

# CONTENTS

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| I. Symbols and Abbreviations. . . . .  | 1   |
| II. Introduction. . . . .  | 7   |
| III. The Indo-European-Latin Development . . . . .   | 9   |
| Reconstructing the IE derivational system involving  |     |
| - <u>*<i>ino-</i></u> , - <u>*<i>ino-</i></u> , - <u>*<i>eyno-</i></u> / <u>-<i>oyno-</i></u> and - <u>*<i>inā-</i></u> / <u>-<i>inē</i></u> . . . . . | 9   |
| 1. The Lithuanian Evidence . . . . .   | 10  |
| 2. The Latin Correspondences. . . . .  | 22  |
| 3. Traces of the IE paradigm in other  |     |
| IE languages . . . . .   | 29  |
| The role of Latin -( <i>ĭ</i> )NUS and its decline. . . . .  | 52  |
| The twofold origin of Late Latin - <i>INEUS</i> . . . . .  | 61  |
| IV. The Latin-Romance Development . . . . .  | 73  |
| The Romance reflexes of Latin - <i>INEUS</i> . . . . .   | 73  |
| Sardinian - <u><i>indzu-</i></u> / <u>-<i>inġu</i></u> . . . . .   | 88  |
| The problem posed by Sardinian <i>inu</i> . . . . .  | 100 |
| V. Bibliography . . . . .  | 139 |