

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

	Page
PREFACE TO THIRD EDITION	v
TABLE OF CASES	xxvii
TABLE OF MATERIALS	xxix
Chapter One. Jurisprudential Origins of Social Science in Law	1
Section	
I. Early Developments	2
II. The Realist Movement	17
Chapter Two. A Primer of Social Science Methods	31
I. Methods of Asking Questions	31
A. The Empirical Approach	32
B. Causal Explanation	34
C. Measurement	37
II. Methods of Gathering Information	44
A. Threats to Validity	44
B. Research Design	51
III. Methods of Interpreting Information	66
A. The Description of Results	66
B. The Inferences Drawn From Results	74
Chapter Three. Social Science Used to Determine Facts	83
I. Trademarks	83
A. Social Science Evidence and the Hearsay Rule	85
B. Social Science Evidence of Consumer Confusion	91
II. Obscenity	109
A. The Admissibility of Social Science Evidence of Obscenity	110
B. Social Science Evidence of "Community Standards"	115
III. Damages	136
Chapter Four. Social Science Used to Make Law	143
I. Legislative Facts	143
II. Constitutional Law	148
A. The Fourteenth Amendment: School Segregation	148
B. The First Amendment: Obscenity	185
C. The Sixth Amendment: Juries	204
D. The Eighth Amendment: The Death Penalty	246
III. Common Law	271
A. Rules of Evidence	271
B. Tort Liability	295
IV. Social Authority	301

	Page
Chapter Five. Social Science Used to Provide Context	313
Section	
I. Social Science as Context	313
II. Contexts for Determining Future Facts	315
A. Bail	315
B. Parole	322
C. Capital Punishment	328
D. Tort Liability	340
III. Contexts for Determining Present Facts	355
A. Illegal Weapons	355
B. Illegal Automobiles	361
C. Illegal Aliens	365
D. Illegal Drugs	369
IV. Contexts for Determining Past Facts	381
A. Defendants	382
B. Victims	455
C. Witnesses	472
V. Social Frameworks	498
Chapter Six. Social Science Used to Plan the Litigation of a Case	505
I. Choosing a Venue	505
II. Choosing a Jury	518
III. Choosing Instructions	534
Chapter Seven. Social Science Used to Plan a System of Litigation	543
I. Building Theory	543
II. Evaluating Innovations	561
A. Civil Courts	562
B. Criminal Courts	571
C. Alternatives to Courts	579
 APPENDICES	
App.	
A. Federal Rules of Evidence	595
B. Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals	601
C. For Lawyers: How To Find Social Science	607
D. For Social Scientists: How to Find the Law	611
Index	617

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PREFACE TO THIRD EDITION	v
TABLE OF CASES	xxvii
TABLE OF MATERIALS	xxix
Chapter One. Jurisprudential Origins of Social Science in Law	1
Section	
I. Early Developments	2
The Common Law	2
Brief for the Defendant in Error Muller v. Oregon	5
Muller v. Oregon	9
The Scope and Purpose of Sociological Jurisprudence	12
From Sociological Jurisprudence to Realism: Jurisprudence and Social Change in Early Twentieth Century America	15
II. The Realist Movement	17
Some Realism About Realism—Responding to Dean Pound	18
Mr. Justice Holmes and Non-Euclidean Legal Thinking	22
The Crisis of Democratic Theory	25
Chapter Two. A Primer of Social Science Methods	31
I. Methods of Asking Questions	31
A. The Empirical Approach	32
Science and Behavior: An Introduction to Methods of Research	32
B. Causal Explanation	34
Science and Behavior: An Introduction to Methods of Research	34
C. Measurement	37
1. Variables	37
Basic Research Methods in Social Science	37
2. Operational Definition	39
The Practice of Social Research	39
3. Reliability and Validity	41
Basic Research Methods in Social Science	42
II. Methods of Gathering Information	44
A. Threats to Validity	44
1. Internal Validity	45
Quasi-Experimentation: Design and Analysis Issues for Field Settings	45
2. External Validity	48
Quasi-Experimentation: Design and Analysis Issues for Field Settings	49

