Contents

Lis	of figures	vi
Lis	t of tables	i
Lis	t of contributors	х
For	reword	xii
He	rbert M. Allison, Jr	
1	Changing faculty demographics and the need for new policies Robert L. Clark	,
2	Filling the gap: finding and keeping faculty for the university	
	of the future	23
	Molly Corbett Broad	
3	The changing nature of faculty employment	32
	Ronald G. Ehrenberg and Liang Zhang	
4	The growing postdoctorate population at US research	
	universities	53
	Jennifer Ma and Paula E. Stephan	
5	Planning for the generational turnover of the faculty:	
	faculty perceptions and institutional practices	80
	Jerry Berberet, Betsy E. Brown, Carole J. Bland, Kelly R. Risbey	
	and Carroll-Ann Trotman	
6	The future of retiree health benefits in higher education in the	
	United States	101
	Sylvester J. Schieber	
7	Impact of retiree health plans on faculty retirement decisions	135
	John Rust	
8	Faculty recruitment, retention and retirement: a case study	
	of human resources policymaking at Syracuse University	170
	John L. Palmer, Michael A. Flusche and Myra Z. Johnson	
9	The value of phased retirement	185
	Steven G. Allen	
10	Faculty retirement incentives by colleges and universities	209
	John Pencavel	
11	To phase or not to phase: the dynamics of choosing phased	
	retirement in academe	239
	David W. Leslie and Natasha Janson	

vi Contents

12	Phasing out of full-time work at the University of California	252
	Ellen Switkes	
13	The costs and benefits of early retirement plans	259
	John B. Shoven	
14	Recruitment, retention and retirement: institutional research	
	and the need for data	267
	Michael A. Baer, Deborah A. Freund, Charlotte V. Kuh,	
	David E. Shulenburger and Richard R. Spies	
15	Developing new employment and compensation policies	
	in higher education	276
	Robert L. Clark and Madeleine B. d'Ambrosio	
Inde	o _Y	207
2,,,,,,	54	287

Figures

1.1	Aging of the tenure-track faculty of the University of North	
	Carolina	5 5
1.2	Age structure of Canadian faculty	5
4.1	Median length (in months) of total post-doc experience for	
	ten fields by PhD year	68
4.2	Median length (in months) of total post-doc experience by	
	field for those who received a PhD between 1965 and 1990	68
4.3	Median length (in months) of total post-doc experience for	
	four fields by PhD year	69
6.1	Percentage of total personal health care expenditures paid	
	out-of-pocket and percentage of GDP spent on personal	
	health care, 1960 to 2002	108
6.2	Compound annual growth rates by decade in GDP per capita	
	and medical expenditures per capita for the US population,	
	1960 to 2002	109
6.3	Employer contributions to private health benefit plans as a	
	percentage of cash wages paid to employed workers for	
	selected years	111
6.4	Rough characterization of health care utilization under a	
	typical employer-sponsored health plan in the United States	
	in 2003	113
5.5	Age profile of average expenditures on health care in the	
	United States during 2000	114
5.6	Ratio of current employees to number of employees in 1968	
	for selected industries, compared to faculty in higher	
	education, by year	128
5.7	Percentage of faculty in higher education and employees	
	in selected industries aged 50 or over, by year	130
7.1	Results of simulations of the model in the 'base case' (1)	147
7.2	Results of simulations of the model in the 'base case' (2)	149
7.3	Results of simulations of the model in the 'base case' (3)	150
7.4	Results of simulations of the model in the 'base case' (4)	151
7.5	Comparisons of base case and case 0: effects of shifting	
	50 per cent of retiree health insurance premiums to	1.5.4
	retirees (1)	154

viii Figures

7.6	Comparisons of base case and case 0: effects of shifting 50 per cent of retiree health insurance premiums to	
	retirees (2)	155
7.7	Comparisons of base case and case 0: effects of shifting	150
	50 per cent of retiree health insurance premiums to	
- ^	retirees (3)	156
7.8	Comparisons of base case and case 0: effects of shifting	
	50 per cent of retiree health insurance premiums to	
7.0	retirees (4)	157
7.9	Comparisons of base case and case 1: effects of canceling	
7.10	retiree health insurance (1)	158
7.10	Comparisons of base case and case 1: effects of canceling	
7.11	retiree health insurance (2)	159
7.11	Comparisons of base case and case 2: effects of canceling retiree health insurance after age 65 (1)	
7.12	Comparisons of base case and case 2: effects of canceling	161
, , , , _	retiree health insurance after age 65 (2)	
10.1	The relationship between each verip's replacement ratio	162
	and the acceptance rate by age	220
10.2	Tenured faculty employment by age at the University of	228
	California before and after the buyouts	232
13.1	FRIP participant age distribution, FY1994-FY2002	265
13.2	S&P500 Index vs. per cent of faculty retiring,	203
	FY1991-FY2002	266
		200

Tables

ulty
2
m: 4
11
nent
13
ers
15
34
36
37
41
S
42
45
51
S
55
nsity
58
60
ind 63
of 65
70
5-80
72

x Tables

4.8	Duration and OLS regression results	75
5.1	Demographic characterisations of respondents	83
5.2	Professional profile of respondents	84
5.3	Faculty work patterns	85
5.4	Work allocation vs. perception of institutional expectations	85
5.5	Sources of motivation and satisfaction	86
5.6	Retirement plans	88
5.7	Financial profile of respondents	88
5.8	Retirement planning issues	89
5.9	Institutional relationships in retirement	90
6.1	Number of institutions of higher learning in survey sample	70
	providing retiree health benefits to retired faculty by	
	indication of coverage	123
6.2	Cost and cost-sharing of retiree health benefits provided by	123
	private and public colleges and universities in 2004	125
6.3	Utilization of minimum service requirements to qualify for	120
	health benefits provided to retired faculty by institutions of	
	higher learning in 2004	126
7.1	Expected discounted compensation under alternative scenarios	165
9.1	Percentage of colleges and universities with phased retirement	100
	plans, by institutional characteristics	191
9.2	Probit analysis of odds that a campus will have a phased	
	retirement program	194
9.3	Retirement rates for University of North Carolina system	• • •
	faculty, by year	197
9.4	Percentage of eligible faculty entering retirement, University	
	of North Carolina system, by year and age group	199
9.5	Faculty workload on campus, before and after entering phased	
	retirement	200
10.1	Type of pension and private-public status: percentage of all	
	institutions	214
10.2	The percentage of institutions offering various benefits to	
	retired faculty	218
10.3	Maximum likelihood estimates of institutional variables	
	associated with the incidence of phased retirement programs	
	and the incidence of faculty buyouts	220
13.1	Schedule of one-time payments	264