

Contents

Tables and Figures	ix
Acknowledgments	xi

Part One: The Methodology

1 A Collection-Centered Measure for All Types of Libraries	3
2 Problems of the Past	15
3 The Logic of the Test	33

Part Two: Results

4 Setting Levels	55
5 Verifying Levels	73
6 Gains in Strength through a Consortium	89
7 The French Literature Test	107

Part Three: The OCLC Database and Holdings Counts

8 Creating New Tests	123
----------------------	-----

Appendix A: Eight Specimen Brief Tests	153
--	-----

American History	153
American Studies	159
Classical Music	161
Cultural Anthropology	165
French Literature	169
Genetics	172
Mathematics	175
Policy Studies	179

Appendix B: Additional Brief Tests	183
------------------------------------	-----

Bibliography	185
--------------	-----

Index	189
-------	-----

Tables and Figures

Table 1.1	RLG Definitions of Collecting Levels	5
Table 1.2	Titles by Number of 'Consortium' Libraries Holding Them	8
Table 1.3	Titles in One Library by 'Consortium' Scale	9
Table 1.4	Titles in Individual Libraries by 'Consortium' Scale	9
Table 1.5	Titles in Individual Libraries by RLG Libraries Holding Them	10
Table 2.1	Results of French Literature Verification Study, 1983	16
Table 2.2	Sample Items from RLG Verification Study in Genetics	18
Table 2.3	RLG Verification Studies Completed	19
Table 2.4	Four Hypothetical Schemes for Converting Coverage to Levels	21
Table 2.5	Hypothetical Scheme for Collection Sizes at Four RLG Levels	23
Table 2.6	WLN or Pacific Northwest Conspectus Guidelines for Interpreting Finer-Grained Collection Levels	24
Table 2.7	Sample Form from Consortium X Collection Inventory Project	26
Table 3.1	Hypothetical Outcome of Brief Test	37
Table 3.2	Counterhypothetical Outcome of Brief Test	38
Table 3.3	Ideal Guttman Scale	39
Table 3.4	Titles in Byzantine Studies: Assignment to RLG Levels by OCLC Counts and by Selector	45
Figure 3.1	Two Hypothetical Tests with Different Ranges of Difficulty	46
Table 4.1	Percentage Patterns in 268 Brief-Test Trials	59
Table 4.2	RLG Levels Attained with Brief Tests	64
Table 4.3	Validation Trials in Setting Levels with Brief Tests	70
Table 5.1	Librarians' Ratings and Brief-Test Ratings of RLG Levels at Two Universities	76
Figure 5.1	Comparison of Levels Subjectively Claimed and Set by Brief Tests at Two Universities	77
Table 5.2	WLN Collection Level Indicators	79

Table 5.3	Special Rules for Using Brief Tests with WLN Scale	80
Table 5.4	Hypothetical Relationship between WLN and RLG Scales	81
Table 5.5	Comparisons of Librarians' Ratings and Brief-Test Ratings of RLG Levels at Three Virginia Libraries	83
Figure 5.2	Claimed Collection Level by Brief-Test Level	85
Figure 5.3	Claimed Acquisition Commitment Level by Brief-Test Level	85
Table 6.1	Collection Levels Achieved by Tri-College Consortium	91
Table 6.2	Detailed Scores across Levels for Colleges Singly and Jointly	92
Table 6.3	Number and Magnitude of Gains per College	94
Figure 6.1	Actual Tests with Different Ranges of Difficulty	95
Figure 6.2	American History	97
Figure 6.3	American Studies	98
Figure 6.4	Classical Music	99
Figure 6.5	Cultural Anthropology	100
Figure 6.6	French Literature	101
Figure 6.7	Genetics	102
Figure 6.8	Mathematics	103
Figure 6.9	Policy Studies	104
Figure 6.10	Social Theory	105
Table 7.1	The RLG French Literature Sample	108
Table 7.2	The RLG Sample and the Brief Test in French Literature Compared	110
Figure 7.1	French Literature Test Results for 22 Libraries	112
Figure 7.2	Median Percentages of French Literature Holdings for Two Groups of Libraries across RLG Scale	114
Table 7.3	Percentage Scores and Levels Claimed and Attained in the French Literature Test	115
Table 7.4	Total Counts and Rankings in 1983 Verification Study and 1993 Brief Test in French Literature	116
Figure 7.3	French Literature Test Results as 'Strata'	118
Figure 8.1	Hypothetical Frequency Distribution of Titles Held by Various Numbers of Libraries	125
Figure 8.2	Empirical Frequency Distribution of Titles Held by Various Numbers of Libraries	127
Table 8.1	Levels of RLG Scale Mapped to Ranges on Holdings-Count Scale	127
Figure 8.3	Holdings-Count and RLG Scales Calibrated	130
Figure 8.4	Ranges of Holdings Counts Assigned to RLG Levels before and after Discriminant Analysis of Titles	133
Table 8.2	Joint Prediction of Levels by Mechanical 10s Method and by Discriminant Function	134
Table 8.3	Titles Ranked Highest and Lowest in Eight Brief Tests	140
Table 8.4	Hypotheses on Variation in Characteristics of Titles at RLG Levels 1 through 4	142
Table 8.5	Hypothetical Distribution of In-print Status by RLG Level	143
Table 8.6	Hypothetical Mixes of Libraries Contributing to Holdings Counts at Different Levels	144