Contents

	Tables and Figures	page ix	
	Foreword by Friedhelm Neidhardt	xi	
	Preface	xv	
	Glossary	xix	
Pa	rt I: Introduction		
1	Two Related Stories	3	
2	Historical Context	24	
3	Methods	45	
Pa	rt II: Major Outcomes		
4	The Discursive Opportunity Structure	61	
5	Standing	86	
6	Framing	105	
Pa	rt III: Representing Different Constituencies		
7	Representing Women's Claims	131	
8	Representing Religious Claims	154	
9	Representing the Tradition of the Left	179	
Pa	rt IV: The Quality of Abortion Discourse		
10	Normative Criteria for the Public Sphere	205	
11	Measuring the Quality of Discourse	232	

Contents

12	Metatalk	255
13	Lessons for Democracy and the Public Sphere	286
	Methodological Appendix	305
	References	325
	Index	339

Tables and Figures

TABLES

3.1	Total Number of Articles Published and Sampled by	
	Years by Newspaper	page 47
3.2	Weighted Number of Articles, Speakers, Utterances,	
	and Ideas by Newspaper	53
5.1	Overall Standing by Country	90
5.2	Social Movement Organizations in Germany and	
	the United States: Comparing Resources and Media	
	Sophistication	95
6.1	Summary of Abortion Frames	109
6.2	Overall Distribution of Frames by Country	111
7.1	Women's and Abortion-Rights Organizations	
	by Country	148
8.1	Relative Standing of Religious Speakers by Country	167
8.2	Media Relations by Type of Anti-Abortion Group	
	and Country	170
10.1	Normative Criteria in Democratic Theory	229
11.1	Proportionality of Bundestag Seats and Media	
	Standing by Legislative Period	234
	Center and Periphery in U.S. and German Articles	235
11.3	Dialogic Structure of Articles by Country	240
11.4	Rebuttal Rate and Incivility by Country and Dialogic	
	Structure of Articles	242
11.5	Rebuttal Rate and Incivility by Co-Presence of	
	Center and Periphery and Country	243

TABLES AND FIGURES

FIGURES

	1.1	Theoretical Model of Forum	11
	3.1	Number of Articles in Sampling Frame by Year	
		by Country	49
	3.2	Number of Utterances by Year by Country	51
	5.1	Standing for Nonstate, Party Speakers over Time by	
		Country	93
	6.1	Anti-Abortion Frames by Country	117
	6.2	Pro-Abortion-Rights Frames by Country	119
	6.3	German Constitutional Cluster by Country	124
	6.4	U.S. Constitutional Cluster by Country	124
	7.1	Women's Standing by Country	134
	7.2	Gender and Framing Direction by Country	135
	7.3	Women's Rights Frames by Gender, Country, and	
		Time Period	138
	8.1	Policy Direction by Type of Civil Society Speaker	172
	8.2	Fetal Life Frame by Type of Civil Society Speaker	175
	9.1	Inclusion of Social Injustice Ideas by Country over Time	187
	9.2a	Use of Social Needs and Autonomy Ideas in Germany	190
	9.2b	Use of Social Needs and Autonomy Ideas in the	
		United States	191
	9.3a	Abortion Positions for Party Speakers in the	195
		United States	
	9.3b	Abortion Positions for Party Speakers in Germany	196
	9.4	Social Problems/Autonomy Balance Among Pro Party	
		Speakers	198
1	1.1	Inclusion of Social Movements as Framing Speakers in	
		Civil Society	237
]	1.2	Use of Autonomy Language by Women Pro and	
		Neutral Speakers	238
1	l 1.3a	Focusing of Framing over Time by Country (All frames)	249
		Focusing of Framing over Time by Country	
		(Pro frames)	249
	11.3c	Focusing of Framing over Time by Country	
		(Anti frames)	250