
Contents

Acknowledgments	xi
1 Introduction	1
Appeal to Ignorance as a Fallacy	2
Zero Tolerance	5
Recovered Memory as Evidence	7
The Problem Posed	11
The Value of Argument from Ignorance Defended	13
Negative Proof	15
Foundationalism and Scientific Reasoning	17
Recent Recognition of Argument from Ignorance	20
Rethinking the Argument from Ignorance	21
2 The Treatment in Logic	24
The Standard Treatment as a Fallacy	25
Is It Always a Fallacy?	28
Nonfallacious Cases	31
The Lockean Origin	36
Treatment in the Older Textbooks	38
Ghosts, the Paranormal, and ESP	42
Legal Presumption of Innocence	48
Burden of Proof	52
The McCarthy Hearings	56
Schematic Accounts of Logical Form	60
3 Uses Outside Logic	64
Negative Arguments <i>Ex Silentio</i>	65
Negative Evidence in Science and Medicine	68

Negative Reasoning from a Knowledge Base	72
Nonmonotonic Reasoning	76
Autoepistemic Reasoning	78
Balance of Consideration Arguments	81
Negative Reasoning from Normal Expectations	84
Practical Reasoning and Presumption	86
Explicit and Nonexplicit Presumptions	90
Knowledge, Ignorance, and Reasoning	93
 4 Case Studies	 97
Closure of the Knowledge Base	97
A Legal Case	101
The Salem Witchcraft Trials	104
Spy Cases	107
Stonewalling	110
Safety of Silicone Breast Implants	112
The <i>Ad Ignorantiam</i> Tug-of-War	118
Combining <i>Ad Ignorantiam</i> and <i>Ad Verecundiam</i> Arguments	122
Combining with <i>Ad Hominem</i>	125
Innuendo	129
 5 Forms of the <i>Argumentum ad Ignorantiam</i>	 133
Identifying the <i>Argumentum ad Ignorantiam</i>	134
Defining Ignorance	139
Three Types of Arguments from Ignorance	143
Knowledge-Based Forms	146
Profiles of Dialogue	150
Suspension of Commitment	154
Nonacceptance and Suspension	156
Presumptions and Assertions	159
Evidence from the Context of Dialogue	161
Frameworks of Evidence	164
 6 Normative Models of Dialogue	 168
Pragmatic and Semantic Approaches	169
Dialogue Structures and Stages	171

Argument Interpretation	175
Dialogue: What Is It?	179
Components of Dialogue	182
Types of Dialogue	186
Expert Consultation Dialogue	191
Eristic Dialogue	194
Goals, Commitments, and Presumptions in Dialogue	198
Dialectical Relevance	204
7 Presumption and Burden of Proof	208
The Practical Nature of Presumption	209
Received Views of Presumption	213
Practical Reasoning	215
Legal Burden of Proof	219
Internal and External Burden of Proof	222
Commitment and Burden Shifting	228
Speech Act Conditions Defining Presumption	232
Presumptions and Presuppositions	234
Implications for Argumentation and Fallacies	237
The Maieutic Function of Dialogue	241
8 Identification and Analysis of the Argument	245
Characteristics of the Argument from Ignorance	245
Defining Key Terms	248
Two Different Types of Arguments from Ignorance	250
The Argument Scheme	252
The Role of the Conditional Premise in Closure	254
When Is Closure of a Dialogue Appropriate?	255
The Role of the Conditional Premise in Shifting Presumptions	258
Status of the Conditional Premise	260
Sources of Contextual Evidence	262
Different Stages of the Inquiry	267
9 Evaluating the Fallacious Cases	270
Weak Arguments, Sophistical Tactics, and Fallacies	271
The Basic Fallacy	275

Three Main Subtypes of the Fallacy	277
Innuendo as Another Basis of the Fallacy	279
Reversal of Polarity	281
Evidence on Balance of Considerations	285
The Function of Burden of Proof	287
Presumption as a Practical Device	289
Classification of Subtypes of the Fallacy	293
Criteria for Identification, Analysis, and Evaluation	295
 Selected Bibliography	 299
 Index	 307