Section		Page
II. Methods of Gathering Information—Continued		
B.	Research Design	51
1.	Case Studies	51
	Basic Research Methods in Social Science	51
2.	Correlational Studies	52
	Science and Behavior: An Introduction to Methods of Research	53
3.	True Experiments	57
	Methods for Empirical Evaluation of Innovations in the Justice System	58
4.	Quasi-Experiments	61
	Methods for Empirical Evaluation of Innovations in the Justice System	61
III.	Methods of Interpreting Information	61
A.	The Description of Results	66
1.	Measures of Central Tendency	66
	Reading Statistics and Research	66
2.	Measures of Variability	68
	Reading Statistics and Research	68
3.	Measures of Association	72
	Reading Statistics and Research	72
B.	The Inferences Drawn From Results	74
	Research Methods in Social Relations	74
	Research Methods in Social Relations	77
Chapter Three. Social Science Used to Determine Facts ..		83
I.	Trademarks	83
A.	Social Science Evidence and the Hearsay Rule	85
	Elgin National Watch Co. v. Elgin Clock Co.	85
	Life Savers Corp. v. Curtiss Candy Co.	87
	Triangle Publications v. Rohrlich	87
	Oneida v. National Silver	88
	Zippo Manufacturing Co. v. Rogers Imports, Inc.	89
B.	Social Science Evidence of Consumer Confusion	91
	Zippo Manufacturing Co. v. Rogers Imports, Inc.	91
	Processed Plastic Company v. Warner Communications, Inc.	92
	Amstar Corporation v. Domino's Pizza	93
	Exxon Corp. v. Texas Motor Exchange of Houston	95
	The Squirt Company v. The Seven-Up Company	97
	The Kroger Co. v. Johnson & Johnson	100
	La Victoria Foods v. Curtice-Burns	101
	Trademarks and Unfair Competition	104
	Manual for Complex Litigation	104
	A New Look at the Use of Social Science Evidence in Trademark Litigation	104

Section		Page
I.	Trademarks—Continued	
	Social Facts: Scientific Methodology as Legal Precedent	105
	Empirical Questions Without Empirical Answers	108
II.	Obscenity	109
	A. The Admissibility of Social Science Evidence of Obscenity	110
	People v. Muller	110
	Jacobellis v. Ohio	111
	Jacobellis v. Ohio	112
	Smith v. California	112
	Miller v. California	113
	Paris Adult Theatre I v. Slaton	114
	Kaplan v. California	114
	Hamling v. United States	115
	B. Social Science Evidence of “Community Standards”	115
	People v. Nelson	116
	Carlock v. State	119
	Saliba v. State	120
	Commonwealth v. Trainor	124
	United States v. Pryba	127
	The Law of Obscenity	129
	Estimating Community Standards: The Use of Social Sci- ence Evidence in an Obscenity Prosecution	129
	St. John v. State of North Carolina Parole Commission	134
III.	Damages	136
	Cimino v. Raymark Industries, Inc.	136
	Justice Improved: The Unrecognized Benefits of Aggregation and Sampling in the Trial of Mass Torts	141
Chapter Four. Social Science Used to Make Law		143
I.	Legislative Facts	143
	An Approach to Problems of Evidence in the Administra- tive Process	143
	Facts in Lawmaking	145
	Judicial, Legislative, and Administrative Lawmaking: A Pro- posed Research Service for the Supreme Court	146
II.	Constitutional Law	148
	A. The Fourteenth Amendment: School Segregation	148
	1. Segregation by Race	148
	(a) “Separate But Equal”	148
	Plessy v. Ferguson	149
	The Psychological Effects of Enforced Segrega- tion: A Survey of Social Science Opinion	150
	Racial Identification and Preference in Negro Chil- dren	152
	Simple Justice	154
	The Effects of Segregation and the Consequences of Desegregation: A Social Science Statement	156

