TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	. INTRODUCTION	l
	1.1. The aim of this study	6
	1.2. Scientific methodology	8
	1.3. The structure of the report	13
2.	. PERCEIVED SERVICE QUALITY	14
	2.1. Models of perceived service quality	14
	2.1.1. Grönroos's model of perceived service quality	15
	2.1.2. Bitner's model of service quality	16
	2.1.3. Bolton och Drew's model of service quality	19
	2.1.4. Oliver's model of service quality	21
	2.1.5. Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry's models of service quality and satisfaction	23
	2.1.6. A relationship service quality model	
	2.2. Disconfirmation of different comparison standards	39
	2.2.1. Different comparison standards	45
	2.2.1.1. Predictive expectations	46
	2.2.1.2. Experience-based norms	52
	2.2.1.3. Minimum tolerable or adequate service	56
	2.2.1.4. Ideal and desired service	59
	2.2.1.5. Needs and values	63
	2.2.1.6. Normative deficit	64
	2.2.1.7. Promises	65
	2.2.1.8. Deserved product or value	66
	2.2.1.9. Equity	69
	2.2.1.10. Summary of comparison standards	79
	2.3. Service performance	87
	2.4. Zone of Tolerance	91
	2.5. Post-purchase behavior	93
	2.6. Summary	98

Figure 15. Elements of deserved service and equity
Figure 16. Types of comparison standards and levels of performance (modified from Miller 1977)
Figure 17. Different levels of comparison standards on a scale were the lowest value is at the bottom and the highest at the top
Figure 18. Ladder of comparison standards (modified from Strandvik and Liljander 1995)
Figure 19. Research area
Figure 20. Episode satisfaction at the three restaurants
Figure 21. Relationship satisfaction at the three restaurants
Figure 22. Intentions to revisit the focal restaurant
Figure 23. Intentions to revisit another restaurant within the restaurant chain129
Figure 24. Intentions to recommend the restaurant to others
Figure 25. Direct disconfirmation of predictive expectations
Figure 26. Deserved service
Figure 27. Equity as a comparison between the customer and the restaurant133
Figure 28. Equity as a comparison between the customer and friend/s
Figure 29. Episode performance means
Figure 30. Relationship performance means
Figure 31. Episode and relationship performance at restaurant A
Figure 32. Episode and relationship performance at restaurant B
Figure 33. Episode and relationship performance at restaurant C139
Figure 34. Attribute importance and evaluation of episode performance for total population
Figure 35. Attribute importance and evaluation of relationship performance for total population141
Figure 36. Comparison standards at restaurant A144
Figure 37. Comparison standards at restaurant B145
Figure 38. Comparison standards at restaurant C145
Figure 39. Episode performance compared to predictive expectations at restaurant A
Figure 40. Episode performance compared to predictive expectations at
restaurant B

Figure 41. Episode performance compared to predictive expectations at	
restaurant C	148
Figure 42. Episode performance compared to the brand norm at restaurant A	150
Figure 43. Episode performance compared to the brand norm at restaurant B	151
Figure 44. Episode performance compared to the brand norm at restaurant C	151
Figure 45. Episode performance compared to the product norm at restaurant A	152
Figure 46. Episode performance compared to the product norm at restaurant B	152
Figure 47. Episode performance compared to the product norm at restaurant C	153
Figure 48. Episode performance compared to adequate service at restaurant A	154
Figure 49. Episode performance compared to adequate service at restaurant B	155
Figure 50. Episode performance compared to adequate service at restaurant C	155
Figure 51. Episode performance compared to the best brand norm at restaurant A	156
Figure 52. Episode performance compared to the best brand norm at restaurant B	157
Figure 53. Episode performance compared to the best brand norm at restaurant C	157
Figure 54. Episode performance compared to service excellence at restaurant A	158
Figure 55. Episode performance compared to service excellence at restaurant B	158
Figure 56. Episode performance compared to service excellence at restaurant C	159
Figure 57. Relationship performance compared to service excellence at restaurant $A\dots$	159
Figure 58. Relationship performance compared to service excellence at restaurant B	160
Figure 59. Relationship performance compared to service excellence at restaurant \boldsymbol{C}	160
Figure 60. Zone of tolerance at restaurant A	167
Figure 61. Zone of tolerance at restaurant B	168
Figure 62. Zone of tolerance at restaurant C	169
Figure 63. Zone of tolerance for dissatisfied customers	170
Figure 64. Combined graph of dis/satisfied customers' perceptions of episode performance and service excellence	100
Figure 65. Inferred disconfirmation of service excellence for satisfied and	190
dissatisfied customers	191
Figure 66. Graph of predictive expectations at the three restaurants	
Figure 67. Graph of brand norm at the three restaurants	
Figure 68. Graph of product norm at the three restaurants	
Figure 69. Graph of adequate service at the three restaurants	
	249 250

T' TI C It of comics and llegge at the three metauments	250
Figure 71. Graph of service excellence at the three restaurants	
Figure 72. Zones of tolerance for the three service dimensions at each restaurant	
Figure 73. Attribute importance and evaluation of episode at restaurant A	
Figure 74. Attribute importance and evaluation of episode at restaurant B	
Figure 75. Attribute importance and evaluation of episode at restaurant C	258
Figure 76. Attribute importance and evaluation of inferred disconfirmation of adequate service	259
Figure 77. Attribute importance and evaluation of inferred disconfirmation of predictive expectations.	259
Figure 78. Attribute importance and evaluation of inferred disconfirmation of brand norm	260
Figure 79. Attribute importance and evaluation of inferred disconfirmation of product norm	261
Figure 80. Attribute importance and evaluation of inferred disconfirmation of best brand norm	261
Figure 81. Attribute importance and evaluation of inferred disconfirmation of excellent service (subtracted from episode performance).	262
Figure 82. Attribute importance and evaluation of inferred disconfirmation of excellent service (subtracted from relationship performance)	262
TABLES	
Table 1. Description of concepts in the relationship quality model	34
Table 2. A summary of studies in which more than one comparison standard has been quantitatively measured.	99
Table 3. Summary of time of measurement of multiple comparison standards, type of disconfirmation and main research findings	101
Table 4. Attributes used in the study	112
Table 5. List of attributes in the main study	115
Table 6. Description of the direct measures used in the study	118
Table 7. Number of respondents per questionnaire and restaurant	122
Table 8. Distribution of men and women	
Table 9. Direct and derived measures used in the analysis	124

