

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Research Objective	4
1.2	Object and Scope of the Study	5
1.3	Methodical Approach and Course of the Study	5
2	The Significance of the Use of Alternative Benchmark Methodologies in the Process of WTO Anti-Subsidy Investigations	7
2.1	The WTO as Institution of International Trade Regulation	7
2.1.1	The GATT 1947 as Predecessor of the WTO	8
2.1.2	The Foundation of the WTO	9
2.1.3	The WTO in 2018	10
2.2	The Case for the Regulation of Subsidisation in International Trade Law	12
2.2.1	Free Trade as Guarantor of Maximum National Welfare	12
2.2.2	Subsidisation as Trade Distortion	14
2.2.3	The Necessity of Regulating Subsidisation	15
2.3	WTO Regulation of Subsidies	16
2.3.1	The Theoretical Concept of Subsidisation in WTO Law	16
2.3.2	The WTO Legal Framework for Subsidies Regulation	17
2.3.3	The Substantive Prerequisites for Imposing a Countervailing Duty	19
2.3.3.1	Countervailable Subsidy	19
2.3.3.2	Injury	21
2.3.3.3	Causation	21
2.3.4	The Course of Procedure of an Anti-Subsidy Investigation	22
2.3.4.1	Initiation	22
2.3.4.2	Investigation	23
2.3.4.3	Imposition of the Countervailing Duty	23
2.4	The Calculation of the Amount of Benefit	24

2.4.1	The Benefit as Countervailable Part of the Governmental Financial Contribution	24
2.4.2	Benefit Calculation in MES	26
2.4.2.1	Article 14 ASCM as Framework for the Benefit Calculation	26
2.4.2.2	The Market Benchmark as Foundation of the Benefit Calculation	28
2.4.2.3	The Determination of the Relevant Market	29
2.4.2.4	The Market Conception in WTO Anti-Subsidy Law	30
2.4.3	Benefit Calculation in NMES	39
2.4.3.1	The Necessity of a Meaningful Market Benchmark	39
2.4.3.2	The Alternative Benchmark Methodologies	40
2.5	Chapter Summary	41
3	The WTO Framework for the Use of Alternative Benchmark Methodologies in Anti-Subsidy Investigations Against China	43
3.1	The General Alternative Benchmark Regime in WTO Anti-Subsidy Law	43
3.1.1	Excursus: Alternative Benchmark Regimes Outside the ASCM	44
3.1.1.1	The Alternative Benchmark Regime in Article VI:1 GATT 1947	44
3.1.1.2	The Alternative Benchmark Regime in Article 15 Subsidies Code	46
3.1.1.3	The Alternative Benchmark Regime in the ADA	48
3.1.1.4	Conclusion	49
3.1.2	The Alternative Benchmark Regime of Article 14 ASCM	50
3.1.2.1	The Alternative Benchmark Regime of Article 14(d) ASCM	50
3.1.2.2	The Alternative Benchmark Regime of Article 14(b) ASCM	62
3.1.2.3	The Alternative Benchmark Regimes Under Article 14(a) and (c) ASCM	68
3.1.2.4	The Legal Impact of the Appellate Body's Alternative Benchmark Regime on Article 14 ASCM	69
3.1.3	Conclusion	71
3.2	The China-Specific Alternative Benchmark Regime for Anti-Subsidy Investigations	72
3.2.1	The Background of the Adoption of China-Specific WTO Rules	72

3.2.1.1	The WTO Accession Process of China	72
3.2.1.2	The Legal Basis for the Integration of a Country-Specific Legal Regime into WTO Law	73
3.2.1.3	The Rationale of the China-Specific Provisions	75
3.2.2	The China-Specific Alternative Benchmark Regime for NMES in Section 15(b) CAP	76
3.2.2.1	The Permissibility of Using Alternative Benchmarks	77
3.2.2.2	The Prerequisites for the Use of Alternative Benchmarks	77
3.2.2.3	The Selection of the Alternative Benchmark	84
3.2.3	The Integration of the China-Specific Alternative Benchmark Regime into General WTO Anti-Subsidy Law	86
3.2.3.1	The Rank of Accession Protocols in WTO Law	87
3.2.3.2	The Implications of the Chapeau for China's Alternative Benchmark Regime	88
3.2.4	Conclusion	94
3.3	Chapter Summary	94
4	The Current EU Approach in the Application of Alternative Benchmarks in Anti-Subsidy Investigations Against China	97
4.1	The Use of Alternative Benchmark Methodologies in EU Anti-Subsidy Cases Against China: A Case Study	97
4.1.1	The Alternative Benchmark Regime of the EU	98
4.1.1.1	Article 6 BASR	98
4.1.1.2	Guidelines of the Commission (98/C 394/04)	100
4.1.2	The Use of Alternative Benchmarks in EU Anti-Subsidy Investigations Against China	101
4.1.2.1	The EU Anti-Subsidy Investigation Procedure	102
4.1.2.2	An Overview on EU Anti-Subsidy Cases Against China	103
4.1.2.3	Case Study on the Use of Alternative Benchmarks in NMES	105
4.1.3	Conclusion	118
4.2	WTO Law Conformity of the EU's Alternative Benchmark Approach in Anti-Subsidy Investigations Against China	119
4.2.1	Conformity with Article 14 ASCM	119
4.2.1.1	Conformity As Such	119
4.2.1.2	Conformity As Applied	121
4.2.2	Conformity with Section 15(b) CAP	129
4.2.2.1	Conformity As Such	129
4.2.2.2	Conformity As Applied	130