Section		Page
II. Constitutional Law—Continued		
Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka		156
Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka		159
Jurisprudence		160
Stell v. Savannah-Chatham County Board of Education		161
Stell v. Savannah-Chatham County Board of Education		164
Social Science and School Desegregation: Did We Mislead the Supreme Court?		165
School Desegregation: The Social Science Role ..		165
(b) "White Flight"		166
Morgan v. Kerrigan		166
Morgan v. Kerrigan		168
2. Segregation by Gender		172
Mississippi University for Women v. Hogan		173
Association and Assimilation		176
United States v. Commonwealth of Virginia		179
B. The First Amendment: Obscenity		185
United States v. Roth		185
Report of the Commission on Obscenity and Pornography		190
Paris Adult Theatre I v. Slaton		192
Facts in Lawmaking		194
New York v. Ferber		195
Report of the Attorney General's Commission on Pornography		198
The Attorney General's Commission on Pornography: The Gaps Between "Findings" and Facts		201
C. The Sixth Amendment: Juries		204
1. Jury Size		204
Williams v. Florida		205
Colgrove v. Battin		207
Ignorance of Science Is no Excuse		209
Ballew v. Georgia		213
People v. District Court		221
Opinion of the Justices		224
2. Death-Qualified Juries		226
Witherspoon v. Illinois		227
Grigsby v. Mabry		229
Lockhart v. McCree		236
Overruled: Jury Neutrality in Capital Cases		244
D. The Eighth Amendment: The Death Penalty		246
1. Deterrence		247
Furman v. Georgia		247
GREGG v. Georgia		249

Section		Page
II. Constitutional Law—Continued		
Deterrence and Incapacitation: Estimating the Effects of Criminal Sanctions on Crime Rates		253
2.	Discrimination	255
	<i>McCleskey v. Kemp</i>	255
	Law and Statistics in Conflict: Reflections on <i>McCleskey v. Kemp</i>	263
III.	Common Law	271
A.	Rules of Evidence	271
	1. The Exclusionary Rule	271
	<i>Wolf v. Colorado</i>	272
	<i>Mapp v. Ohio</i>	274
	<i>United States v. Leon</i>	276
	<i>State v. Novembrino</i>	284
	2. Impeachment of a Witness	288
	<i>People v. Allen</i>	288
B.	Tort Liability	295
	<i>Zinck v. Whelan</i>	295
	<i>Hill v. Yaskin</i>	297
	<i>McClenahan v. Cooley</i>	298
IV.	Social Authority	301
	Social Authority: Obtaining, Evaluating, and Establishing Social Science in Law	301
	The Search for the Truth in Constitutional Criminal Pro- cedure	306
	Empirical Questions Without Empirical Answers	307
Chapter Five. Social Science Used to Provide Context		313
I.	Social Science as Context	313
	Social Frameworks: A New Use of Social Science in Law	314
II.	Contexts for Determining Future Facts	315
A.	Bail	315
	Report of the Committee on the Judiciary United States Senate	316
	Bail Reform Act of 1984	317
	<i>United States v. Salerno</i>	318
B.	Parole	322
	Parole Decision Guidelines	322
	Crime Severity Levels	323
	Parole Success Factors	324
	Parole Decision Guidelines	325
C.	Capital Punishment	328
	<i>Barefoot v. Estelle</i>	328
	<i>State v. Davis</i>	332
	Law and the Crystal Ball: Predicting Behavior With Sta- tistical Inference and Individualized Judgment	336

Section		Page
II.	Contexts for Determining Future Facts—Continued	
D.	Tort Liability	340
	<i>Tarasoff v. Regents of the University of California</i>	340
	<i>Thompson v. County of Alameda</i>	345
	<i>Brady v. Hopper</i>	347
	<i>Civil Code of California</i>	352
III.	Contexts for Determining Present Facts	355
A.	Illegal weapons	355
	<i>United States v. Lopez</i>	355
B.	Illegal Automobiles	361
	<i>State v. Ochoa</i>	361
	<i>State v. Graciano</i>	363
C.	Illegal aliens	365
	<i>United States v. Martinez-Fuerte</i>	365
D.	Illegal Drugs	369
	<i>United States v. Mendenhall</i>	369
	<i>United States v. Sokolow</i>	377
IV.	Contexts for Determining Past Facts	381
A.	Defendants	382
	1. Culture	382
	<i>People v. Poddar</i>	382
	<i>People v. Aphaylath</i>	385
	<i>Dang Vang v. Vang Xiong X. Toyed</i>	386
	<i>People v. Wu</i>	387
	2. Subculture	391
	<i>United States v. Alexander and Murdock</i>	391
	<i>The Twilight of Welfare Criminology</i>	394
	<i>The Morality of the Criminal Law: A Rejoinder to Professor Morse</i>	395
	<i>Report to the Nation on Crime and Justice</i>	395
	3. Personality	398
	<i>New Jersey v. Cavallo</i>	398
	<i>State v. Hickman</i>	404
	4. Life Events	406
	(a) Assault	406
	<i>Minnesota v. Loebach</i>	406
	<i>Ibn-Tamas v. United States</i>	410
	<i>Ibn-Tamas v. United States</i>	416
	<i>Jahnke v. Wyoming</i>	421
	<i>State v. Janes</i>	427
	(b) Combat	432
	<i>State v. Felde</i>	432
	<i>Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders</i>	436

