

Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	ix
<i>Figures</i>	xiii
<i>Tables</i>	xv
1 Intersubjectivity—mutual management of cognitive states	1
1.1 Small grammatical puzzles	1
1.2 Big theoretical issues	2
1.2.1 A human specialization	2
1.2.2 Common ground and the construal configuration	4
1.2.3 Human and animal communication, and the theory of argumentativity	8
Argumentation and information	9
Connected discourse	12
1.3 Variation in the balance between objectivity and intersubjectivity	16
1.3.1 Introduction	16
1.3.2 An initial illustration: a range of promises	19
Argumentation and auxiliary syntax	23
1.4 Prospects	24
1.4.1 The usage-based approach and empirical data	24
1.4.2 Preview	26
2 Negation and virtual argumentation	28
2.1 Introduction	28
2.2 Negation and perspectives	29
2.2.1 Mental spaces	29
2.2.2 Sentential vs. morphological negation	32
2.3 Conventional linguistic constraints on intersubjective coordination	35
2.3.1 The <i>let alone</i> construction: some unsolved problems	35
Fillmore, Kay, and O'Connor (1988)	35
Problem: non-semantic negative polarity?	38
Problem: pragmatic point in first conjunct?	39
Problem: what did the meaning of <i>let alone</i> develop from?	39

2.3.2	Barely is not almost, let alone pretty much completely	41
	Argumentative orientation as linguistic meaning	41
	Argumentative direction and argumentative strength	44
	<i>Barely</i> and <i>almost</i> as argumentative operators	45
	Argumentative orientation constraining coreference	50
2.3.3	The negation system for intersubjective coordination	55
2.3.4	<i>Let alone</i> and argumentation	60
	Solution: making syntactic and semantic negative polarity coincide	60
	Solution: aligning semantics and pragmatics	61
	Solution: understanding the historical development of <i>let alone</i>	66
2.4	'Double' negation revisited: why <i>not impossible</i> does not equal <i>possible</i>	70
2.5	Conclusion	76
3	Finite complements—putting conceptualizers on stage	78
3.1	Introduction: two ways of looking at complements	78
3.2	Problems with clauses as constituents	81
3.2.1	Introduction	81
3.2.2	Some issues of grammatical analysis	83
	Direct object or oblique object?	83
	Subject or predicate?	87
3.2.3	Some issues of discourse analysis and language acquisition	90
	Development	92
3.3	Use and structure of complementation constructions	94
3.3.1	The special role of complementation in discourse structure	94
	Recursion	98
3.3.2	A template and its meaning	100
	Productivity	102
	Type and token frequency	102
	'Exceptions' are regular	104
3.3.3	Third-person conceptualizers and degrees of directness in intersubjective coordination	104
	Complementation and argumentativity	105
	Performativity	107
	Developing a network of complementation constructions	110

3.3.4	Manipulating the relation between Ground and onstage conceptualizers	113
3.3.5	<i>Wh</i> -extraction 'in the wild'	119
	Clausal constituents again	119
	The specific nature of complementation questions in the construal configuration	120
	Type and token frequencies	124
	Frequency and subjectivity	129
3.3.6	Impersonal intersubjectivity and the irrelevance of syntactic relations	131
	Impersonal complementation constructions—extensions from the prototype	132
	Type and token frequencies, and an extended network	136
	Against syntactic relations in complementation	137
3.3.7	Perspectival and causal connections	141
3.3.8	Clauses as discourse segments revisited	149
	Complementation and topic continuity	151
3.4	Conclusion	154
4	Discourse connections—managing inferences across perspectives	156
4.1	Introduction	156
4.2	Concession	162
4.2.1	Two paradoxes	162
	Duality	162
	Domain theory	164
4.2.2	Background assumptions and mental spaces	167
4.2.3	The double link between epistemic concessives and epistemic causals	174
	Epistemic causality and perspectives: what concessions and arguments have in common	175
	Epistemic inferences in the background	180
	Recursion again	182
4.2.4	Concessivity and negated causality	184
	Negation of causality and the source of scope-phenomena	184
	Negation of concession produces contradiction	187
4.3	Arguing, reasoning, and construing causes	190
4.3.1	Causal connectives imposing constraints on perspectives	190
	Dutch <i>want</i> and <i>omdat</i> (and <i>aangezien</i>)	191
4.3.2	Causal connectives and the structure of the construal configuration	197
	Inferential and non-inferential causal relations	202

4.4 Conclusion	208
5 Concluding Remarks	210
5.1 Not everything is intersubjectivity (though intersubjectivity is widespread)	210
5.2 Grammar provides systematic instruments for mutual management—of a special kind	212
5.2.1 Looking ahead	212
5.2.2 Looking back	214
<i>References</i>	217
<i>Index</i>	227