

CONTENTS

<i>Foreword</i>	xi
<i>Preface</i>	xiii
<i>Note on gender-inclusive language</i>	xvii
1. Introduction	1
1. Aims and Limitations	2
2. Methodology	4
3. What Is a Good Argument?	8
4. Overview	10
2. Background to the Problem of Evil	17
1. Orthodox Theism	17
2. The Problem of Evil	23
2.1 Philosophical Background	23
2.1.1 Goods and Evils	23
2.1.2 Versions of the Problem of Evil	27
2.1.3 Ethical Theory and the Problem of Evil	30
2.2 Historical Background	33
3. Rowe's Evidential Arguments from Evil	47
1. The Early Rowe (1978–86)	47
1.1 The 1979 Argument	49
1.2 The Theological Premise	50
1.3 The Factual Premise	51
1.4 Wykstra on Rowe's Case in Support of the Factual Premise	55
2. The Middle Rowe (1988–95)	57
2.1 The Factual Premise Revisited	57

2.2	The Inference from <i>P</i> to <i>Q</i>	58
2.3	The Structure of the Argument	61
3.	The Late Rowe (1996–present)	62
3.1	Rowe’s New Evidential Argument	62
3.2	Atheism or Agnosticism?	67
3.3	Rowe’s Resurrection of the ‘Middle’ Argument from Evil	68
4.	What No Eye Has Seen: The Epistemic Foundations of Wykstra’s CORNEA Critique	77
1.	The Original CORNEA	78
2.	The Problem with C_1	82
3.	CORNEA and the Principle of Credulity	84
4.	Counterexamples to C_2 – C_4	88
5.	C_4 and Noseeum Inferences	90
5.	CORNEA Applied to Rowe’s Evidential Argument	99
1.	Applying $C_{4.2}$ to Rowe’s Arguments	99
2.	Rowe’s Response to CORNEA	101
3.	CORNEA and the Burden of Reasonability	101
4.	Rowe on Step 2 of Wykstra’s CORNEA Critique	104
5.	Wykstra’s Argument – Rowe’s Version	106
5.1	The RST–EST Distinction	108
5.2	The Inference from (5) to (6) Re-examined	109
5.3	The Prospects for EST	110
5.3.1	Appendix to ‘The Prospects for EST’	115
5.4	Rowe’s Restriction to RST	116
6.	Wykstra’s Argument – The Analogical Version	118
6.1	Analogical Reasoning	119
6.2	Rowe’s Critique of Wykstra’s Parent Analogy	121
6.3	Wykstra’s Revised Parent Analogy	122
6.4	Rowe’s Critique of the New Parent Analogy	124
6.	Further Objections to Rowe’s Noseeum Assumption	135
1.	Howard-Snyder’s Argument from Complex Goods	135
2.	Durston’s Argument from the Complexity of History	138
3.	The Progress Argument	145
4.	Alston’s Analogies	154
7.	In Support of the Inference from Inscrutable to Pointless Evil	163
1.	The Argument from Moral Scepticism	163
2.	Rowe’s Case in Support of RNA	174
3.	Concluding Remarks	181

8. The Problem of Divine Hiddenness	189
1. What is the Problem?	189
2. Analogies in Support of RNA ₄	191
3. The Case Against RNA ₄	194
3.1 The Sceptical Theist Response	194
3.2 The Appeal to Human Freedom	197
3.2.1 Hick's Freedom in Relation to God	197
3.2.2 Swinburne's Moral Freedom	206
4. In Conclusion	216
 9. Meta-Theodicy: Adequacy Conditions for Theodicy	 227
1. The Evils to be Explained	228
2. The Goods to be Invoked	233
3. The Nature of Theodical Explanation	238
4. Summary	242
 10. Theodicy Proper, or Casting Light on the Ways of God: Horrendous Moral Evil	 251
1. Sketch of a Theodicy	251
2. Problem I: Curtailing the Exercise of Free Will	256
3. Problem II: Necessary Evils?	261
3.1 Tierno's 'Adequacy Argument' Against Free Will Theodicies	261
3.2 The Inadequacy of Tierno's 'Adequacy Argument'	263
4. Conclusion	269
 11. Theodicies for Natural Evil	 275
1. Soul-Making and Natural Evil	276
2. Swinburne's Free Will Theodicy	279
3. Reichenbach's Natural Law Theodicy	287
4. Conclusion	295
 12. The Compatibility of Gratuitous Evil with Theism	 303
1. Van Inwagen's God of Chance	304
2. Van Inwagen's No Minimum Thesis	309
3. Taking the Sting Out of the No Minimum Thesis	314
4. Peterson's Rejection of Meticulous Providence	317
4.1 Peterson on the Defensive	318
4.2 Peterson on the Offensive	320
5. Conclusion	324

13. Conclusion: Is Rowe's Evidential Argument Successful?	333
1. The 'G.E. Moore Shift'	334
2. Reconceiving God	337
2.1 Rejecting God's Perfect Goodness	337
2.2 Rejecting Divine Omnipotence	338
2.3 The Worship–Worthiness of God	340
3. Concluding Remarks	341
<i>Bibliography</i>	347
<i>Index</i>	369