Table of Contents

| Ackno | owledg | jements | • | | ! |
|---|---------|-----------|---|---|------|
| Mana | geme | nt summ | ary | | !! |
| Table | of Co | ntents | | | . 17 |
| List of | f Figur | 'es | | | VIII |
| List o | f table | s | | | . IX |
| Abbre | eviatio | ns | | | X |
| 0 | Pream | nble | | | 1 |
| Soft aspects of R&D development in China, and its strategic relevance | | | | | |
| | 1.1 | Introduc | tion | | 1 |
| | 1.2 | | y and eme | erging markets aspects | 2 |
| | | 1.2.1 | Political a | and institutional aspects | 3 |
| | | 1.2.2 | Economy | opening aspects | 5 |
| | | 1.2.3 | Investme | ent aspects | 7 |
| | | 1.2.4 | Consume | er aspects | 8 |
| | | 1.2.5 | Cultural a | aspects | 9 |
| | | 1.2.6 | Risk asp | ects | 11 |
| | | 1.2.7 | Technolo | ogical aspects | 14 |
| | 1.3 | Conclus | sions | • | 15 |
| 2 | NXP, | compar | ny profile. | | 16 |
| | 2.1 | NXP Se | emicondu | ctors at a glance | 16 |
| | | 2.1.1 | BU Mobi | le and Personal / BL Cellular Systems at a glance | 18 |
| | | | 2.1.1.1 | Scope and portfolio | 19 |
| | | | 2.1.1.2 | | 20 |
| | | | 2.1.1.3 | MST Baseband at a glance | 20 |
| | 2.2 | Philips : | Semicono | ductors / NXP Semiconductors in China | 21 |
| 2.3 3G in Ch | | | hina and | NXP's relationship | 23 |
| 2.4 NXP Semiconductors, BU M&P China strategy – a snapshot. | | | | | 23 |
| | | 2.4.1 | Mission / | Vision / Strategy of BL Cellular Systems | 25 |
| | | | 2.4.1.1 | Mission | 25 |
| | | | 2.4.1.2 | Aspirations | 25 |
| | | | 2.4.1.3 | Strategy | 25 |
| | | 2.4.2 | Enablers | and success factors | 25 |
| | | 2.4.3 | Market a | and competitor situation | 28 |
| | | 2.4.4 | Mobile m | narket in China | 30 |
| | 2.5 | Conclus | sions | | 31 |
| 3 | Philip | s / NXP | and MS1 | BB specific R&D situation in China | 32 |
| | 3.1 | Introduc | ction | | 32 |
| | 3.2 | Theore | tical frame | ework | 34 |
| | | 3.2.1 | Main lite | rature | 34 |
| | | 3.2.2 | Strategy | framework | 36 |
| | | 3.2.3 | Assessn | nent of competitive advantage of resources | 38 |
| | | 3.2.4 | Assessn | nent of capacity expansion | 38 |
| | | 3.2.5 | Assessn | nent of R&D network model | 40 |
| | | 3.2.6 | Assessn | nent of strategic papers related to R&D China strategy. | 43 |
| | 3.3 | Survey | on Chine | se R&D unit | 44 |
| | | 3.3.1 | R&D site | related guestions | 45 |
| | | | 3.3.1.1 | Character of the knowledge network | 45 |
| | | | 3.3.1.2 | Research and development activities | 45 |
| | | | 3.3.1.3 | Facility age | 45 |
| | | | 3.3.1.4 | Facility size | 45 |
| | | | 3.3.1.5 | Facility growth | 48 |
| | | | | | |

| | | 3.3.2 | Know-ho | w and technology transfer | 46 | | | |
|---|-----|--|---------------------|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| | | 0.0.2 | 3.3.2.1 | Gained know-how in China applied elsewhere | 46 | | | |
| | | | 3.3.2.2 | Applied technologies developed in China elsewhere | 46 | | | |
| | | | | Transferred technologies developed in China | 70 | | | |
| | | | | elsewhere | 46 | | | |
| | | | 3.3.2.4 | Benefit from know-how brought in by Chinese partners. | 47 | | | |
| | | 3.3.3 | 3.3.2.4 Entry mo | dede | 47 | | | |
| | | 3.3.3 | | Key drivers for the location choice | | | | |
| | | | 3.3.3.1 | Character of location decision | | | | |
| | | | 3.3.3.3 | Entry mode choice | | | | |
| | | | 3.3.3.4 | Facility size at entry | | | | |
| | | | 3.3.3.5 | Entry Mission | | | | |
| | | | | Entry Motive | | | | |
| | | 204 | 3.3.3.6 | estions related to China strategy | | | | |
| | | 3.3.4 | | | | | | |
| | | 3.3.5 | | ng remarks on the survey | | | | |
| | 3.4 | | | ncluding remarksplications on domestic R&D centres | | | | |
| 4 | | | | groundground and define the centres and centres and centres are the centres and centres are the centres and centres are the centres are | | | | |
