

# Contents

A. Introduction .....	1
B. Environmental Liability Legislation — An Overview of Selected Liability Systems .....	7
I. Characteristics of Different Environmental Liability Regimes ..	7
II. Environmental Liability Regimes in the United States and Japan.....	9
1. Liability for Environmental Damages in the United States ..	10
2. Liability for Environmental Damages in Japan .....	14
III. The Structure of the German Environmental Legislation .....	18
1. Legal Statutes .....	19
2. Statutory Instruments.....	23
3. Guiding Principles .....	25
IV. The Role of the Environmental Liability Act (UmweltHG).....	26
1. Liability for Pollution Damages under the Civil Code .....	26
2. Specific Environmental Law Statutes.....	28
3. Strict Liability under Other Specific Acts .....	29
V. Liability under the Environmental Liability Act (UmweltHG)..	31
1. The Scope of Liability .....	31
2. Proving Causation and Access to Information .....	34
3. Multiple Defendants .....	37
4. Precautionary Cover for the Liability Risk.....	38
5. Historical Damages.....	39
VI. The Provisions of the UmweltHG and the Casuistics of Environmental Pollution .....	40
VII. Potential Developments of Environmental Liability .....	45
1. Liability Provisions of the Environmental Code (UGB) ....	45
2. Environmental Liability in the European Union.....	47
VIII. Concluding Remarks .....	50

<b>C. Fundamental Aspects of Liability — An Assessment in the View of the Coase Theorem .....</b>	<b>52</b>
I. Economic Analysis of Liability Law: A Review of the Literature .....	54
1. Precautionary Incentives.....	54
2. Risk Allocation .....	57
3. The Problem of Causation .....	57
4. Information Requirements .....	58
5. Incentives to Sue, Pre-Trial Bargaining, and Settlement Behaviour .....	60
II. The Coase Theorem and Liability for Environmental Damages .....	61
1. An Illustration of the Coase Theorem for Environmental Risk.....	62
2. Relevance of the Coase Theorem for Environmental Policy .....	64
3. Methodological Assessment of the Coase Theorem.....	66
4. Transaction Cost Limits to Private Bargaining over Externalities .....	67
5. Rationale for Government Intervention.....	73
III. Reassessing the Role of Liability .....	76
<b>D. Theoretical Analysis of Environmental Liability — The Case of Uncertain Causation and Imperfect Information .....</b>	<b>79</b>
I. Enforcement of Legal Claims for Environmental Damages .....	79
II. Effects of the Causality Presumption of the UmweltHG in a Formal Model.....	82
1. The Basic Model.....	82
2. Comparative Static Analysis.....	87
3. Multi-Dimensional Precautionary Measures .....	94
4. Assessing the Precautionary Implications of the UmweltHG Causality Presumption .....	100
III. A General Principal-Agent Model of Environmental Liability .....	102
1. The Basic Framework.....	103
2. The Optimisation Problem of the Policy-Maker.....	107
3. The Allocation of Environmental Risk.....	111

4.	The Benchmark Case of Observable Precautionary Measures.....	114
5.	The Case of Unobservable Precautionary Measures .....	117
IV.	A Simplified Principal-Agent Model of Environmental Liability .....	121
1.	Determination of the Optimal Liability Rule.....	124
2.	Policy Implications of the Simplified Model.....	130
V.	Scope and Limitations of the Theoretical Analysis — Implications for the Liability Debate .....	132
1.	Limitations of the Theoretical Framework .....	132
2.	Summary of the Theoretical Results.....	138
E.	<b>The Economic Consequences of the German Environmental Liability Act — Empirical Evidence for the Chemical Industry ..</b>	141
I.	The Event Study Methodology .....	143
1.	Normal Returns .....	144
2.	Estimation Period and Event Period .....	145
3.	Event Date Uncertainty .....	147
4.	Multivariate Regression Models.....	148
II.	Previous Event Studies on Environmental Policy Issues .....	150
1.	The Role of Environmental Regulation.....	151
2.	The Impact of Environmental Litigation .....	153
3.	Environmental Accidents .....	154
III.	Stock Market Response to the UmweltHG in the German Chemical Industry .....	155
1.	The Data .....	155
2.	The Legislative Process .....	157
3.	The Impact of Environmental Liability .....	159
4.	Evaluation of the Regression Results .....	165
IV.	Concluding Remarks.....	171
F.	<b>Summary and Conclusions.....</b>	173

