

Contents

INTRODUCTION

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|----|
| 1. The question | 1 |
| 2. The background to the question | 5 |
| 3. An outline of the argument | 19 |

PART 1. *Meritocracy*

- | | |
|---|----|
| 1. Two concepts of meritocracy | 33 |
| 2. Meritocracy as a way of rewarding desert | 35 |
| 3. Meritocracy as hiring the best person for the job | 43 |
| 4. Are employers obliged to hire the <i>best</i> people? | 49 |
| 5. When being good at the job is not just a means to an end | 54 |
| 6. Arguments for meritocracy that appeal to efficiency rather than fairness | 63 |
| 7. Meritocracy in the public sector | 69 |
| 8. Legitimate expectations | 72 |
| 9. Should we be trying to promote merit indirectly? | 77 |
| 10. Conclusions | 78 |

PART 2. *Equality*

- | | |
|--|-----|
| 1. Equality and meritocracy | 83 |
| 2. Some common but unsuccessful arguments for equal treatment | 90 |
| 3. Arguments for equality in the face of difference | 103 |
| 4. Egalitarian arguments that appeal not to some claim we are each separately thought to possess, but to our relations with each other | 112 |
| 5. Equality of what: Work, opportunities, or chances? | 118 |

6. So why do we believe in equality?	132
7. Conclusions	138

PART 3. *Discrimination*

1. Discrimination, meritocracy, and equality	153
2. So what exactly is wrong with discrimination?	160
3. A libertarian objection	167
4. Does every kind of discrimination express contempt?	176
5. Is it unfair to use statistical judgements when dealing with people?	180
6. Giving in to people's prejudices	193
7. Is discrimination wrong in itself, or because of its effects?	197
8. Conclusions	207

CONCLUSIONS	213
-------------	-----

<i>References</i>	219
-------------------	-----

<i>Index</i>	221
--------------	-----