| | 4.1 | Cultural | licai dacki | ground | . 54 E0 | | | |
| | 4.2 | | | s in knowledge transfer | | | | |
| | 4.3 | | Chinese | R&D unitnent in Chinese R&D unit | 61 | | | |
| | | 4.3.1 | Engagen | Knowledge and information | . D I | | | |
| | | | 4.3.1.1 4.3.1.2 | | . D I | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Perception Open questions related to China R&D engagement | - 02 | | | |
| | | 400 | 4.3.1.4 | w and technology transfer | . DJ | | | |
| | | 4.3.2 | | | . 04 | | | |
| | | | 4.3.2.1 | One was technology and know how transfer | . 04 6.4 | | | |
| | | 400 | 4.3.2.2 | One-way technology and know-how transfer | . 04 | | | |
| | | 4.3.3 | Entry mo | ode | . 04 65 | | | |
| | | 4.3.4 | Manager | ment confidencenpany approach: sharing of information and IP | . DO | | | |
| | | 4.3.5 | One-Cor | npany approach: snaring of information and in | . 0 3 | | | |
| | | | 4.3.5.1 | One company approach – no need for protection against know-how loss | ce | | | |
| | | | 4050 | against know-now loss | . bc | | | |
| | | | 4.3.5.2 | Monitoring the know-how and technology transfer to China | CE | | | |
| | | | 4050 | to China | . bt | | | |
| | | 400 | 4.3.5.3 | Open questions related to protection or infringement | . 65 | | | |
| | | 4.3.6 | | atistics tells us | | | | |
| _ | 4.4 | Summa | ary and co | oncluding remarks | . 67 | | | |
| 5 | | | | yhts | | | | |
| | 5.1 | Introduction | | | | | | |
| | 5.2 | IP infringement and procedural aspects | | | | | | |
| | 5.3 | Diffusion of proprietary knowledge71 | | | | | | |
| | 5.4 | A thought about ethics | | | | | | |
| | 5.5 | Survey on IP protection and infringement | | | | | | |
| | | 5.5.1 | | on against know-how loss in production processes | | | | |
| | | 5.5.2 | | on against know-how loss in technology | | | | |
| | | 5.5.3 | | d t b b d d Obi- | | | | |
| | | 5.5.4 | | ng the know-how transfer to China | | | | |
| | | 5.5.5 | Success | ful protection of patent rights | . /: | | | |
| | | 5.5.6 | Open qu | estions related to IP protection or infringement | /6 | | | |
| | 5.6 | Genera | ai recomm | nendations | /] | | | |
| _ | 5.7 | Summa | ary and co | oncluding remarks | . /{ | | | |
| 6 | | | | nese R&D subsidiary | | | | |
| | 6.1 | introdu | Ction | | / \$ | | | |

| | 6.2 | On com | eleteness of | leaders | 80 | |
|----|--------|--|----------------|--|-------|--|
| | 6.3 | On portability of leaders | | | | |
| | 6.4 | On dista | nce | | 82 | |
| | 6.5 | On expatriates' related issues | | | | |
| | 6.6 | On cultu | ral challenge | 98 | 85 | |
| | 6.7 | Survey on HR and management related topics | | | | |
| | 0 | 6.7.1 Proportion of expatriates | | | | |
| | | 6.7.2 | Proportion of | Chinese with abroad education | 87 | |
| | | 6.7.3 | Proportion of | Chinese with home country education | 87 | |
| | | 6.7.4 | Fluctuation r | ate | 87 | |
| | | 6.7.5 | | nationality | | |
| | | 6.7.6 | | f senior technical expert | | |
| | | 6.7.7 | | ons related to HR in China | | |
| | | 6.7.8 | | emarks | | |
| | 6.8 | | Concluding i | uding remarks | an | |
| - | | | | | | |
| 7 | | and reco | mmenuation | S | 22 | |
| | 7.1 | | ted to soft as | spects of R&D developments in China | 92 | |
| | | 7.1.1 | KPI related t | o political, institutional and financial aspects | 92 | |
| | | 7.1.2 | KPI related t | o economy opening and financial aspects | 94 | |
| | | 7.1.3 | KPI related t | o consumer aspects | 95 | |
| | | 7.1.4 | KPI related t | o cultural aspects | 96 | |
| | | 7.1.5 | KPIs related | to risk aspects | 97 | |
| | | 7.1.6 | KPI related t | o technology | 98 | |
| | 7.2 | | ted to strateç | gic questions and R&D manager survey | 98 | |
