Contents

Tables and figures	
Preface	ix
Introduction	1
Lay and scientific theories	1
Aims of the current research	2
A terminological note	3
Rationale	5
Chapter 1: A review of the literature	7
Introduction	7
Public attitudes towards the mentally ill	9
Professionals' attitudes towards the mentally ill	19
The attitudinal relationship between mental illness and criminality	23
Sources of variation influencing attitudes	29
A critical review of the research on attitudes towards the mentally ill	37
Distinct psychopathological disorders	47
Models of mental illness	52
Propositions and structure of the current research	59
Concluding overview	62

vii

Chapter 2: Methodology	67
Introduction	67
Research design	68
Sampling design	78
Operationalisation	84
Data collection	91
Ethical considerations	97
Concluding overview	99
Chapter 3: Data analysis and presentation of the results	101
Introduction	101
The nature of the sample populations	103
Proposition 1	106
Proposition 2	112
Proposition 3	115
Proposition 4	117
Thematic content analysis	120
Concluding overview	121
Chapter 4: Discussion	123
Introduction	123
Comparing multi-agency and scientific theories towards	
the mentally ill	125
The influence of psychopathological and criminal deviance on	
multi-agency thinking	128
The influence of group surveyed on multi-agency thinking	132
The influence of age, gender and previous experience on	
multi-agency thinking	146
Criminological and clinical implications of the current research	150
Research limitations and future directions	171
Concluding overview	175
Conclusions	179
Bibliography	181
Appendix	201
Index	225

Tables and figures

Table 1.1	The various levels within each independent variable	61
	A 2×2 factorial experimental design	69
Table 2.1	Number of interviews conducted across each	
Table 2.2		83
	sample population A complete 2×2 factorial design matrix	84
Figure 2.1	Content of the schedule for each multi-agency group	87
Table 2.3	Content of the schedule for each matriagency grown	93
Table 2.4	Type of letter administered to each sample population	94
Figure 2.2	Bar chart of response rates for each multi-agency group	
Table 2.5	Information relating to the nature of the interviews for	97
	each sample population	,
Table 2.6	Nature of the research ethicality test performed by	97
	each survey group	, ,
Table A1	Frequency distribution of the six groups surveyed	204
Table A2	Cross-tab of gender by levels of group surveyed	204
Table A3a	Summary of age by levels of group surveyed	205
Table A3b	Cross-tab of age by levels of group surveyed	205
	Cross-tab of knowing a mentally ill person by levels of	
Table A4a	more amored	206
m 11 41	Cross-tab of relationship with the mentally ill by levels of	
Table A4b	Cross-tao of relationship with the service	206
	group surveyed Cross-tab of offered further information by levels of	
Table A5		207
	group surveyed	207
Table A6	Summary of years of service by levels of group surveyed	208
Table A7	Frequency distribution: course being studied	208
Table A8	Frequency distribution: year of completing FT/education	208
Table A9	Frequency distribution: police officers' rank	200
- 6014 1 17		

Table A10	Summary of Person's chi square values and	•••
T-L1- A11	significance levels	209
Table A11	Mean and percentage agreement scores for the nine	
T 11 410	dimensions for the three models across the whole sample	209
Table A12a	Correlation matrix for the various dimensions of the	
	medical (organic) model	210
Table A12b	Correlation matrix for the various dimensions of the	
	moral (cognitive-behavioural) model	211
Table A12c	Correlation matrix for the various dimensions of the	
	psychosocial model	212
Table A13	Coefficient values and questionnaire items associated	
	with each factor	213
Table A14a	Summary of ANOVA main and interaction effect mean	
	scores for factor one - 'sick' role	214
Table A14b	Summary of ANOVA main and interaction effect mean	
	scores for factor two - medical-control	215
Table A14c	Summary of ANOVA main and interaction effect mean	
	scores for factor three - social-treatment	216
Table A15	Summary of ANOVA main and interaction effect results	
	for each of the three factors	217
Table A16	Thematic content analysis for each group surveyed	218
Table A16a	Overview of the substantive content of each theme	219
Figure A1	Bar chart of group surveyed data for factor one -	
1 1841 4 1 1 1	'sick' role	220
Figure A2	Bar chart of group surveyed data for factor two -	
rigure A2	medical-control	221
Figure A3	Bar chart of group surveyed data for factor three -	221
riguie A3	social-treatment	221
Fi A 4	Line graph of the two way interaction effect	221
Figure A4	U 1	222
F A.5	(disorder by offence) for factor two - medical-control	222
Figure A5	Line graph of the two way interaction effect (disorder by	222
	group surveyed) for factor two - medical-control	222
Figure A6	Line graph of the two way interaction effect (disorder by	222
	group surveyed) for factor three - social-treatment	223
Figure A7	Line graph of the two way interaction effect	000
	(offence by group surveyed) for factor one - 'sick' role	223