Section		Page
IV. Contexts for Determining Past Facts—Continued		
	(c) Kidnapping	438
	United States v. Hearst	438
	United States v. Hearst	440
	Interpersonal Dynamics in a Simulated Prison ..	441
	(d) Television	446
	Florida v. Zamora	446
	Zamora v. State	452
	Zamora v. Columbia Broadcasting System	453
	Zamora v. Dugger	454
B.	Victims	455
	State v. Marks	455
	State v. Saldana	457
	People v. Taylor	460
	Checking the Allure of Increased Conviction Rates: The Admissibility of Expert Testimony on Rape Trauma Syn- drome in Criminal Proceedings	467
	Henson v. State	468
C.	Witnesses	472
	People v. Garcia	472
	Arizona v. Chapple	477
	State v. Long	482
	State v. Via	491
	Forensic Psychology	492
V.	Social Frameworks	498
	Social Frameworks: A New Use of Social Science in Law ..	498
	State v. Alger	500
	Empirical Questions Without Empirical Answers	504
Chapter Six. Social Science Used to Plan the Litigation of a Case		505
I.	Choosing a Venue	505
	Pretrial Publicity, Change of Venue, Public Opinion Polls—A Theory of Procedural Justice	505
	Pennsylvania v. Cohen	507
	The Psychology of the American Jury	511
	ABA Standards for Criminal Justice	512
	Firestone v. Crown Center Redevelopment Corp.	513
II.	Choosing a Jury	518
	Attorney for the Defense	519
	Modern Trials	520
	The Effect of Peremptory Challenges on Jury and Verdict: An Experiment in a Federal District Court	520
	The Use of Social Science in Trials With Political and Racial Overtones: The Trial of Joan Little	523
	The Limits of Scientific Jury Selection: Ethical and Empirical ..	529

Section	Page
II. Choosing a Jury—Continued	
Social Science Involvement In Voir Dire: Preliminary Data on the Effectiveness of "Scientific Jury Selection"	531
III. Choosing Instructions	534
Pattern Jury Instructions: The Application of Social Science Research	535
The Law and Psychology of Jury Instructions	537
<i>Mitchell v. Gonzales</i>	538
Chapter Seven. Social Science Used to Plan a System of Litigation	543
I. Building Theory	543
A Theory of Procedure	543
The Relation Between Procedural and Distributive Justice ..	549
Reactions to Procedural Models for Adjudicative Conflict Reso- lution	554
Procedural Justice in Felony Cases	555
The Social Psychology of Procedural Justice	558
II. Evaluating Innovations	561
Procedural Reform: Its Limitations and Its Future	562
A. Civil Courts	562
1. Case Flow	562
Controlling The Case Flow—Kentucky Style	562
Split Trials and Time Saving: A Statistical Analysis ..	567
B. Criminal Courts	571
1. Notetaking	571
Yeager v. Greene	571
2. Videotape	572
State v. Jarzbek	573
Videotaping Children's Testimony: An Empirical View	577
C. Alternatives to Courts	579
The Summary Jury Trial and Other Methods of Alter- native Dispute Resolution: Some Cautionary Obser- vations	579
1. Custody Mediation	580
Child Custody Mediation and Litigation: An Experi- mental Evaluation of the Experience of Parents ..	580
Child Custody Mediation and Litigation: Further Evi- dence of the Differing Views of Mothers and Fathers	582
Child Custody Mediation and Litigation: Parents' Sat- isfaction and Functioning a Year After Settlement	585
2. Arbitration	585
Simple Justice: How Litigants Fare in the Pittsburgh Court Arbitration Program	585

Section	Page
II. Evaluating Innovations—Continued	
Alternative Adjudication: An Evaluation of the New Jersey Automobile Arbitration Program	587
APPENDICES	
App.	
A. Federal Rules of Evidence	595
B. Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals	601
C. For Lawyers: How To Find Social Science	607
D. For Social Scientists: How to Find the Law	611
Index	617

*