| | | 7.2.1 | KPI and reco | ommendations related to strategy development | | |
| | | | and assessn | nent | 99 | |
| | | 7.2.2 | KPI related t | o communication1 | 00 | |
| | | 7.2.3 | KPI related t | o managerial feedback on R&D survey1 | 01 | |
| | | | 7.2.3.1 KP | I related to R&D activities1 | 01 | |
| | | | 7.2.3.2 KP | I related to know-how and technology transfer1 | 02 | |
| | | | 7.2.3.3 KP | key drivers for the location choice1 | 03 | |
| | | | 7.2.3.4 KP | I related to entry mode1 | 03 | |
| | | | 7.2.3.5 KP | I related to know-how protection1 | 04 | |
| | 7.3 | KPI reis | ted to intelle | ctual property rights and ethics1 | 05 | |
| | 7.4 | KPI and | recommend | ations related to HR and managerial aspects1 | 106 | |
| | 7.5 | Conclus | ina romarka | 1 | 107 | |
| 8 | | man, and | rocommond | lations1 | I N R | |
| 0 | 8.1 | Cummo | recommend | iduoris 1 | no | |
| | | Summa | y or results. | | .00 | |
| ^ | 8.2 | Hecomi | nendations | 1 | 112 | |
| 9 | BIDIIC | ograpny. | | 1 | 112 | |
| 10 | Anne | x A: Sur | ey on Chine | se R&D unit and its relations | 110 | |
| | 10.1 | Chinese | R&D unit | 1 | 118 | |
| | | 10.1.1 | Character of | the knowledge network1 | 18 | |
| | | 10.1.2 | Research ar | nd development activities1 | 18 | |
| | | 10.1.3 | Facility age. | 1 | 119 | |
| | | 10.1.4 | Facility size | | 119 | |
| | | 10.1.5 | Facility grow | th1 | 119 | |
| | 10.2 | Know-h | ow and techr | nology transfer | 119 | |
| | | 10.2.1 | Gained know | v-how in China applied elsewhere1 | 119 | |
| | | 10.2.2 | Applied tech | nologies developed in China elsewhere | 120 | |
| | | 10.2.3 | Transferred | technologies developed in China elsewhere | 120 | |
| | | 10.2.4 | Benefit from | know-how brought in by Chinese partners1 | 120 | |
| | 10.3 | Entry m | nde | | 120 | |
| | | 10.3.1 | Key drivers | for the location choice | 120 | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | 10.3.2 | Character of location decision | 121 |
|----|------|----------|---|-------|
| | | 10.3.3 | Entry mode choice | 121 |
| | | 10.3.4 | Facility size at entry | 121 |
| | | 10.3.5 | Entry Mission | 122 |
| | | | Entry Motive | |
| | 10.4 | | ection and Infringement | |
| | | | Protection against know-how loss in production processes | |
| | | | Protection against know-how loss in technology | |
| | | 10.4.3 | Piracy | 123 |
| | | 10.4.4 | Monitoring the know-how transfer to China | 123 |
| | | 10.4.5 | Successful protection of patent rights | 123 |
| | | 10.4.6 | Open questions related to IP protection or infringement | 124 |
| | 10.5 | HR rela | ited topics | 124 |
| | | | Proportion of expatriates | 124 |
| | | 10.5.2 | Proportion of Chinese with higher education gained outside of | |
| | | | China | |
| | | | Proportion of Chinese with home country education | |
| | | | Fluctuation rate | |
| | | | Managerial nationality | |
| | | | Nationality of senior technical expert | |
| | | 10.5.7 | Open questions related to HR in China | . 126 |
| | 10.6 | Open q | uestions related to China strategy | . 126 |
| | 10.7 | Summa | ary of statistical data for manager survey | . 127 |
| 11 | Anne | x B: Sur | vey on cooperating with Chinese R&D subsidiary | . 129 |
| | 11.1 | | ement in Chinese R&D unit | |
| | | 11.1.1 | Knowledge and information | . 129 |
| | | 11.1.2 | Preparation by whom | . 129 |
| | | 11.1.3 | Perception | . 130 |
| | | 11.1.4 | Open questions related to China R&D engagement | . 131 |
| | 11.2 | Know-h | now and technology transfer | . 131 |
| | | 11.2.1 | Benefit from know-how brought in by Chinese partners | . 131 |
| | | | One-way technology and know-how transfer | |
| | 11.3 | | node | |
| | | | Why did our organisation choose to engage in China? | |
| | | 11.3.2 | Management confidence | . 132 |
