

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION, PROBLEM FORMULATION, AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS | 1 |
| 1.1. Introduction..... | 1 |
| 1.2. Why study ‘personal responsibility’ in an organizational context? | 2 |
| 1.2.1 Responsibility in modern work life..... | 2 |
| 1.2.2 Responsibility and empowerment | 5 |
| 1.2.3 Responsibility and motivation..... | 6 |
| 1.2.4 Responsibility and control..... | 7 |
| 1.3. Problem formulation | 9 |
| 1.4. Objectives of the research and research questions..... | 12 |
| 1.5. The research positioning and method | 13 |
| 1.6. The research contributions..... | 15 |
| 1.7. The outline of the study | 16 |
| CHAPTER 2: ‘PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY’ IN ORGANIZATIONS – LITERATURE REVIEW AND CONCEPTUALIZATION | 17 |
| 2.1. ‘Personal responsibility’: a literature review | 17 |
| 2.1.1 The debate in public administration..... | 17 |
| 2.1.2 The Triangle Model of Responsibility | 21 |
| 2.1.3 A glimpse of some business administration studies..... | 22 |
| 2.1.4 The quest for responsibility in complex organizations..... | 24 |
| 2.2. Bridging ‘subjective responsibility’ and ‘objective responsibility’ | 27 |
| 2.3. ‘Personal responsibility’ in this study..... | 30 |
| 2.3.1 ‘Personal responsibility’ – a multidimensional concept..... | 30 |
| 2.3.2 ‘Employee Responsibility’ – the three concepts | 32 |
| 2.4. Summary..... | 36 |
| CHAPTER 3: HYPOTHESES CONSTRUCTION – DETERMINANTS AND OUTCOMES | 39 |
| 3.1. Determinants..... | 40 |
| 3.1.1 Role ambiguity | 40 |
| 3.1.2 Job meaningfulness | 43 |
| 3.1.3 Job control..... | 45 |
| 3.2. Outcomes | 46 |
| 3.2.1 Job performance | 46 |
| 3.2.2 Job satisfaction | 48 |
| 3.3. Job autonomy as a moderating factor | 49 |
| 3.3.1 Job tension | 50 |
| 3.3.2 Work-family interference..... | 52 |

| | | |
|---|--|------------|
| 3.4. | Control variables (Covariates) | 54 |
| 3.5. | The hypotheses | 55 |
| 3.6. | Other models..... | 58 |
| 3.7. | Summary..... | 60 |
| CHAPTER 4: RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHOD..... | | 63 |
| 4.1. | Data collection and analysis techniques..... | 63 |
| 4.1.1 | Data collection approach..... | 63 |
| 4.1.2 | Data collection procedure | 64 |
| 4.1.3 | Sample size and response rate..... | 65 |
| 4.1.4 | Statistical methods | 66 |
| 4.2. | Measures | 70 |
| 4.2.1 | Responsibility foci | 70 |
| 4.2.2 | Other variables | 71 |
| 4.3. | Validity and Reliability..... | 73 |
| 4.3.1 | Validity | 73 |
| 4.3.2 | Reliability..... | 75 |
| CHAPTER 5: RESULTS | | 77 |
| 5.1. | 'Employee Responsibility' scalability | 77 |
| 5.2. | Validity and reliability of the three dimensions..... | 83 |
| 5.3. | 'Employee Responsibility', its dimensions and the other constructs..... | 84 |
| 5.4. | Hypotheses testing | 91 |
| 5.4.1 | Determinants of 'personal initiative'..... | 92 |
| 5.4.2 | Determinants of 'felt accountability' | 95 |
| 5.4.3 | Determinants of 'felt obligation' | 96 |
| 5.4.4 | Determinants of 'Employee Responsibility' | 97 |
| 5.4.5 | Self-reported performance as an outcome..... | 99 |
| 5.4.6 | Job satisfaction as an outcome | 102 |
| 5.4.7 | Accountability and tension – job autonomy as a moderator | 103 |
| 5.4.8 | Obligation and tension – job autonomy as a moderator | 106 |
| 5.4.9 | Accountability and work-family interference – job autonomy as a moderator | 106 |
| 5.4.10 | Obligation and work-family interference – job autonomy as a moderator | 109 |
| 5.4.11 | 'Employee Responsibility' as a determinant..... | 112 |
| 5.5. | Summary of findings | 116 |
| 5.6. | Limitations..... | 119 |
| CHAPTER 6: ANALYSIS OF RESULTS..... | | 121 |
| 6.1. | 'Employee Responsibility': Theoretical conceptualization supported empirically | 121 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 6.2. Job control and role ambiguity as determinants..... | 125 |
| 6.2.1 Job control..... | 125 |
| 6.2.2 Role ambiguity..... | 129 |
| 6.3. Performance and job satisfaction as outcomes..... | 131 |
| 6.3.1 Self-reported performance | 133 |
| 6.3.2 Job satisfaction..... | 137 |
| 6.4. The differences between the managers and the non-managers | 141 |
| CHAPTER 7: RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS – CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS, AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH..... | 147 |
| 7.1. Conclusions..... | 147 |
| 7.2. Implications | 150 |
| 7.3. Suggestions for future research..... | 152 |
| APPENDICES..... | 155 |
| APPENDIX 1: CONCEPTUALLY SIMILAR CONCEPTS TO ‘PERSONAL INITIATIVE’ | 157 |
| APPENDIX 2: THE QUESTIONS..... | 159 |
| APPENDIX 3: THE RELIABILITY OF THE THREE DIMENSIONS OF ‘EMPLOYEE RESPONSIBILITY’ | 163 |
| APPENDIX 4: MEANS, STANDARD DEVIATIONS, AND CORRELATIONS (MANAGERS AND NON-MANAGERS) | 167 |
| LIST OF REFERENCES | 171 |

TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1: Means, Standard Deviations, and Inter-correlations ('Employee Responsibility' items)..... | 78 |
| Table 2: Homogeneity of 'Employee Responsibility' items in Mokken scale..... | 81 |
| Table 3: 'Employee Responsibility' as a single factor in exploratory factor analysis | 82 |
| Table 4: The multidimensionality of 'Employee Responsibility' | 83 |
| Table 5: Number of items, Means, Standard Deviations, Alphas, and Inter-correlations ('Employee Responsibility' and its dimensions) | 84 |
| Table 6: Means, Standard Deviations, Alphas, and Inter-correlations between the measured concepts..... | 86 |
| Table 7: Discriminant validity of 'Employee Responsibility' dimensions | 89 |
| Table 8: Correlations of the other concepts with 'Employee Responsibility' and its dimensions (managers and non-managers) | 90 |
| Table 9: Hierarchical regression results of 'personal initiative' determinants | 93 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 10: Hierarchical regression results of ‘felt accountability’ determinants..... | 96 |
| Table 11: Hierarchical regression results of ‘felt obligation’ determinants | 97 |
| Table 12: Hierarchical regression results of ‘Employee Responsibility’ determinants | 98 |
| Table 13: Hierarchical regressions results of self-reported performance determinants | 101 |
| Table 14: Hierarchical regression results of job satisfaction determinants | 103 |
| Table 15: Hierarchical moderated regression results of the moderating impact of job autonomy on the relationship between ‘felt accountability’ and job tension | 105 |
| Table 16: Hierarchical moderated regression results of the moderating impact of job autonomy on the relationship between ‘felt accountability’ and work-family interference | 107 |
| Table 17: Hierarchical moderating regression results of the moderating impact of job autonomy on the relationship between ‘felt obligation’ and work-family interference..... | 110 |
| Table 18: Hierarchical regression results of ‘Employee Responsibility’ influencing self-reported performance..... | 113 |
| Table 19: Hierarchical moderating regression results of job autonomy moderating the relationship between ‘Employee Responsibility’ and work-family interference | 115 |
| Table 20: Hierarchical regression results of ‘Employee Responsibility’ influencing job satisfaction..... | 116 |
| Table 21: Results of hypotheses testing | 117 |
| Table 22: Means, Standard Deviations, and Inter-correlations (managers) | 167 |
| Table 23: Means, Standard Deviations, and Inter-correlations (non-managers)..... | 169 |

FIGURES

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 1: ‘Employee Responsibility’ Foci | 37 |
| Figure 2: The Proposed ‘Employee Responsibility’ Facets, Determinants, and Outcomes | 57 |
| Figure 3: Role ambiguity and ‘personal initiative’ | 94 |
| Figure 4: Role ambiguity and ‘Employee Responsibility’ | 99 |
| Figure 5: ‘Felt accountability’ and self-reported performance | 102 |
| Figure 6: Interactive effect of gender and ‘felt accountability’ on work-family interference among the non-managers | 108 |
| Figure 7: Interactive effect of job autonomy and ‘felt obligation’ on work-family interference on the managers..... | 111 |
| Figure 8: Interactive effects of ‘Employee Responsibility’ and job autonomy on work-family interference among managers | 114 |
| Figure 9: Determinants of ‘Employee Responsibility’ dimensions among managers and non-managers..... | 126 |
| Figure 10: Outcomes of ‘Employee Responsibility’ dimensions among mangers and non-managers | 132 |
| Figure 11: Interactive effects of ‘felt accountability’ and job autonomy on job satisfaction among the non-managers..... | 140 |