4.2.3	Overall WTO Law Conformity of the EU Approach on the Use of Alternative Benchmark Methodologies in Anti-Subsidy Investigations Against China	132
4.2.3.1	Conformity As Such	132
4.2.3.2	Conformity As Applied	132
4.2.4	Conclusion	134
4.3	Prospective Developments of the EU Approach on the Use of Alternative Benchmark Methodologies in Anti-Subsidy Investigations	136
4.3.1	Prospects on the Basis of the WTO Framework in the Current 2011 State of Appellate Body Interpretation	136
4.3.1.1	General Changes	136
4.3.1.2	China-Specific Changes	137
4.3.2	Prospects upon Possible Developments at WTO Level	137
4.3.2.1	General Changes	137
4.3.2.2	China-Specific Changes	138
4.3.3	Conclusion	138
4.4	Chapter Summary	138
5	The Need for Reforming the Alternative Benchmark Regime in WTO Anti-Subsidy Law	141
5.1	The Use of Alternative Benchmark Methodologies in NMES: A Global Perspective	141
5.1.1	The Existence of Country-Specific Anti-Subsidy Rules in the WTO Legal Hemisphere	141
5.1.2	Alternative Benchmark Regimes for NMES in the Anti-Subsidy Law of Other Countries	142
5.1.2.1	US Anti-Subsidy Law	143
5.1.2.2	Canadian Anti-Subsidy Law	144
5.1.2.3	Australian Anti-Subsidy Law	144
5.1.3	The Role of the Use of Alternative Benchmarks in NMES in Anti-Subsidy Law in WTO Dispute Settlement	145
5.2	Justification of Current Developments in WTO Anti-Subsidy Law and Practice Concerning the Use of Alternative Benchmarks	146
5.2.1	Concepts for the Justification of the Exercise of Authority in the WTO	147
5.2.1.1	The Concepts of Legality and Legitimacy	147
5.2.1.2	The Significance of Legality and Legitimacy for the WTO	148
5.2.1.3	The Parameters for the Assessment of Legality and Legitimacy in the WTO	150
5.2.2	Justification of the Introduction of Country-Specific Accession Commitments in Anti-Subsidy Law	151
5.2.2.1	Legality of Country-Specific Accession Commitments in Anti-Subsidy Law	151

5.2.2.2	Legitimacy of the Country-Specific Accession Commitments in Anti-Subsidy Law	168
5.2.2.3	Conclusion	171
5.2.3	Justification of the Establishment of a General Alternative Benchmark Regime for NMES in Anti-Subsidy Law	172
5.2.3.1	Legality of the Establishment of a General Alternative Benchmark Regime for NMES in Anti-Subsidy Law	172
5.2.3.2	Legitimacy of the Establishment of a General Alternative Benchmark Regime for NMES in Anti-Subsidy Law	178
5.3	Chapter Summary	181
6	Rethinking the Alternative Benchmark Regime in WTO Anti-Subsidy Law	183
6.1	Substantive Issues of Reform	183
6.1.1	The Problematic Issues of the Present Alternative Benchmark Approach	184
6.1.1.1	Problematic Issues in the Determination of Market Distortion	184
6.1.1.2	Problematic Issues in the Selection of the Alternative Benchmark	185
6.1.2	The Objectives of the Reform Proposal	186
6.1.3	Test Criteria for Legal Reform	187
6.1.4	Substantive Legal Reform Proposals	187
6.1.4.1	Reforming the Determination of Market Distortion	187
6.1.4.2	Reforming the Selection of the Alternative Benchmark	203
6.2	Implementation of the Reform Proposal at WTO Level	212
6.2.1	Necessity of Amendment as Suitable Means of Implementation	212
6.2.2	Risks of an Amendment	213
6.2.3	Realisability of an Amendment	214
6.2.3.1	The Status Quo of the Current Doha Development Agenda	214
6.2.3.2	The Doha Round's Reform Proposals on the Use of Alternative Benchmark Methodologies (2008)	215
6.2.3.3	Substantive Overlap of the 2008 Draft Proposals and the Study's Reform Proposals	217
6.2.3.4	Realisability of the Study's Reform Proposals	219
6.2.4	Conclusion	219
6.3	Draft Reform Proposal for an Alternative Benchmark Regime for NMES in WTO Anti-Subsidy Law	220
6.4	Chapter Summary	222

7 Summary	223
7.1 Major Findings of the Study	223
7.1.1 China's Applicable WTO Anti-Subsidy Law	223
7.1.2 WTO Law Compatibility As Such and As Applied of Current EU Anti-Subsidy Law and Practice	224
7.1.3 Legality and Legitimacy of the Introduction of Country- Specific Accession Commitments in Anti-Subsidy Law . . .	225
7.1.4 Legality and Legitimacy of the Introduction of an Alternative Benchmark Regime for NMES in General WTO Anti-Subsidy Law by Means of Appellate Body Reports	226
7.2 A Reform Proposal Concerning the Alternative Benchmark Regime for NMES in Anti-Subsidy Law	226
7.3 Future Prospects and Developments	227
Table of Treaties and Legal Instruments (as of 1 January 2018)	229
Tables of Cases (as of 1 January 2018)	233
Tables of Investigations (as of 1 January 2018)	239
Bibliography	243
Index	253