| | 11.4 | One-Co | ompany approach: sharing of information and IP | . 133 |
| | | 11.4.1 | One company approach - no need for protection against | |
| | | | know-how loss | . 133 |
| | | | Monitoring the know-how and technology transfer to China | |
| | | | Open questions related to protection or infringement | |
| | | | ary of statistical data for employee survey | |
| | | | tion data for employee survey | |
| 12 | Anne | v C | | 136 |

List of Figures

| Figure 2: Figure 3: Figure 4: Figure 4: Figure 4: Figure 4: Figure 5: Figure 4: Figure 5: Figure 6: Figure 6: Figure 6: Figure 7: Figure 7: Figure 7: Figure 7: Figure 7: Figure 8: Figure 8: Figure 8: Figure 8: Figure 8: Figure 8: Figure 7: Figure 7: Figure 7: Figure 8: Figure 9: Figure 9: Figure 9: Figure 9: Figure 9: Figure 9: Figure 10: Figure 10: Figure 10: Figure 11: Figure 12: Figure 12: Figure 12: Figure 12: Figure 13: Figure 13: Figure 14: Figure 15: Figure 15: Figure 16: Figure 16: Figure 16: Figure 17: Figure 17: Figure 18: Figure 18: Figure 19: Figure 10: Figure 10: Figure 10: Figure 10: Figure 11: Figure 12: Figure 13: Figure 14: Figure 15: Figure 16: Figure 17: Figure 16: Figure 17: Figure 18: Figure 18: Figure 19: Figure 19: Figure 19: Figure 19: Figure 10: Figure | Figure 1: | Simplified graphical representation of the table of contents and its linkages. Solid lines refer to a content linkage and dashed lines refer to KPI or recommendation linkages |
|--|---------------------|--|
| Figure 3: H205 sales of NXP Semiconductors across its business units | Figure 0: | Covernmental evetern of PDC, edented from Spicaal, 06a |
| Figure 4: H206 sales of NXP Semiconductors across geographic regions | | |
| Figure 5: NXP Semiconductor organizational chart with emphasis on BU Mobile and Personal | | |
| Personal | 9 | |
| Figure 7: Organizational Chart of MST Baseband, main focus on Zurich and Shanghai | J | Personal18 |
| Shanghai | | |
| Figure 9: Possible cause linkage diagram of BL Cellular System, based on input from BL Cellular Systems One-Page Strategy | Figure 7: | Shanghai21 |
| BL Cellular Systems One-Page Strategy. 27 Figure 10: One-Page Strategy of BL Cellular Systems in 2006. 28 Figure 11: Process and tools of strategic planning, adapted from Grünig_02. 36 Figure 12: Proposed assessment of target and actual strategy, seen as part of box 5 in previous figure and associated with the respective feedback loop. 37 Figure 13: Possible value chain with required resource level which create a cellular phone with advance features at required margin. 38 Figure 14: R&D capacity expansion decision tree, modified from Porter_98. 39 Figure 15: Organizational possibilities of international R&D networks, modified from Gassmann_97. 41 Figure 16: Proposed configuration for global R&D network, modified from Gassmann_97. 41 Figure 17: Cost comparison and break-even calculation of European and Chinese R&D centre per employee. 50 Figure 18: The y-axis shows the ratio of the salary sum of the management divided by the salary sum of the R&D staff. The x-axis assumes values between 1 (being the mean R&D staff. Staff. The x-axis assumes values between 1 (being the mean R&D staff. Staff. The x-axis assumes values between 1 (being the mean R&D staff. S | | |
| Figure 10: One-Page Strategy of BL Cellular Systems in 2006 | Figure 9: | |
| Figure 11: Process and tools of strategic planning, adapted from Grünig_02 | | BL Cellular Systems One-Page Strategy27 |
| Figure 12: Proposed assessment of target and actual strategy, seen as part of box 5 in previous figure and associated with the respective feedback loop | Figure 10: | One-Page Strategy of BL Cellular Systems in 200628 |
| in previous figure and associated with the respective feedback loop | Figure 11: | Process and tools of strategic planning, adapted from Grünig_0236 |
