Appropriate instruments for particular tasks	153
<b>Table 9.1</b>	Example of a weighted-average technical score	173
<b>Table 9.2</b>	Possible scoring of items in technical proposals	173
<b>Table 9.3</b>	Some possible financial criteria by type of arrangement	174
<b>Table 9.4</b>	Comparing ways to combine financial and technical scores	177
<b>Table A.1</b>	Illustrative approach to stakeholder analysis	268