Table 10. Distribution of first-time visitors to the focal restaurant and	
first-time visitors to a restaurant within this restaurant chain	126
Table 11. Distribution of dis/satisfied customers in the different restaurants	127
Table 12. Distribution of dis/satisfied customers in the different questionnaire	
groups	128
Table 13. Means of deserved and equity	134
Table 14. Scale reliability of performance, comparison standards and inferred disconfirmation of standards	135
Table 15. Averages of 21 attributes	162
Table 16. Frequency of negative disconfirmation of comparison standards	164
Table 17. Pearson correlation matrix on all comparison standards	174
Table 18. Cross-table on deserved service and equity compared to restaurant	175
Table 19. Cross-table on deserved service and equity compared to friend/s	175
Table 20. Pearson correlation matrix on direct disconfirmation, deserved, equity, performance, satisfaction and intentions (total population)	179
Table 21. Satisfaction and deserved service	180
Table 22. Satisfaction means and equity	182
Table 23. Pearson correlation matrix on satisfaction, intentions and standards subtracted from performance. (total population)	186
Table 24. Cross-tables on averages of inferred disconfirmation and episode satisfaction.	189
Table 25. Correlations of performance with satisfaction and intentions	193
Table 26. Correlation coefficients of episode performance, deserved service and episode satisfaction	194
Table 27. Episode satisfaction regressed on single constructs	
Table 28. Episode satisfaction regressed on deserved service and equity	
Table 29. Episode satisfaction regressed on episode performance, deserved service and equity	
Table 30. Episode satisfaction regressed on direct disconfirmation, deserved service and equity	
Table 31.Episode satisfaction regressed on episode performance, direct disconfirmation, deserved and equity	202
Table 32. Different types of bonds between customers and service providers	
Table 33. Factor loadings for episode performance (oblique rotation).	

Table 34. Attribute means for perceived performance of personnel, servicescapes	
and food.	242
Table 35.Episode satisfaction and intentions to behave regressed on perceived performance of personnel, servicescapes and food	242
Table 36. Means and standard deviations for performance (total population)	
Table 37. Means and standard deviations for performance at the different restaurants	
Table 38. Means and standard deviations for all attribute-specific standards (total population)	245
Table 39. Attribute means of predictive expectations, brand norm and product norm at restaurants A, B and C.	246
Table 40. Attribute means of best brand norm, excellent and adequate service at restaurants A, B and C	247
Table 41. Means and standard deviations for global measures used in the study \ldots .	251
Table 42. Comparison standards subtracted from episode performance	252
Table 43. Zone of tolerance dimension means for restaurant A	253
Table 44. Zone of tolerance dimension means for restaurant B	253
Table 45. Zone of tolerance dimension means for restaurant C	253
Table 46. Zone of tolerance attribute means for the three restaurants	254
Table 47. Simple regression of episode and relationship satisfaction on performance and inferred disconfirmation attributes.	255
Table 48. Pearson correlation matrix on all standards of comparison (restaurant A)	263
Table 49. Pearson correlation matrix on all standards of comparison (restaurant B)	263
Table 50. Pearson correlation matrix on all standards of comparison (restaurant C)	263
Table 51. Pearson correlation matrix on direct disconfirmation, deserved service, equity, performance, satisfaction and intentions (restaurant A)	264
Table 52. Pearson correlation matrix on direct disconfirmation, deserved service, equity, performance, satisfaction and intentions (restaurant B)	264
Table 53. Pearson correlation matrix on direct disconfirmation, deserved service, equity, performance, satisfaction and intentions (restaurant C)	265
Table 54. Pearson correlation matrix on standards, performance, satisfaction and intentions (entire population)	266

Table 55. Pearson correlation matrix on standards, performance, satisfaction and intentions (restaurant A)	267
Table 56. Pearson correlation matrix on standards, performance, satisfaction and intentions (restaurant B)	268
Table 57. Pearson correlation matrix on standards, performance, satisfaction and intentions (restaurant C)	269
Table 58. Pearson correlation matrix on satisfaction, intentions and standards subtracted from performance. (restaurant A)	270
Table 59. Pearson correlation matrix on satisfaction, intentions and standards subtracted from performance. (restaurant B)	271
Table 60. Pearson correlation matrix on satisfaction, intentions and standards subtracted from performance. (restaurant C)	272
Table 61. Cross-tables on attribute specific inferred disconfirmation and episode satisfaction	273
Table 62. Cross-tables on attribute specific inferred disconfirmation and episode satisfaction	274
Table 63. Cross-tables on attribute specific inferred disconfirmation and episode satisfaction	275
Table 64. Cross-tables on attribute specific inferred disconfirmation and episode satisfaction	276
Table 65. Cross-tables on attribute specific inferred disconfirmation and episode satisfaction	277
Table 66. Cross-tables on attribute specific inferred disconfirmation and episode satisfaction	278
Table 67. Crosstables on attribute specific inferred disconfirmation and episode satisfaction	279
Table 68. Episode satisfaction, relationship satisfaction and intentions to revisit the restaurant regressed on inferred disconfirmation and performance	280