| Figure 13: Possible value chain with required resource level which create a cellular phone with advance features at required margin | Figure 12: | |
| phone with advance features at required margin | | |
| Figure 14: R&D capacity expansion decision tree, modified from Porter_98 | Figure 13: | |
| Figure 15: Organizational possibilities of international R&D networks, modified from Gassmann_97 | | phone with advance features at required margin38 |
| Gassmann_97 | Figure 14: | R&D capacity expansion decision tree, modified from Porter_9839 |
| Figure 16: Proposed configuration for global R&D network | Figure 15: | Organizational possibilities of international R&D networks, modified from Gassmann 9741 |
| Figure 17: Cost comparison and break-even calculation of European and Chinese R&D centre per employee | Figure 16: | |
| R&D centre per employee | Figure 17: | Cost comparison and break-even calculation of European and Chinese |
| Figure 18: The y-axis shows the ratio of the salary sum of the management divided by the salary sum of the R&D staff. The x-axis assumes values between 1 (being the mean R&D staff salary) and 20 (being the maximum salary paid in the company). The underlying assumption is that management salaries spread linearly between the mean R&D salary and the maximum company salary. Reading the example of the solid line (80% of staff are R&D workers and 20% are management, e.g. Lear_04): The total salary sum of the 20% management crew equals the total salary sum of the 80% R&D staff if the maximum salary paid in the company is 7 fold the average salary paid to R&D | 3 | R&D centre per employee |
| the salary sum of the R&D staff. The x-axis assumes values between 1 (being the mean R&D staff salary) and 20 (being the maximum salary paid in the company). The underlying assumption is that management salaries spread linearly between the mean R&D salary and the maximum company salary. Reading the example of the solid line (80% of staff are R&D workers and 20% are management, e.g. Lear_04): The total salary sum of the 20% management crew equals the total salary sum of the 80% R&D staff if the maximum salary paid in the company is 7 fold the average salary paid to R&D | Figure 18: | The v-axis shows the ratio of the salary sum of the management divided by |
| (being the mean R&D staff salary) and 20 (being the maximum salary paid in the company). The underlying assumption is that management salaries spread linearly between the mean R&D salary and the maximum company salary. Reading the example of the solid line (80% of staff are R&D workers and 20% are management, e.g. Lear_04): The total salary sum of the 20% management crew equals the total salary sum of the 80% R&D staff if the maximum salary paid in the company is 7 fold the average salary paid to R&D | 3 | the salary sum of the R&D staff. The x-axis assumes values between 1 |
| in the company). The underlying assumption is that management salaries spread linearly between the mean R&D salary and the maximum company salary. Reading the example of the solid line (80% of staff are R&D workers and 20% are management, e.g. Lear_04). The total salary sum of the 20% management crew equals the total salary sum of the 80% R&D staff if the maximum salary paid in the company is 7 fold the average salary paid to R&D | | (being the mean R&D staff salary) and 20 (being the maximum salary paid |
| spread linearly between the mean R&D salary and the maximum company salary. Reading the example of the solid line (80% of staff are R&D workers and 20% are management, e.g. Lear_04): The total salary sum of the 20% management crew equals the total salary sum of the 80% R&D staff if the maximum salary paid in the company is 7 fold the average salary paid to R&D | | |