<b>Appendix .....</b>	178
I.    Glossary of the Legal Terminology .....	178
II.   Provisions of German Legislation Relevant to Environmental Liability .....	179
1. The Civil Code (Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch (BGB)) .....	179
2. Water Resources Act (WHG) .....	180
3. Federal Air Pollution Control Act (BImSchG).....	180
4. Environmental Liability Act (UmweltHG).....	181
III.  EU Directive on Civil Liability for Damage Caused by Waste as Amended.....	185
IV.   Comparative Statics of the Multidimensional Pollution Control Model under the Conditional Causality Presumption ...	190
1. Costs of Precaution.....	191
2. Environmental Risk .....	192
3. Redefinition of the Standard of Proper Operation.....	193
V.    Limitations of the First-Order Approach .....	194
VI.   Derivation of Some Properties of the Optimal Liability Rule....	196
VII.  Monitoring Pollution Control Activities in the General Model	198
VIII. Risk Aversion on the Part of the Policy-Maker .....	200
IX.   Tables .....	201
<b>References .....</b>	203
<b>Index.....</b>	222

## List of Tables

Table 1 —	Criminal Offences against the Environment, 1981–1993 .....	21
Table 2 —	The Structure of Environmental Law in Germany.....	23
Table 3 —	Selected Statutory Instruments .....	24
Table 4 —	The UmweltHG in the Light of the Casuistics of Environmental Pollution .....	44
Table 5 —	The Effects of Incomplete Information on Bargaining Efficiency.....	73
Table 6 —	Pareto-optimal Allocation of Environmental Risk.....	114
Table 7 —	Summary of Previous Event Studies on Environmental Issues.....	152
Table 8 —	Calendar of Events, November 1986–January 1991.....	157
Table 9 —	Estimates of the Impact of the Revision of Environmental Liability on the Chemical Industry's Specific Return Component, October 1981–December 1990.....	162
Table 10 —	Estimates of the Impact of the Revision of Environmental Liability on the Chemical Industry's Market-Dependent Return Component, October 1981–December 1990.....	164
Table 11 —	Tests of the Adequacy for the Market Model, 1981–1990 .....	167
Table A1 —	Selected Federal Environmental Legislation in Germany.....	201
Table A2 —	Firms Included in the Portfolio for the Chemical Industry .....	202

## List of Figures

Figure 1 — Environmental Risk and the Coase Bargaining Solution .....	62
Figure 2 — Different Types of Stochastic Influence Factors.....	80
Figure 3 — Causality Presumption under the UmweltHG.....	84
Figure 4 — Imperfect Observability of Precaution .....	85
Figure 5 — The Role of the Standard of Proper Operation .....	90
Figure 6 — The Role of Differing Degrees of Uncertainty .....	92
Figure 7 — Overall Impact of the Causality Presumption in the Multi-dimensional Precaution Case .....	98
Figure 8 — Stylised Timing of Liability in a Principal-Agent Model .....	104
Figure 9 — The Impact of Precautionary Effort on the Probability Distribution of Environmental Damages .....	108
Figure 10 — Optimal Liability Share in the Linear Principal-Agent Model .....	128
Figure 11 — Estimation Period and Event Period .....	145
Figure 12 — Rates of Return to the Chemical Industry and the Market Index, 1981:10–1990:12 .....	168
Figure 13 — Relationship between Rates of Return to the Chemical Industry and the Market Index, 1981:10–1986:10.....	170
Figure 14 — CUSUM Test Statistic for Parameter Stability, 1981–1990 ...	171