| salary. Reading the example of the solid line (80% of staff are R&D workers and 20% are management, e.g. Lear_04): The total salary sum of the 20% management crew equals the total salary sum of the 80% R&D staff if the maximum salary paid in the company is 7 fold the average salary paid to R&D | | |
| and 20% are management, e.g. Lear_04): The total salary sum of the 20% management crew equals the total salary sum of the 80% R&D staff if the maximum salary paid in the company is 7 fold the average salary paid to R&D | | |
| management crew equals the total salary sum of the 80% R&D staff if the maximum salary paid in the company is 7 fold the average salary paid to R&D | | |
| maximum salary paid in the company is 7 fold the average salary paid to R&D | | |
| R&D | | |
| Figure 19: From knowledge to diffusion, modified from Grant_02 | | R&D58 |
| Figure 20: Questions related to research and development activities, comparison between NXP and literature | Figure 19: | From knowledge to diffusion, modified from Grant, 02 |
| Figure 21: Questions related to know-how and technology transfer; comparison between NXP and literature | Figure 20: | Questions related to research and development activities, comparison |
| Figure 21: Questions related to know-how and technology transfer; comparison between NXP and literature | ga. c _c. | |
| Figure 22: Questions related to key drivers for the location choice; comparison between NXP and literature | Figure 21: | |
| Figure 22: Questions related to key drivers for the location choice; comparison between NXP and literature | | between NXP and literature 102 |
| between NXP and literature | Figure 22: | Questions related to key drivers for the location choice: comparison |
| Figure 23: Questions related to entry mode; comparison between NXP and literature | · ·go·o LL. | between NXP and literature 103 |
| Figure 24: Questions related to know-how protection; comparison between NXP and literature | Figure 23: | Questions related to entry mode: comparison between NXP and literature. |
| Figure 24: Questions related to know-how protection; comparison between NXP and literature | · ·garo <u>zo</u> . | 104 |
| Figure 25: Statistical data of manager survey. 128 Figure 26: Statistical data of employee survey 134 | Figure 24: | Questions related to know-how protection; comparison between NXP and |
| Figure 25: Statistical data of manager survey | .go.o = | literature |
| Figure 26: Statistical data of employee survey | Figure 25: | Statistical data of manager survey 128 |
| Figure 27: Bravais-Pearson correlation on the rank data of the employee survey135 | Figure 26 | Statistical data of employee survey |
| | Figure 27: | Bravais-Pearson correlation on the rank data of the employee survey 135 |

List of tables

| Γable 1: | Short list of key indicators related to doing business in China | 6 |
|----------------------|---|----|
| Table 2: | Hofstede's dimensions for five different cultures | 9 |
| Table 3: | Summary of various political risk indices, based on The Heritage Foundation together with The Wall Street Journal and the assessment proposed by Bremmer. | 13 |
| Γable 4: | Happiness Index for various countries and worldwide ranking | |
| Table 5: | Typical KPIs used in Cellular Systems' industry | |
| Table 6: | Growth of Mobile Terminal Unit Production by Technology Standard, Worldwide, if CAGR 2005—2010, CAGR 2006—2010, CAGR 2007—201 | |
| Table 7: | Four types of research on R&D internationalization, modified from Zedtwitz 05. | 35 |
| Table 8: Table 9: | Questions related to key drivers for location choice | 48 |
| | Krugman-Lewis model | 55 |
| | • | |